

### THE ABILITY OF LEGUMES TO IMPROVE THE **MOUTHFEEL OF HIGH-INTENSITY SWEETENERS**

### **BRAYEN ARIEL**



DEPARTMENT OF FOOD SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY FACULTY OF AGRICULTURAL ENGINEERING & TECHNOLOGY **IPB UNIVERSITY BOGOR** 2025



# STATEMENT REGARDING UNDERGRADUATE THESIS AND INFORMATION SOURCE AND COPYRIGHT **TRANSFER**

I hereby declare that the thesis entitled "The Ability of Legumes to Improve the Mouthfeel of High-Intensity Sweeteners" is my work with direction from the supervisor and has not been submitted in any form to any university. Sources of information derived or quoted from published or unpublished works from other authors have been mentioned in the text and listed in the bibliography at the end of this undergraduate thesis.

I hereby delegate the copyright of my paper to Bogor Agricultural University.

Bogor, January 2025

Brayen Ariel F2401201825



### **ABSTRAK**

BRAYEN ARIEL. Kemampuan Legum untuk Meningkatkan *Mouthfeel* Pemanis Berintensitas Tinggi. Dibimbing oleh CHRISTOFORA HANNY WIJAYA.

Pemanis berintensitas tinggi (PBT) memiliki kendala terkait penerimaan sensorinya, terutama sensasi mouthfeel yang berbeda dengan sukrosa. Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk mempelajari kemampuan legum meningkatan mouthfeel PBT. Sepuluh legum terdiri dari mede, hazelnut, kacang tanah, almond, kenari, koro, kedelai, kacang merah, kacang hijau, edamame dan enam PBT terdiri dari aspartam, sukralosa, asesulfam-K, stevia, siklamat, sakarin dievaluasi dalam penelitian ini. Evaluasi diawali dengan pemilihan legum dan PBT yang berpotensi diteliti lebih lanjut dengan pendekatan evaluasi sensori oleh panelis ahli. Kombinasi tiga legum (mede, kacang merah, dan edamame) dan tiga PBT (aspartam, siklamat, dan sakarin) terpilih untuk dievaluasi lebih lanjut dengan menggunakan evaluasi sensori metode quantitative descriptive analysis (QDA). Atribut QDA yang diperoleh dari FGD adalah viscosity, softness, creaminess, fullness, watery, slimy, sticky, oily, dan slippery. Edamame menunjukkan nilai tertinggi pada intensitas attribut yang diharapkan seperti viscosity, softness, creaminess, dan fullness dengan intensitas yang rendah pada atribut yang dihindari yaitu watery dan oily. Mede memiliki intensitas sedang pada atribut yang diharapkan namun intensitas tinggi pada attribut yang dihindari. Kacang merah memiliki intensitas rendah pada atribut yang diharapkan selain intensitas tinggi pada atribut watery walau intensitas rendah pada atribut oily. Siklamat memberikan respon paling positif dengan semua legum dibandingkan PBT lainnya. Kemampuan meningkatkan mouthfeel diduga dipengaruhi oleh kadar protein serta lemak dari legum. Semakin tinggi kadar protein legum, semakin tinggi intensitas atribut viscosity dan creaminess sedangkan semakin tinggi kadar lemak legum, semakin tinggi intensitas atribut oily dan sticky. Penambahan legum seperti mede, kacang merah, edamame dalam PBT dapat meningkatkan kualitas mouthfeel. Penambahan edamame pada siklamat menunjukkan peningkatan kualitas mouthfeel yang paling signifikan.

Kata kunci: Pemanis berintensitas tinggi (PBT), legum, mouthfeel, quantitative descriptive analysis (QDA)



### **ABSTRACT**

BRAYEN ARIEL. The ability of Legumes to Improve the Mouthfeel of High-Intensity Sweeteners. Supervised by CHRISTOFORA HANNY WIJAYA.

