

Review of Literature on Perennial Peanut (*Arachis pinto*) as Potential Cover Crop in the Tropics

J. G. Kartika and A. D. Susila
Department of Agronomy and
Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural
University, Bogor, Indonesia, 16680

M. R. Reyes

Department of Natural Resource and
Environmental Design, North
Carolina Agricultural and Technical
University, North Carolina, 27411

Keywords: benefits of *A. pinto*, living mulch, cover crop, soil physical, chemical and biological properties

Abstract

The use of living mulch as a substitute of plastic mulch is increasing in the tropics and researchers have gradually shifted their attention to organic farming system. *Arachis pinto* is perennial plant and a member of leguminosae family. *A. pinto* have a lot of potential for use as living mulch in association with vegetable, trees, or grass (as a pasture) because of its ability to fix nitrogen from the atmosphere and the strength to grow under heavy shade. In this work, the benefit of *A. pinto* as living mulch was studied to provide farmer and researcher with scientific information based on fact sheets, journals and text book.

INTRODUCTION

Declining agricultural productivity after years of intensive farming has been the major concern of tropical farmers in recent times. High input systems are now believed to be incapable of ensuring sustainability under tropical condition (Lavelle *et al.* In Badejo *et al.* 2002). Researcher on tropical agriculture have gradually shifted their attention to organic farming systems (Lampkin In Badejo *et al.* 2002), where inorganic agrochemicals are substituted with organic inputs. For example, the substitution of legume cover crops for chemical herbicide and inorganic fertilizers.

A. pinto is a perennial, low growing, ground cover species. This plant is a member of leguminosae family which can fix nitrogen from the atmosphere. Based on several fact sheet, *A. pinto* is one of the most promising multipurpose legume cover crops that could be used as: living mulch in no-till vegetable production fields and orchards, forage animal feeding and ornamental ground cover along highway ramps and sidewalks. The objective of this work was to provide farmer and researcher with scientific information about the benefits and limitations of *A. pinto* as living mulch and as compost in the tropics.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Literature of *A. pinto* were collected from every available information source, such as fact sheet, journal, text book, articles accessed in the online library, and websites from 2000 to 2007. The literatures were grouped based on the benefits of *A. pinto*.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Researchers have been observed *A. pinto* from many aspects, such as distribution, botany, agronomical and environmental benefit, breeding, etc. Several aspects of it are explained in this paper.

A. pinto distribution

A. pinto have a wide range of distribution. According to Cook (1992), the distribution of *Pinto* comprise Australia, Argentina, Australia, United States, and more recently to many countries in South East Asia, Central America and the Pacific. This wide range of distribution supported by *Pinto* has a wide of ecological suitability (Tropical forages, 2007). It can be grown throughout the wet tropics and subtropics, and the upland tropics up to 1400 m above sea level.

A. pinto description

Botany

Cook (1992), describe *A. pinto* as a member of Leguminosae/fabaceae family, nitrogen fixing legume, which has common name perennial peanut, pinto peanut, forage peanut, wild peanut, thua lisong tao (Thai). The Origin of this plant was Central Brazil (Cook, 1992). *A. pinto* has bright yellow flower and green tetra foliate leaves and maintain the height of the sward no more than 20 cm. Stoloniferous, perennial herb non twinning with the pods under the ground (Cook, 1992).

Commercial cultivars of *A. pinto* have been released in Australia (Amarillo), Costa Rica (Mani Mejorador, Porvenir), Brazil, Colombia (Mani Forrajero Perenne) and Honduras (Pico Bonito) (Mannetje, 2007).

Ecology

A. pinto can grow in sandy or clay soil (Cook, 1992). It tolerates high levels of Al and Mn but has low tolerance of salinity, tolerate flooding and can grow well under heavy (70-80%) shade (Cook, 1992; Mannetje 2007; Tropical Forages, 2007). *A. pinto* can survive in areas with annual rainfall of 1,000 mm or less, but grows best with over 1,500 mm/yr, and survives dry seasons of 3-4 months (Cook, 1992; Mannetje 2007; Tropical Forages, 2007).

