PRODUKSI LISTRIK DAN HUMOTEKS DARI LIMBAH PADAT KELAPA SAWIT DENGAN PROSES DRANCO [1]

PRODUCTION OF ELECTRICITY AND HUMOTEX FROM OIL PALM SOLID WASTES THROUGH DRANCO PROCESS

R. Sudradjat[2]

RINGKASAN

Dranco proses (Dry Anaerobis Conversion) adalah proses fermentasi anaerobic yang dapat mengubah bahan organik menjadi biogas (listrik) dan humotex (kompos anaerobik). Penelitian ini mempelajari aspek teknis dan ekonomis pemanfaatan limbah perkebunan kelapa sawit berupa tandan dan kulit buah menggunakan model perkebunan sawit seluas 2000 ha.

Dari areal tersebut akan dihasilkan 38 ton tandan basah perhari atau 52 ton substrat pada 28% KK (kadar kering). Melalui proses Dranco, substrat tersebut akan diubah menjadi biogas dengan kapasitas 2700 m^3 CH$_4$ (methane) atau 7881 kwh tanaga listrik dengan netto sebesar 6881 kwh perhari. Humotex yang dihasilkan adalah 8 ton pada 90% KK.

Analisis ekonomis menunjukkan Net Present Worth Rp. 1184 juta, B/C rasio 1.83 Internal Rate of Return 41.2%. BEP untuk produksi tenaga listrik terletak pada 883 kwh dan humotex 104 ton perhari. Proyek ini secara teknis dan ekonomis beralasan untuk dikembangkan.

I. OIL PALM IN INDONESIA

Indonesia is greatly dependent on oil for its foreign currency. This situation leads to enhancement of the production and export of non oil commodities especially agricultural products. In this respect, oil palm is an important product in the agricultural sector.

It has been increasing its acreage throughout many provinces in Indonesia.

In 1983, oil palm plantations in Indonesia covered 440.766 ha which 273.035 ha of mature trees and 167.731 ha of immature trees. In the 1983-1988 period, palm estates are expected to axpand at an average rate of 8% year, whereas oil palm and kernel production is expected to increase by an average of 15.7 and 15.9% respectivelly. In 1989, Indonesia's oil palm plantation is 980.693 ha, about a half of the average are in the production age. Oil palm production in 1989 is about 2 million ton.

Currently, wastes such as Empty Fruit Bunch (EFB) and Pericarp (P) are bunt in the factory and thus recyled in the from of heat and mineral fertilizers. Since FEB and P have high moisture content, they need preheating (sundrying) or mixing with another type of dried biomass to be able to be used as type for boilers. In order to get energy to high value from such wet materials, the possible application of biogas technology deserves to be evaluted.

In the processing of oil palm, several types of organis wastes are produced. The treatment of waste water has received a lot of attention (Petitpierre, 1982; Martin, 1981). By anaerobic digestion the COD and BOD of oil palam factory effluents can be lowered 75 - 95% and substantial amounts of biogas concomintantly produced. Furthermore, the digested effluents can be recyled as a non phytotoxic soil ferteilizer (Lim Kim Huan, 1986).

This study focusses on the conversion of EFB and P by means of a special type of biogas fermentation, the so called Dranco Process (Dry Anaerobic Conversion).

II.   TECHNICAL ASPECTS

A.   Available substrates

This study was applied to a 2000 ha oil palm plantation.

1. Fresh Fruit Bunch (FFB) = 20 ton green matter/ha yr (25% DM). For 2000 ha = 40.000 ton green matter/yr or about 100 ton green matter/d.

2. Waste products.
    Empty Fruit Brunch (EFB) 38% of FEB = 38 ton green EFB/d (25% DM) Or 9.5 ton DM/d. Pericarp (P) 9% of FEB = 9 ton wet weight/d (55% DM) or 4.9 ton DM/d. After steaming during oil palm processing, the dry matter content decrease to 35%. Hence, one has 5.0/0.35 = 14.0 ton wet waste perday.

3. Total available substrates (EFB + P): 38 + 14.0 = 52.0 ton/d at 28% DM (14.5/52.1) or 14.5 ton DM.

B.   Operational parameters

1. Process

Nama of the process	: Dranco Process
Temperature	: 55°C
Substrate dry matter	: 28%
Volumetric loading	: 12.5 kg DM/m^3 r.d. rate
Retention time	: 22.5 days
Conversion to organic	: 40% of COD is considered to be converted to methane gas. This figure is based on laboratory tests.