High-intensity sweeteners (HIS) have problems with their sensory reception, especially the mouthfeel sensation that differs from sucrose. This study aims to study the ability of legumes to increase HIS mouthfeel. Ten legumes consisting of cashews, hazelnuts, peanuts, almonds, walnuts, koro, soybeans, kidney beans, mung beans, edamame, and six HISs consisting of aspartame, sucralose, acesulfame-K, stevia, cyclamate, saccharin were evaluated in this study. The evaluation began with the selection of legumes and HISs that have the potential to be further researched with a sensory evaluation approach by expert panellists. A combination of three legumes (cashews, red beans, and edamame) and three HISs (aspartame, cyclamate, and saccharin) was selected for further evaluation using the sensory evaluation method of quantitative descriptive analysis (QDA). The QDA attributes obtained from FGD were viscosity, softness, creaminess, fullness, watery, slimy, sticky, oily, and slippery. Edamame showed the highest scores on the intensity of the expected attributes such as viscosity, softness, creaminess, and fullness with low intensity on the avoided attributes, namely watery and oily. Cashew has a moderate intensity on the expected attributes but a high intensity on the avoided attributes. Red beans have a low intensity in the expected attributes and high intensity in the watery attribute although low intensity in the oily attribute. Cyclamate gave the most positive response with all legumes compared to other HISs. The ability to improve mouthfeel is thought to be influenced by the protein and fat levels of legumes. The higher the legumes' protein content, the higher the intensity of the viscosity and creaminess attributes while the higher the content of legumes' fat, the higher the intensity of the oily and sticky attributes. The addition of legumes such as cashews, kidney beans, and edamame in HIS can improve the quality of mouthfeel. The addition of edamame to cyclamate showed the most significant improvement in mouthfeel quality.

Keywords: High-intensity sweeteners (HIS), legume extract, mouthfeel, quantitative descriptive analysis (QDA).

B University



# © Copyright belongs to IPB, 2025 All Rights Reserved

It is forbidden to cite part or all of this paper without listing or mentioning the source. Citations are only for the purposes of education, research, writing scientific papers, preparing reports, writing criticisms, or reviewing a problem, and such citations are not detrimental to the interests of IPB.

It is forbidden to publish and reproduce part or all of this paper in any form without the permission of IPB.



- Hak Cipta Dilindungi Undang-undang

  1. Dilarang mengutip sebagian atau seluruh karya tulis ini tanpa mencantumkan dan menyebutkan sumber:
- a. Pengutipan hanya untuk kepentingan pendidikan, penelitian, penulisan karya ilmiah, penyusunan laporan, penulisan kritik atau tinjauan suatu masalah b. Pengutipan tidak merugikan kepentingan yang wajar IPB University. 2. Dilarang mengumumkan dan memperbanyak sebagian atau seluruh karya tulis ini dalam bentuk apapun tanpa izin IPB University.

# IPB University

Perpustakaan IPB University



### THE ABILITY OF LEGUMES TO IMPROVE THE MOUTHFEEL OF HIGH-INTENSITY SWEETENERS

### **BRAYEN ARIEL**

**Undergraduate Thesis** submitted as one of the requirements for obtaining Bachelor's degree in Food Technology in the Study Program of Food Technology

### DEPARTMENT OF FOOD SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY FACULTY OF AGRICULTURAL ENGINEERING & TECHNOLOGY **IPB UNIVERSITY BOGOR** 2025

Examiner Team on the Undergraduate Thesis Examination:

1 Dr. Ing. Dase Hunaefi, S.T. P., M.Food.St. 2 Dr. Ir. Sukarno, M.Sc.



Research Title: The Ability of Legumes to Improve the Mouthfeel

of High-Intensity Sweeteners

Name : Brayen Ariel Student ID : F2401201825

Approved by

Advisor:

Prof. Dr. Ir. C. Hanny Wijaya, M.Agr.



Acknowledged by

Head of Food Science and Technology Department Prof. Dr. Eko Hari Purnomo, S.TP., M.Sc. NIP 19760412 199903 1 004



Date of Examination: January 6<sup>th</sup>, 2025

Date of Passed:

# PB University Begor Indonesia

### **PREFACE**

Praise and gratitude to God Almighty for all His gifts, the author can finish writing an undergraduate thesis entitled "The Ability of Legumes to Improve the Mouthfeel of High-Intensity Sweeteners" The author is grateful for the guidance support from various parties, both directly and indirectly. The author would like to thank:

- 1. Prof. Dr. Ir. C. Hanny Wijaya, M.Agr., who has been an exceptional supervisor, consistently offering invaluable direction, thoughtful guidance, and unwavering support to the author throughout the process of completing this study. Her dedication, expertise, and encouragement have played a crucial role in ensuring the successful completion of this work.
- 2. Dr. Ing. Dase Hunaefi, S.T. P., M.Food.St. and Dr. Ir. Sukarno, M.Sc. who are not only willing to be my undergraduate thesis examiner but also provide constructive feedback and catalyze further discussion related to the research findings
- 3. Giarto (Father), Yuly Helen Paruntu (mother), and other family members who always provide prayers, encouragement, and support so that the author can complete this undergraduate thesis
- 4. Mr. and Mrs. Lecturer, the educators team of the Department of Food Science and Technology IPB University for your knowledge shared throughout my university journey
- 5. Technician of the Laboratory of the Food Science and Technology IPB University as experts and trained panellists for your kind assistance
- 6. Keluarga Mahasiswa Berprestasi IPB 2022 and 2023 for your moral support throughout the author's academic career at IPB University and completion of undergraduate thesis
- 7. Friends, colleagues, and the entire academic community of the Department of Food Science and Technology IPB University who always support and provide enthusiasm in completing the final project.

The author realizes that this proposal is far from perfection, so constructive criticism and suggestions are expected from readers. The author hopes that this research proposal is useful for those in need and contributes to the advancement of science.

Bogor, January 2025

Brayen Ariel

PB University



IPB University

— Bogor Indonesia —

### TABLE OF CONTENT

LIS	T OF T	ABLES	X	
LIS	T OF F	GURES	xi	
LIS	T OF A	PPENDIXES	xi	
GL	OSSAR	Y	xii	
I	INTRO 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4	DUCTION Background Research Problem Objective Outcome	1 1 2 2 2	
II	2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5	ATURE REVIEW Sucrose Mouthfeel High-intensity sweeteners Legumes Sensory Analysis	3 3 3 6 9	
III	METHO 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5	Time and Places Materials and Equipments Research Methods Analysis Methods Data Analysis	11 11 11 11 14 17	
IV	<ul><li>4.1</li><li>4.2</li><li>4.3</li></ul>	T & DISCUSSION  Preliminary Testing on Mouthfeel Changes of HIS Before and Aft the Mixing Process with Mung-Bean Extract  Making Process of HIS-Legume Extracts and Elimination Process  Expert Panellists  Mouthfeel Profile Analysis  Correlation of Water, Protein, and Fat Content Analysis	20	
V	CONCI 5.1 5.2	LUSION & RECOMMENDATION  Conclusion  Recommendation	48 48 48	
BIE	BIBLIOGRAPHY			
AP	APPENDIX			
BIC	BIOGRAPHY			



# DOMVETSITY

## LIST OF TABLES

1	Sensory panel performance evaluation scoring criteria	18
2	Mouthfeel and sweetness preliminary observation	20
3 <u>H</u>	Other attributes observed in preliminary testing	21
4	HIS concentration exploration guideline	22
5g.	HIS concentration exploration result	23
2	Sample combination naming	24
7	Preliminary elimination result	24
8	Selected combinations after the first elimination process	25
	Selected combinations after the second elimination process	27
105	Concentration of triangular test standard solution	28
118	Panellists training test preparation	29
12	Existing terminology to define mouthfeel	30
13	Number of panels in the differentiation criteria group	31
14	Number of panels that pass the discrimination ability	32
15	Number of panels in the repeatability criteria group	32
16	Number of panels that pass the repeating ability for each attribute	33
17	Number of sensory panels in passing criteria training	33
18	Selected mouthfeel attributes by panellists through FGD	34
19	The standard concentration of mouthfeel attributes for the QDA	36
20	Sample code for QDA	38
21	A quantitative descriptive analysis result on nine mouthfeel attributes of	
	high-intensity sweeteners-legume sample	39
22	Number of panels in the differentiation criteria group	44
23	Number of panels that pass the discrimination ability	44
24	Number of panels in the repeatability criteria group	45
25	Number of panels that pass the repeatability for each attribute	45
26	Number of sensory panels in passing criteria	46
27	Water, fat, and protein content of selected legumes	46