Establishment

A. pinto can be established from stolon, cuttings or seed (Cook, 1992). Seed should be sown 2-6 cm deep at 10-15 kg seed in pod/ha, followed by rolling. If seed is not available, it is readily propagated from cuttings. The easiest and cheapest way to plant *A. pinto* is from seed but *A. pinto* mats take less time and management to get and established (Abdul-Baki, 2002).

Based on literature, there are several major strengths and limitations of *A. pinto*. The limitation is in the establishment phase. Several limitations mentioned from literature are:

1. Expensive establishment (Wunscher *et al.* 2004)
2. Poor growth in drought condition (Neef 2004; Neef *et al.* 2004; Wunscher *et al.* 2004)
3. Slow establishment (Rivas and Holfman, 2000)
4. Poor germination (Rivas and Holfman, 2000)
5. Insect and pest competition (Neef 2004; Neef *et al.* 2004)
6. Weed competition (Neef 2004; Neef *et al.* 2004; Wunscher *et al.* 2004)

But once *Pintoi* is established, usually the limitation is reduced. After the establishment phase some limitations are due to insect, pest and weed competition. *A. pintoi* need about 6-12 months to establish depending on site suitability, ecology and environment.

A. *pintoi* benefit

A. pintoi have a lot of benefits and strengths. Some of the major benefits are mentioned in this paper, such as:

1. It is excellent for soil conservation
2. It improves soil quality
3. It is a good source of compost
4. It promotes tree growth
5. It is a choice livestock feed
6. It could control diseases
7. It could suppress weed growth
8. It is a hardy ornamental plant
9. It is a good source of nectar for bees

Below are more comprehensive explanation of *A. pintoi* benefit.

Pintoi for soil conservation

Mainly, farmer and researcher use *A. pintoi* as living ground cover in vegetable production, fruit orchard, plantation or legume-grass associated pasture. According to Firth (2002), there are several attributes, for plant in order to suit ideal ground cover. There are percent ground cover in low and relatively high light, ability to cover soil quickly, persistence, low sward height, and have sufficient herbage mass for effective erosion control.

According to the literature gained for this study, some experiment conclude that *A. pintoi* have almost all the attributes to be ideal ground cover.

1. *A. pintoi* is very good to reduce erosion and run off (Sugahara *et al.* 2001; Zhiping *et al.* 2002; Huang *et al.* 2004; Doanh and Tuan 2004; Maswar *et al.* 2005)
2. Produces dense soil cover (Firth *et al.* 2002; Neef 2004; Neef *et al.* 2004)
3. High dry matter production (Addison 2003; Gallegos 2003; Espindola *et al.* 2005; Oelbermann *et al.* 2005)
4. Recover degraded areas (De Oliveira *et al.* 2003; Doanh and Tuan 2004)
5. Tolerant to shade (Addison 2003; Firth *et al.* 2002)
6. High nutritive value and low fiber content (Firth *et al.* 2002; Neef 2004)
7. Persistence (Perez *et al.* 2001; Firth *et al.* 2002)
8. Low sward height (Firth *et al.* 2002)
9. Faster nutrient cycling (De Oliveira *et al.* 2003)
10. Grow well in low fertility soil with minimal fertilizer, minimal irrigation and no pesticide (Bryan *et al.* 2001)

A. *pintoi* as living mulches to improve soil quality

Several soil quality improvement has been reported, integrating *A. pintoi* as living mulches in pasture, orchard or plantation showing good results. *In general*, *A. pintoi* improved soil fertility (Firth *et al.* 2002; Doanh and Tuan 2004; Wunscher *et al.* 2004).

A. pintoi improved soil physical properties, such as soil density, soil structure, soil moisture and porosity (Perez *et al.* 2001; Firth *et al.* 2002; Zhiping *et al.* 2002;

Huang *et al.* 2004; Maswar *et al.* 2005). Associated *A. pinto* as living ground cover with grass or under the tree, help the soil to be more productive because *A. Pinto* function as a blanket for the soil, the herbage mass cover the soil and prevent it to loose to much water from evaporation, also the root biomass improve the porosity, density and structure of the soil.