Table 1. Material composisiton of the fermentor
Tabel 1. Komposisi material dari fermentor

Composisiton (Komposisi)	Thickness (m) (Ketebalan)	(W/m.k)
Coating (inner side) (Lapis dalam)	0.003	0.17
Cement plaster (Plester semen)	0.05	1.5
Reinforced concrete (Beton)	0.19	1.9
Cement plaster (Plester semen)	0.01	1.5
Extruded polystryrene (Plastik polistirene)	0.06	0.035
Cement plester (outer side) (Semen lapis luar)	0.01	1.5

2. Construction and wall compositons of the fermentor.
Per day 52.1 ton substrate at 28% DM (14.5 ton DM) wil be digested at loading rate 12.5 kg DM/m^3 r.d. Hence this substrate will be fed in a fermentor of $14.500/12.5 = 1160$ m^3. In practice 1400 m^3 is required. It is proposed to built a circular vessel with $r = 5$ m and $h = 17.9$ m. The wall of fermentor is composed wtih several different materials form the inner side to outer side which are respectively shown in table 1. These material composition cover the whole body of the fementor. It is however conceivable to construct the digester in a more simplified way because, as will be shown further on the heat balance of the process is not critical.

3. The inhouse power concumptions.

The inhouse power consumptions of the Dranco Process shown in table 2. These values are based on information obatined from De Wilde and Van Hile (1986).

Table 2. The inhouse power consumption

Tabel 2. Tenaga yanag dikonsumsi sendiri

Items	Consumption (Konsumsi)	Amount (t/d) (Jumlah)	Kwh/d
Chopper (Pencacah)	4.0 kwh/ton	55	220
Conveying and mixing (Penghantar dan Pengaduk)	3.0 kwh/ton	200	600
Water pupm (Pompa air)	0.5 kwh/ton	20	10
Press machine (Mesin pres)	4.0 kwh/ton	32	128
Siever (Penyaring)	4.0 kwh/ton	10	42

C. Production of biogas and elctricity

1. Production of biogas.

The daily methane prodcution will be : 14.5 ton DM/d x 4.4 x 1.33 x 350 m^3 CH4 = 2700 m^3 CH4, equal to 2700 m^3 x 100/60 = 4500 m^3 biogas.

2. Thermal energy needs for the Dranco Proces.

   * Energy needs for heating up the need:

     52100 kg/d x (35°) x 1 kcal/kg^{o}C x 4.18 MJ/kcal x 10^3 = 7622 MJ/d.

* Energy needs for maintaining temperature at 55°C, are calculated based on the formula:

$$Q = \Sigma \ KA \ \hat{V} \ t, \text{ from which : } k \ \frac{1}{1/\alpha i + \Sigma \ d/\lambda + 1/\alpha \ u}$$

The calculation gives : $\Sigma k = 0.53$ W/m^2 °K for $\alpha i = 600$ W/m^2 and $\alpha u = 25$ W/m^2. Since the fermentor has a size of r = 5 m and h = 17.9 m then A = (2 π 5 x 17.9 m) + 2(78.5) = 719.1 m^2

Maintaining the temperature will roughly require = 0.63 x 719.1 x (55 - 20) x 10^{-3} x 3.6 kj/W x 24 = 1152 MJ/d. When the wall is simplified with coating, cement plaster and reinforced concrete (d = 0.24 m), the heat required to keep the ractor on temperature is 9512 MJ/d.

* Energy for drying 13 ton humotex, 70% DM to become 10 ton 90% DM = 3000 x (100 - 30) kcal/kg°C = 877.8 MJ

3000 x (100 - 30) kcal/kg°C =	877.8 MJ
3000 x 540 x 10 x 4.18	=	6771.6 MJ

7649.4 MJ

* Total thermal energy need for Dranco procxess:
  762 + 9512 + 7649 = 24783 MJ/d

3. Energy balance of the Dranco process.
    About 50% of the biogas thermal energy can be recuperated from generator and 60% from it will be lost during heat transfer. Total thermal energy from biogas is 2700 m^3 CH4 x 35 MJ/m^3 CH4 = 94500 MJ/d. Recuperated thermal energy is 0.5 x 94500 x 0.6 = 28350 MJ/d. Total thermal energy needs amount in the worst case is 24783 MJ/d. Thus, all the thermal energy needs for Dranco can be obtained from excess heat, produced by generator:

E F B and P
from the factory
|
v
STORAGING
|
v
- - - - - - -> CHOPING
220 KWH/D   (52.1 ton, 28% DM)
              (=14.5 ton DM)
600 KWH/d
- - - - - - - >
Inoculum (150 ton)   v
        - - - - - -> MIXING
                    (200 ton FEB+P+Inoculum)
                         |
                         v
        ====>SS FERMENTOR   residu (342 t/d)
              (1400 m^3)
                         |
                         v
        BIOGAS HOLDER   v
         (1400 M^3)   - - - - PRESS - - -
                                         |
                                         v
                            Wet Humotek (13t/d) v
                    128 KWH/d   Press Liquor
                                           (19 ton)
17134 MJ/d   v
        ================== GENERATOR ====================> Drying
                         | 7649 MJ/d |
                         v   v
                                    Seeving (40 MJ/d)
                         v |
        - - - - - - - ELECTRICITY - - - -   v
                    (6881 KWH/d)   Humotek (8 ton/d)

- - - - - : Flow of electricity
========= : Flow of thermal heat
--------- : Flow of material

Figure 1.   Process and materials lay out
    Gambar 1.   Diagram alir proses dan material

4. Electricity and humotex production.

    * Electricity production.

      Gross electricity production from the biogas is :
94500 MJ/d x 0.278 kwh/MJ x 0.3 = 7881 kwh/d. In
view of the electricity required by the Dranco is
self (see Table 3), the net electricity production
will be : 7881 kwh/d - 1000 kwh/d = 6881 kwh/d.
The generator capacity required at a load factor
0.6 is 7881 x $1/15^{*)}$ x 1/0.6 = 875 kw or 900 kwh.

    * Humotex production

      After fermentation about 40% of the COD will be
reduced to methane, or 14.5 ton x 1.33 = 7.7 ton.
The residu after fermentation will be about 14.5
(1.33). 0.6 = 12 ton COD or ca. 9 ton DM after
pressing, the dry matter content of the humotex
will be 65% or the wight of humotex will be 9/0.65
= 32 ton at 28% DM. By pressing, the moisture is
reduced and get 13 ton of 70% DM. The energy
required for drying is 7649 MJ/d to get 10 ton of
90% DM. After seeving, the humotex obtained is 8
ton at 90% DM.

III. ECONOMICAL ASPECT

A. Investment

Table 3 shows the investment of the Solid State
Fermentation Project at 4500 m^3 biogas production ca-
pacity. All the biogas is converted to electricity and
heat. The values between bracket indicates the cost price
in million rupiah per unit. The investment needs for this
project roughly estimated at 1450 million rupiah.
Of which:

$^{*)}$ 15 hours operation/day

- Constructional parts (+) : 784 million, life time 20 years.

- Mechanical parts (-) : 622 million, life time 10 years.

- Special part (++) : 80 million, life time 5 years R

B. Depreciation

1. Depreciatiob of mechanical parts.
   Method: Sinking Fund: d = (P-F) (a/F, i%, N)
   For i = 15%, N = 10 years; P = 622; F = 62 '(10%)
   B1 (622 - 62 (0.0493) = Rp. 27.6 million

2. Depreciation of constructional parts.
   For i = 15%, N = 20 years; P = 748; F = 75
   B2 = (748-75) (0.0098) = Rp. 6.6 million.

3. Depreciation of special parts.
   For i = 15%; N = 15 years; P = 80; F = 80
   B3 = (80-8)(0.1483) = Rp. 10.6 million

   Total B1 + B2 + B3 = Rp. 44.8 million/yeras.

Table 3. Invesments of the project in 1989.
Tabel 3. Investasi proyek pada tahun 1989

		Million (*Juta*) Rp.
+	Solid State Fermentator (1400 m^3 a 0.4)	560
—	Conveying and mixing (200 ton a 0.5)	100
+	Gas holder (1400 m^3 a 0.05)	70
—	Generator (900 kw a 0.50)	450
—	Chopper (55 ton a 0.8)	45
+	Substrate strorage tank (55 ton a 0.70)	38
+	Humatex strorage tank (15 ton a 0.70)	10
+	Piping and connections (200 m a 0.1)	20
—	Pumping (20 ton a 0.2)	5
—	Building (250 m^2 a 0.2)	50
++	Plastic sealing machine (packaging) and sewing	10
—	Press machine (15 ton a 1.5)	22
++	Control panels and computerization	20
++	Administrative accessories	20
++	Truck	30
	T o t a l	1450