## LIST OF FIGURES

	28 29	Research stages	12
@Ha		Legumes slurry and powder preparation method (modified from Adeoye and Oyetayo 2021)	13
@Hak cipta milik IPB University	30	Legumes-high-intensity sweeteners decoction preparation method (modified from Adeoye and Oyetayo 2021)	13
ta m	31	Preliminary testing conditions	20
ilik 1	32 33	Prepared sample for preliminary elimination  Determination of standard concentration of mouthfeel attributes related	23
PB I		to full-cream milk	35
Inive	34	A quantitative descriptive analysis result on nine mouthfeel attributes for high intensity sweeteners and sucrose in different concentrations	37
ersity	35	Spider web chart of quantitative descriptive analysis of nine legume-high	
	36	intensity sweeteners sample on nine mouthfeel attributes Biplot curves of nine legume-high-intensity sweeteners samples and	39
		nine mouthfeel attributes from the quantitative descriptive analysis	42
		LIST OF APPENDIXES	
		LIST OF ALL ENDIAES	
	37	Appendix 1 Form of Consent	57
	38	Appendix 2 Focus Group Discussion Form	58
	39	Appendix 3 Panellists Selection & Training: Triangle Test Form	60
	40	Appendix 4 Panellists Selection & Training: Ranking Test Form	61
	40 41	Appendix 4 Panellists Selection & Training: Ranking Test Form Appendix 5 Quantitative Panellists Training: QDA Test	61 62
	40 41 42	Appendix 4 Panellists Selection & Training: Ranking Test Form Appendix 5 Quantitative Panellists Training: QDA Test Appendix 6 Summary of attribute categories based on mouthfeel terms	61
	40 41	Appendix 4 Panellists Selection & Training: Ranking Test Form Appendix 5 Quantitative Panellists Training: QDA Test Appendix 6 Summary of attribute categories based on mouthfeel terms Appendix 7 Results of analysis of variance (ANOVA) for panellists 2	61 62 63
	40 41 42 43	Appendix 4 Panellists Selection & Training: Ranking Test Form Appendix 5 Quantitative Panellists Training: QDA Test Appendix 6 Summary of attribute categories based on mouthfeel terms Appendix 7 Results of analysis of variance (ANOVA) for panellists 2 sensory performance test	61 62
	40 41 42	Appendix 4 Panellists Selection & Training: Ranking Test Form Appendix 5 Quantitative Panellists Training: QDA Test Appendix 6 Summary of attribute categories based on mouthfeel terms Appendix 7 Results of analysis of variance (ANOVA) for panellists 2 sensory performance test Appendix 8 Significance values of the results of one-way ANOVA	61 62 63
	40 41 42 43 44	Appendix 4 Panellists Selection & Training: Ranking Test Form Appendix 5 Quantitative Panellists Training: QDA Test Appendix 6 Summary of attribute categories based on mouthfeel terms Appendix 7 Results of analysis of variance (ANOVA) for panellists 2 sensory performance test Appendix 8 Significance values of the results of one-way ANOVA analysis of panellists's discrimination ability parameters - training	61 62 63
	40 41 42 43	Appendix 4 Panellists Selection & Training: Ranking Test Form Appendix 5 Quantitative Panellists Training: QDA Test Appendix 6 Summary of attribute categories based on mouthfeel terms Appendix 7 Results of analysis of variance (ANOVA) for panellists 2 sensory performance test Appendix 8 Significance values of the results of one-way ANOVA analysis of panellists's discrimination ability parameters - training Appendix 9 Root mean square values of the results of one-way ANOVA	61 62 63 64 65
	40 41 42 43 44 45	Appendix 4 Panellists Selection & Training: Ranking Test Form Appendix 5 Quantitative Panellists Training: QDA Test Appendix 6 Summary of attribute categories based on mouthfeel terms Appendix 7 Results of analysis of variance (ANOVA) for panellists 2 sensory performance test Appendix 8 Significance values of the results of one-way ANOVA analysis of panellists's discrimination ability parameters - training Appendix 9 Root mean square values of the results of one-way ANOVA analysis of panel repeatability parameters - training	61 62 63
	40 41 42 43 44	Appendix 4 Panellists Selection & Training: Ranking Test Form Appendix 5 Quantitative Panellists Training: QDA Test Appendix 6 Summary of attribute categories based on mouthfeel terms Appendix 7 Results of analysis of variance (ANOVA) for panellists 2 sensory performance test Appendix 8 Significance values of the results of one-way ANOVA analysis of panellists's discrimination ability parameters - training Appendix 9 Root mean square values of the results of one-way ANOVA analysis of panel repeatability parameters - training Appendix 10 Passing data of sensory panel performance evaluation -	61 62 63 64 65