A. pinto improved soil chemical properties, like soil N, P, K and Ca (Perez *et al.* 2001; Firth *et al.* 2002; Zhiping *et al.* 2002; Duda *et al.* 2003; Doanh and Tuan 2004; Huang *et al.* 2004; Espindola *et al.* 2005). *A. pinto* perform dense soil cover which can reduce erosion and leaching of some soil chemical properties and fixing Nitrogen from atmosphere thereby it can help improve nitrogen availability of the soil.

A. pinto improved soil living properties, like greater micro fauna and source of organic matter (Perez *et al.* 2001; Badejo *et al.* 2002; lanes *et al.* 2003; Canellas *et al.* 2004; Huang *et al.* 2004; Maswar *et al.* 2005). Dense soil cover performed by *A. pinto* help the soil to maintain the moisture of the soil, became suitable place for microfauna to live, also, *A. pinto* role as organic matter source, the food for soil microfauna which degraded organic matter into inorganic properties.

A. Pinto as a good compost source

A. pinto not only improve soil quality when planted as living mulch. The residue of *A. pinto* can also used as compost raw material. *A. pinto* litter work out to increase bacterial population when added to grass litter (Oliveira *et al.* 2002). In wet period, the half-lives of decomposition in mixed litters and *A. pinto* less than 50% of those of grass litter alone according to de Oliveira, (2003) and reduce by about 80% in mixed litter and 90% in legume litter (Oliveira, 2002). This was happened because of legume usually has faster rates of decomposition and greater release of nutrients (Thomas In Oliveira *et al.* 2002). C/N ratios of legume and legume+grass mixture significantly lower than grass litter. Decomposition constant value were increased probably influenced by the lower initial C/N ratio and the higher microbial activity found in this litter (Oliveira, 2002).

A. pinto as livestock feed

Some literature showed mutual benefit of integrated legume-grass pasture:

1. *A. pinto* as fodder, (Perez *et al.* 2001; Doanh and Tuan 2004; Maswar *et al.* 2006)
2. Improve forage quantity and quality (Wunscher *et al.* 2004; Villarreal *et al.* 2005)
3. Increase milk production, stocking and calving rate, and also increase cattle weight (Rivas and Holfman, 2000; Lara and Reategui, 2004; Lobo and Acuna, 2004; Lowe *et al.* 2003)

All the benefit happened because of higher nutrient content in *A. pinto* compare with the nutrient content in grass alone and also higher biomass production in grass-legume pasture. As livestock fodder, associated *A. pinto* give positive effect for the soil and the livestock.

A. pinto for disease management

Some of literature recorded ability of *A. pinto* to control disease. Lapointe (2003) in the research about the effect of *A. pinto* to reduce root weevil in "Carrizo" citrus showed that *A. pinto* do not have negative effect on *Diaprepes abbreviatus* (root weevil) or on feeding damage. The root mass of all citrus carrizo infested with *D. abbreviatus* was significantly reduced, but the carrizo root weight

was greater when grown with *A. pintoii* than other legume plants. This may lead to polyculture solution, Andow In Lapointe, (2003) showed that pest species were less abundant in polyculture compared with monoculture systems. While, Hilje and Stansly (2007) showed that *A. pintoii* reduced the number of incoming whitefly adults, delayed the onset of tomato yellow mottle virus and decreased disease severity, resulting in higher yields and profits, compared to the bare soil control. Dominguez *et al.* (1990) in his research in tomato plant with *A. pintoii* conclude that association between tomato and *A. pintoii* reduced root galling (caused by *Meloidogyne arabica*) by almost 50%.

A. pintoii as weed control

A. pintoii can control weeds (Rivas and Holman, 2000; Doanh and Tuan, 2004). High biomass production of *A. pintoii* suppress some species of weed growth. Severino and Christoffeleti (2004), reported that *A. pintoii* suppressed guenia grass, hairy beggarsticks and the natural weed infestation in Avocado orchard. Zhiping *et al.* (2002) from China, indicated that greater biomass in intercropping cassava and *A. pintoii* associated with reduced weed growth when compared to cassava monocrop. But Isaac *et al.* (2006) indicated that in *Commelina diffusa* infestation, *A. pintoii* showed only little potential for weed management.