C. Production Cost
1. Fixed Cost
Wages:

	Million Rp/y
— 1 Manager Rp. 500.000/month	6.0
— 1 Administrative Rp. 250.000/month	3.0
— 1 Insurance 10% (Of total wages)	4.0
Total C_1	13.0

2. Variable cost.

	Million Rp/y
— 3 Technicians a Rp. 10.000/d	10.8
— 8 Handlabourers a Rp. 6.000/d	17.3
— 1 Truck dirver a Rp. 10.000/d	3.6
— 1 Chemist for quality control	5.0
— Packaging : 57600 pieces of 50 kg each, a Rp. 250	14.4
— Gasoline for truck 50 l/d	3.8
— Administrative costs	5.0
— Contingencies 1%/total production cost	1.0
Total C_2	60.9

Total production cost $C_1 + C_2$ = Rp. 73.9 million.

D. Annual expenes
Depreciation + annual production costs:
44.8 + 73.9 = Rp. 118.7 million

E. Annul Revenues

— Electricity:

6881 Kwh/d x 360 x Rp. 100   = Rp. 247.7 million

— Humotex :

8000 kg (90% DM) x 360 x Rp. 100 = Rp. 288.0 million

Total E.   Rp. 535.7 million

F. Project evaluation

1. Present worth method (PW)

Present worth

— Annual revenues Rp. 535.7 million

(P/A, 15%, 20)   3353.1

— Salvage value (P/F, 15%, 20)

Mechanical parts   15.3

Construction parts   4.6

Special parts   3.8

Rp. 3376.7 million

— Annual disbursement Investment   1450.0

— Annual expenses (P/A, 15%, 20)   742.9

Rp. 2192.9 million

Net present worth Rp. 1183.8 million

Since the net present worth is > 0, the project is justi-
fied.

2. Benefit-cost ratio (B/C ratio)

$$B/C \text{ ratio} = \frac{B - (0 + M)}{CR},$$

where CR = (P-F) (A/P, 1%, N) + F (1%)

CR (Capital recovery):

$(1450 - (250.8 + 75 + 65.1))\, 0.1598 + 390.9\, (0.15) =$

$169.2 + 58.64 = 227.9$

B/C ratio : $\dfrac{535.7 - 118.7}{227.9} = \dfrac{417}{227.9} = 1.83$

The project is justified since the B/C ratio > 1.

3. The internal ratio of return (IRR)

$$IRR = \sum_{k=0}^{N} (R_k\ (P/F,\ i\%,\ k) - \sum_{k=0}^{N} (D_k\ (P/F,\ i\%,\ K) = 0$$

$-1450+(535.7 - 118.7)(P/A,\ i\%,\ 20) + 390.9\ (P/F,\ i\%,\ 20)\,i\%$

for i = 10% = - 1450 + 417 (8.5136) + 390.0

(0.1486 + 2158.3)

i = 50% = - 1450 + 417 (1.99) + 390.9(0) = -620.17

$\dfrac{50\% - 10\%}{2158.3 - (0620.17)} = \dfrac{1\% - 10\%}{2158.3}$

$i\% = 10\% + \dfrac{987.9}{2158.3 - (-620.17)} \times (50-10) = 10\% + (0.78 \times 40) =$

41.2%

G. Break even analyses

By grouping annual expannes inti fixed costs and variable cost, the Break Even Point (BEP) can be calculated (at 15% interest):

Fixed cost (FC) : 13 + 44.8 = Rp. 59.8 million

Variable costs (VC) : Rp. 73.9 million

$BEP = \dfrac{FC \times Sales}{Sales \times VC}$ $BEP = \dfrac{57.8 \times 535.7}{535.7 - 73.9} = 67.02$

The BEP is situated at sales level of Electricity (46%):

Rp. 30.8 million = 883 KWh/d

Humotex (54%) : Rp. 36.2 million = 1.04 ton/d.

IV. DISCUSION

Conversion of Empty Fruit Bunch (EFB) and Pericarp (P) from 2000 ha of oil palm estates by means of the Dranco process can give several advantages compared to direct burning:

- This process can produced either biogas or electricity while both are a high quality energy.
- Since the EFB and P are wet (25% DM) the direct burning system will loose lots of energy to vapourize the water, while the Dranco process can give high efeiciency of conversion.