	40 41 42 43 44 45 46	Appendix 4 Panellists Selection & Training: Ranking Test Form Appendix 5 Quantitative Panellists Training: QDA Test Appendix 6 Summary of attribute categories based on mouthfeel terms Appendix 7 Results of analysis of variance (ANOVA) for panellists 2 sensory performance test Appendix 8 Significance values of the results of one-way ANOVA analysis of panellists's discrimination ability parameters - training Appendix 9 Root mean square values of the results of one-way ANOVA analysis of panel repeatability parameters - training Appendix 10 Passing data of sensory panel performance evaluation - training	61 62 63 64 65 65
	40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47	Appendix 4 Panellists Selection & Training: Ranking Test Form Appendix 5 Quantitative Panellists Training: QDA Test Appendix 6 Summary of attribute categories based on mouthfeel terms Appendix 7 Results of analysis of variance (ANOVA) for panellists 2 sensory performance test Appendix 8 Significance values of the results of one-way ANOVA analysis of panellists's discrimination ability parameters - training Appendix 9 Root mean square values of the results of one-way ANOVA analysis of panel repeatability parameters - training Appendix 10 Passing data of sensory panel performance evaluation - training Appendix 11 Component matrix for PCA analysis	61 62 63 64 65
	40 41 42 43 44 45 46	Appendix 4 Panellists Selection & Training: Ranking Test Form Appendix 5 Quantitative Panellists Training: QDA Test Appendix 6 Summary of attribute categories based on mouthfeel terms Appendix 7 Results of analysis of variance (ANOVA) for panellists 2 sensory performance test Appendix 8 Significance values of the results of one-way ANOVA analysis of panellists's discrimination ability parameters - training Appendix 9 Root mean square values of the results of one-way ANOVA analysis of panel repeatability parameters - training Appendix 10 Passing data of sensory panel performance evaluation - training	61 62 63 64 65 65
	40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47	Appendix 4 Panellists Selection & Training: Ranking Test Form Appendix 5 Quantitative Panellists Training: QDA Test Appendix 6 Summary of attribute categories based on mouthfeel terms Appendix 7 Results of analysis of variance (ANOVA) for panellists 2 sensory performance test Appendix 8 Significance values of the results of one-way ANOVA analysis of panellists's discrimination ability parameters - training Appendix 9 Root mean square values of the results of one-way ANOVA analysis of panel repeatability parameters - training Appendix 10 Passing data of sensory panel performance evaluation - training Appendix 11 Component matrix for PCA analysis Appendix 12 Significance values of the results of one-way ANOVA	61 62 63 64 65 65 65 66
	40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48	Appendix 4 Panellists Selection & Training: Ranking Test Form Appendix 5 Quantitative Panellists Training: QDA Test Appendix 6 Summary of attribute categories based on mouthfeel terms Appendix 7 Results of analysis of variance (ANOVA) for panellists 2 sensory performance test Appendix 8 Significance values of the results of one-way ANOVA analysis of panellists's discrimination ability parameters - training Appendix 9 Root mean square values of the results of one-way ANOVA analysis of panel repeatability parameters - training Appendix 10 Passing data of sensory panel performance evaluation - training Appendix 11 Component matrix for PCA analysis Appendix 12 Significance values of the results of one-way ANOVA analysis of panellists's discrimination ability parameters	61 62 63 64 65 65 65 66

Perpustakaan IPB University



### **GLOSSARY**

High-Intensity Sweeteners (HIS)

Mouthfeel

Legumes

Kokumi

Quantitative Descriptive Analysis (QDA)

Principal Component : Analysis (PCA)

: Compounds that provide sweetness levels far exceeding sucrose with minimal or no caloric content. Examples include aspartame, sucralose, and saccharin.

The sensory perception of food in the oral cavity, including attributes such as viscosity, creaminess, and texture.

: Plants from the family Fabaceae, such as soybeans, mung beans, and kidney beans, known for their nutritional and sensory-enhancing properties.

: Substances, often found in legumes, that enhance the sensory perception of mouthfeel attributes like thickness and flavor continuity.

A sensory analysis method used to quantitatively measure the intensity of sensory attributes, requiring trained panellists for accurate evaluation.

A statistical technique that reduces data dimensionality by transforming correlated variables into a smaller set of uncorrelated components, retaining most of the data's variance.

[PB University