A. pintoii as ornamental plant

Abdul-Baki (2002) conduct a research to saw the suitability of *A. pintoii* cv. Amarillo and Accession No. IRFL 7154, as a roadside demonstration in Florida concluded that *A. pintoii* is suitable as an ornamental plant. Production cost is low, since supplementary heat and light are not needed, required almost no mowing and it reduced roadside planting maintenance also provided an aesthetically pleasing green ground cover with attractive yellow flowers. After establishment it rarely needed watering even under drought conditions

CONCLUSION

Result from the study of literature since 2000 until 2007 showed that *A. pintoii* is an excellent living mulch for the Tropics because it has almost all agronomy traits for excellent mulch. Based of the result of this study, it is recommended to grow *Pintoii* as living mulch in order to reduce the need of chemical fertilizer and herbicide, for sustainability of agriculture.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Embassy of Indonesia is thanked for the financial support. SANREM Project and North Carolina A&T State University is thanked for scientific and logistical support.

Literature Cited

- Abdul-Baki AA, Bryan HH, Klassen W, Codallo M. 2002. Propagation and establishment of perennial peanuts for ground covers along roadsides and highway ramps. Proc. Fla. State. Hort. Soc. 115: 267-272.
- Addison HJ. 2003. Shade tolerance of tropical forage legumes for use in agroforestry systems. PhD Thesis. Tropical Plant Sciences. School of Tropical Biology. James Cook University, Australia.
- Aguilar W, Staver C and Milberg P. 2003. Weed vegetation response to chemical and manual selective ground cover management in a shaded coffee plantation. European Weed Reseach Society Weed Research 43(2003):68-75.

- Aminah A. Khairuddin G and Kadir MYA. 1996. Effect of planting material and harvesting time on seed production of *Arachis pinto* in Malaysia. pp 95-100. In Halim RA and Chen CP (Eds.). Feed Resources for Smallholder Livestock Production In Southeast Asia. Malaysia.
- Badejo MA, Espindola JAA, Guerra JGM, De Aquino AM and Correa MEF. 2002. Soil oribatid mite communities under three species of legumes in an ultisol in Brazil. *Experimental and Applied Acarology* 27:283-296.
- Bryan HH, Abdul-Baki AA, Reeves JB, Carrera LM, Klassen W, Zinati G and Codallo M. 2001. Perennial *Arachis* spp. As a multipurpose living mulch, ground cover and forage. Abstract. *Journal of Vegetable Crop Production* 7(2):113-136. [http:](http://) (Accessed 20 Nov 2007).
- Canellas LP, Espindola JAA, Guerra JGM, Teixeira MG, Velloso ACX and Rumjanek NM. 2004. Phosphorus analysis in soil under herbaceous perennial legumes cover by magnetic spectroscopy. *Pesq. Agropec. Bras., Brasilia* 39(6):589-596.
- Carvalo MA and Quesenberry KH. 2003. *Arachis pinto* seed production in Florida. In: Scholar, JR (Ed.) *Proceedings of The American Peanut Research and Education Society, Inc.* Clearwater beach, Florida, p. 27.
- Congdon B and Addison H. 2003. Optimising Nutrition for Productive and Sustainable Farm Fprestry System- Pasture legumes under shade. A report for the RIRDC/Land & Water Australia/ FWPRDC MDBC Joint Venture Agroforestry Program. James Cook University, Australia.
- Cook B.G. 1992. *Arachis pinto* Krap. & Greg., nom.nud. L.'t Mannetje and R.M. Jones (Eds). *Plant Resources of South-East Asia. 4. Forages.* Pudoc Scientific Publishers, Wageningen, The Netherlands, pp 48-50.
- De Andrade LRM, De Carvalho AM, De C. Mendes I, Vivaldi L, Karia CT, Junqueira NTV. 2002. Effects of cover crops species on *Passiflora edulis* nutrition. Abstract. *Developments in Plant and Soil Science* 92:1004-1005. <http://springerlink.com/content/x23p9vv6345181m2> (accessed 20 Nov 2007).
- De Andrade CMS, Garcia R, Valentim JF and Pereira OG. 2006. Grazing management startegiiesfor massaigrass-forage peanut pastures. 2. Productivity, utilization and sward structure. *R. Bras. Sootec* 35(2):343-351.
- De Oliveira CA, Muzzi MRS, Purcino HA, Marriel IE and De Sa MH. 2003. Decomposition of *Arachis pinto* and *Hyparrhenia rufa* litters in monoculture and intercropped systems under lowland soil. *Pesq. Agropec. Bras. Brasilia* 8(9) 1089-1095.
- De Oliveira NG, De-Polli H, De Almeida DL and Guerra JGM. 2006. Plantio direto de alface abudaba com cama de aviario sobre coberturas vivas de grama e amendoim forrageiro. Abstract. *Horticultura Brasileira* 24:112-117. <http://www.scielo.br/pdf/hb/v24n1/a23v24n1.pdf> (Accessed 20 Nov 2007).
- De Waele D, Stoffelen R and Kestemont J. 2006. Effect of associated plant species on banana nematodes. *Infomusa The International Journal of Banana and Plantain.* 15(1&2): 2-6.
- Doanh LQ, Tuan HD. 2004. Improving indigenous technologies for sustainable land use in Northern Mountainous areas of Vietnam. *Journal of Mountain Science* 1(3):270-275.
- Dominguez JA, Marban-Mendoza N and De La Cruz R. 1990. Effect of leguminous cover crops on infestation of tomato var. Dina guayabo by *Meloydogyne arabicida* Lopez y Salazar. Abstract. *Turrialba* 40(2):217-221.