The electricity produced by the Dranco generator can be consumed either by the factory or the village people. Indeed, Martin (1981) estimated that about 400 kw of electricity is required per ha of housing area and service.

De Baere et al. (1987) stated that the quality of humotex produced from the organic fraction of municipal solid wastes is considerably different from that of conventional compost produced by means of an aerobic process. The humotex from Dranco process has a carbon to nitrogen ratio of 12 to 15, indicating a high degree of stability. For aerobic compost, this ratio caries from 10 to 30 depending on the marutity and the kind of material. The oxygen consumption is leaves the reactor equivalent to that aerobic compost which has been cured during a period of minimum 4 to 6 months. Hygienic analysis of humotex indicates that fecal bacteria are almost completely absent. This high hygienic quality is also in sharp contrast with compost produced by means of conventional aerobic composting. In practice, humotex can be applied for the fertilization of the oil palm plantations, of rice fields, of horticultural crops, or for Forest Plant Estates which is projected to 6.2 million ha in Indonesia.

Other solid organic waste materials such as the leaves of oil palm trees after prunning could also be digested. Potential biogas production from 2000 ha plantations are estimated as follows:

2000 ha (2.8 ton DM/ha*) x 0.3 x 1.33 x 0.35 m^3 CH4/kg COD x 100/65 x 1/365 = 3296 m^3/d

*) Martin, 1981.

If the biogas is converted to electricity it will produce about 5211 KWh/d.

Conversion of the leaves to biogas will shorten their humification period. Normally by the SSF process, the humification is finished in 20 - 25 days. Recent findings by Sudradjat (1990) shows that the press liquor can be used as a high quality soil conditioner as well as hydroponic liquor. When the Dranco residue is pressed it can also be transformed to a very strong briquette whithout heat nor gule treatmen is required.

V. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMENDATION

1. This study focussed on 2000 ha of oil palm plantation. The Empty Fruit Bunch (EFB) and Pericarp (P) are estimated to be 14.5 ton DM, equal to 52.1 ton at 28% DM content.

2. By the Dry Anaerobic Conversion (Dranco) process, the substrates can produced biogas 4500 m^3/d or 12700 m^3 CH4 equivalent to 94500 MJ/d. The latter could yield 7662 KWh electricity per day. The net electricity production is 6881 KWh/d.

3. The total investment of the project is estimated at Rp. 1450 million (1989).

4. Economic evaluation shows the following data.

	(million Rp.)
Annual expanses	118.7
Annual revenues	535.7
Present worth	1183.8
B/C ratio	1.83
I R R	41.2 %
BEP electricity	883 KWh/d
BEP humotex	1.4 ton/d.

5. Other organic wastes from plantation and factory for instance the leaves from prunning could also be used as substarte for producing biogas or electricity. Fro an acreage of 2000 ha oil plam estate roughly 5211 KWh/d electricity could possible be produced from the leaves.

6. In view of the potential benefits, both in the area of energy recovery and organic matter recycling, a more detail investigation in this field are urgently need-ed.

REFERENCES (*PUSATAKA*)

De Baere L. and W. Verstrate. 1984. High - rate anaerobic composting with biogas recovery. Biocycle 25 (2):30-31.

De Baere L. and W. Verstrate. 1984. Anaerobic digestion and carbohydrate hydrolysis of waste, p. 195-208. Ferrero et al. Anaerobic digestion and carbohydrate hydrolysis of waste. Elsevier Applied Science Publisher, London and New York.

De Baere L., O. Verdonck and W. Verstate. 1985. High rate dry anaerobic composting process for the organic fraction of solid waste. Biotechnology and Bioengineering Symposium (5):322-330. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. New York.

De Baere L., Van Meenen, S. S. Deboosere and W. Verstate.
    1987. Anaerobic fermentation of refuse. Resources
    and Conservation 14):295-308.

Deboosere, S.S., L. De Baere, J. Smis, W. Verstate and W.
    Six. 1986. Dry anaerobic fermentaion of concentart-
    ed substrate. Conference paper on Water Treatment
    Conference. Aquatech 1986. p. 479-488.

De Widle B. and S. Van Hile. 1986. Biogas production
    from waterhycinth. Agriculture Technical Assitance
    (ATA) Report. Belgium - Indonesia tion project, p.
    83. Unphubilshed.