- <http://orton.catie.ac.cr/cgi-bin/wxia.exe/?IsisScript=OET.xis&method=post&formato=2&cantidad=1&expresion=mfn=010582> (accessed 20 Nov 2007).
- Duda GP, Guerra JGM, Monteiro MT, De Polli H and Teixeira MG. 2003. Perennial herbaceous legumes as live soil mulches and their effects on C, N and P of the microbial biomass. *Scientia Agricola* 60(1) 139-147.
- Espindola JAA, Guerra JGM, Teixeira MG and Urguiaga S. 2005. Evaluation of perennial herbaceous legumes with different phosphorus sources and levels in a Brazilian Ultisol. *Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems* 20(1):56-62.
- Firth D. 1995. Groundcovers in macadamia orchards. The Sixth Conference of the Australasian Council on Tree and Nut Crops Inc. Lismore, NSW, Australia. <http://www.newscrops.uq.edu.au/acontanc/papers/firth.htm> (accessed 17 nov 2007)
- Firth DJ, Jones RM, MCFayden LM, Cook BG and Whalley RDB. 2002. Selection of pasture species for groundcover suited to shade in mature macadamia orchards in subtropical Australia. *Tropical Grasslands* 36:1-12.
- Firth DJ, Whalley DB and Jones GG. 2003. Legume groundcovers have mixed effects on growth and yield of *Macadamia integrifolia*. Abstract. *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture* 43(4) 419 – 423. <http://www.publish.csiro.au/nid/72/paper/EA01170.htm> (accessed 7 nov 2007)
- Gallegos EC. 2003. Improving a native pasture with the legume *Arachis pintoii* in the humid tropics of México. PhD Thesis Wageningen University, The Netherlands. 157 p.
- Hilje L and Stansly PA. Living ground covers for management of *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius) (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae) and tomato yellow mottle virus (ToYMoV) in Costa Rica. *Crop Prot.* (2007), doi:10.1016/J.cropro.2007.04.003.
- Hohnwald S, Rischkowsky B, Camarao AP, Schultze-Kraft R, Filho JAR and King JM. 2006. Integrating cattle into the slash-and-burn cycle on smallholdings in the Eastern Amazon, using grass-capoeira or grass-legume pastures. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* 117 (2006); 266-276.
- Holman F. 2004. Ex-ante analysis of new forage alternatives on dual purpose cattle farms in Peru, Costa Rica, and Nicaragua. P 89-102. In Holmann F and Lascano C (Eds.). 2004. *Feeding Systems with Legumes to Intensive Dairy Farms*. Costa Rica.
- Huang YB, Tang LF, Zheng ZD, Chen E and Ying ZY. 2004. Utilization of *Arachis pintoii* in red soil region and its efficiency on water-soil conservation in China. In *Conserving Soil and Water for Society: Sharing Solutions*. Proc. 13 International Soil Conservation Organization Conference. (Eds. Reine SR, Biggs AJW, Menzies NW, Freebairn Dm and Tolmie PE). 4-9 July, Brisbane. ASSSI/IECA. Paper 950 pp.1-4.
- Isaac WAP, Brathwaite RAI, Cohen JE and Bekele I. 2006. Effects of alternative weed management strategies on *Commelina diffusa* Burm. Infestations in fairtrade banana (*Musa* spp.) in St. Vincent and the Grenadines. *Crop Protection* 26 (2007) 1219-1225.
- Khamsekhiew B, Liang JB, Jalan ZA and Wong CC. 2007. Effect of *Arachis pintoii* supplementation on intake, nitrogen utilization and performance in sheep. Malaysia. <http://www.vet.chula.ac.th/~nuclear/symposium44/bodee.htm> (accessed 1 nov 2007).

- Lanes C, Rosa NM and De La AE. 2003. Dynamics of the soil microfauna in orchards (*Cocos nucifera*), with herbaceous legume cover crops. Abstract. *Ensaios e Ciencia: Serie Ciencias Exatas e tecnologia*. 7(3):423-430. <http://cababstractsplus.org/google/abstract.asp?AcNo=20043130595> (accessed 20 Nov 2007).
- Lapointe SL. 2003. Leguminous cover crops and their interactions with citrus and *Diaprepes abbreviatus* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *Florida Entomologist* 86 (1) 80-85.
- Lara D and Reategui K. 2004. Effect on milk yield of the association of *Brachiaria* with *Arachis pintoii* in the Alto Mayo Region of the Peruvian Amazon. P 70-71. In Holmann F and Lascano C (Eds.). *Feeding Systems with Legumes to Intensify Dairy Farms*. Costa Rica.
- Lobo M and Acuna V. 2004. Milk production in dual purpose cows grazing pastures of *Brachiaria brizantha* cv. La libertad alone and associated with *Arachis pintoii* cv. El Porvenir in the subhumid tropics of Costa Rica. P 49-54. In Holmann F and Lascano C (Eds.). *Feeding Systems with Legumes to Intensify Dairy Farms*. Costa Rica.
- Lowe KF, Bowdler TM and Casey ND. 2003. Comparison of six forage systems as a source of late summer/autumn feed for dairy heifers. *Animal Production in Australia*. 25:120-123.
- Mannetje L. 2007. *Arachis pintoii*. <http://www.fao.org/ag/AGP/AGPC/doc/Gbase/DATA/pf000463.htm> (accessed 10/23/2007)
- Maswar, Sutono and Sidik HT. 2005. Participatory trials for the refinement of conservation practices. p 39-46. In: Fahmuddin A and Van Noordwijk M (Editors). *Alternatives to Slash and Burn in Indonesia: Facilitating the development of agroforestry systems: Phase 3 Synthesis and Summary Report*. World Agroforestry Centre, Southeast Asia, Bogor, Indonesia.
- Neef A. 2004. Integrating participatory elements into conventional research projects: Counting the costs and measuring the benefits. <http://www.prgaprogram.org/IAWFTPpapers/Neef-2.pdf>. 14 p. (Accessed in 10/26/2007).
- Neef A, Schultze-Kraft R, Sampet C, Saepueng W, Suriyong S. 2004. Seed production potential and participatory vegetative propagation of *Arachis pintoii* in different environments in Northern Thailand. 13th International Soil Conservation Organization Conference-Conserving Soil and Water for Society: Sharing Solution. Paper no. 761.
- Oelbermann M, Voroney RP, Kass DCL and Schlonvoigt AM. 2005. Soil carbon and nitrogen dynamics using stable isotopes in 19- and 10-year-old tropical agroforestry systems. *Geoderma* 130 (2006) 356-367.
- Oliveira FL de, Souto SM and Guerra JGM. 2001. Effect of shading on some perennial herbaceous legumes used as cover crops. Abstract. *Agronomia* 35(1/2):77-82. <http://www.cababstractsplus.org/google/abstract.asp?AcNo=20043030999> (Accessed 20 Nov 2007).
- Oliveira CA, Scotti MRMML, Purcino HA, Vasconcellos CA. Marriel IE and Sa NMH. 2002. Decomposition of *Arachis pintoii* litter intercropped with forage grass in "cerrado" soil in the dry and wet season. *Biol Fertile Soils* 36:405-410.
- Perez MB, Carmenate RP, Perez AB, Cubillas N, Cepeero RM. 2001. Impact on soil of herbaceous legumes as an improvement of natural cover in citrus plantations. *Ensaios e Ciencia: Serie Ciencias Biologicas, Agrarias, e da Saude*.

- 5(2): 93-116. <http://cababstractsplus.org/google/abstract.sp?AcNo=20043096789> (accessed 20 Nov 2007).
- Pengvichith V, Ledin S, Horne P and Ledin I. 2006. Effects of different fertilizers and harvest frequencies on foliage and tuber yield and chemical composition of foliage from two cassava (*Manihot esculenta*, Crantz) Varieties. *Tropical and Subtropical Agroecosystems* 6(2006):177-187.
- Rivas L and Holmann F. 2000. Early adoption of *Arachis pinto* in the humid tropics: the case of dual-purpose livestock systems in Caqueta, Colombia. *Journal of Livestock Research for Rural Development* 12 (3), July 2000.
- Rivas L and Holmann F. 2004. Early adoption of *Arachis pinto* in the humid tropics: the case of dual-purpose livestock systems in Caqueta, Colombia. P 103-107. *In* Holmann F and Lascano C (Eds.). *Feeding Systems with Legumes to Intensive Dairy Farms*. Costa Rica.
- Romero F and Gonzalez J. 2004. Evaluation of *Brachiaria decumbens* alone and associated with *Arachis pinto* on milk production and milk components. P 4-11. *In* Holmann F and Lascano C (Eds.). *Feeding Systems with Legumes to Intensive Dairy Farms*. Costa Rica.
- Severino FJ and Christoffoleti PJ. 2004. Weed suppression by smother crops and selective herbicides. *Sci. Agric. (Piracicaba, Braz)* 61(1):21-26.
- Sugahara K, Ohwaki Y, Banzai K. 2001. Erosion control in pineapple fields on the island of Ishigaki. *Abstarct. Jarq* 35(2):91-96. <http://sciencelinks.jp/j-east/article/00120/000020012001A0675480.php> (accessed 20 Nov 2007).
- Tropical Forages. 2007. Perennial peanut; *Arachis Pinto*. http://www.tropicalforages.info/key/Forages/Media/Html/Arachis_pinto.htm(Accessed 10/26/ 2007)
- Villarreal M, Cochran RC, Villalobos L, Roja-Bourrillon and Rodriguez R. 2005. Dry-matter yields and crude protein and rumen-degradable protein concentrations of three *Arachis pinto* ecotypes at different stages of regrowth in the humid tropics. *Grass and Forage Science* 60:237-243.
- Wikipedia. 2007. *Arachis pinto*. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Arachis_pinto (Accessed 10/23/2007).
- Williges U. 2004. Status of Organic Agriculture in Sri Lanka with Special Emphasis on Tea Production System. PhD Thesis. Faculty of Plant Protection. Justus-Liebig-University of Giessen. 120 p.
- Wunscher T, Schultze-Kraft R, Peters M and Rivas L. 2004. Early adoption of the tropical forage legume *Arachis pinto* in Huetar Norte, Costa Rica. *Expl. Agric.* 40:257-268.
- Zhiping Q, Rao I, Ricaurte J, Amezcuita E, Sanz J and Kerridge P. 2002. Root distribution effects on nutrient uptake and soil erosion in crop-forage systems on Andean Hillside. *J. Sust. Agric.* (submitted)