

C





ICSAFS

International Conference on Sustainable Agriculture and Food Security: Challenges and Opportunities

Bandung-Indonesia, 27-28 September 2011

Proceeding

(Oral Papers)

Editors: Anne Nurbaity (Indonesia) Edy Subroto (Indonesia) Endang Yuni Setyowati (Indonesia) Florin Stanica (Romania) Ichsan Nurul Bari (Indonesia) Klaus Wimmers (Germany) Nono Carsono (Indonesia) Oviyanti Mulyani (Indonesia) Oviyanti Mulyani (Indonesia) Pasi Lehmousloto (Finlandia) Paul S. Teng (Singapore) Shantosa Yudha Siswanto (Indonesia) Stevica Aleksic (Republic of Serbia)

UNIVERSITAS PADJADJARAN

www.agroconference.unpad.ac.id





International Conference on Sustainable Agriculture and Food Security: *Challenges and Opportunities*

Proceeding

(Oral Papers)

Editors: Anne Nurbaity (Indonesia) Edy Subroto (Indonesia) Endang Yuni Setyowati (Indonesia) Florin Stanica (Romania) Ichsan Nurul Bari (Indonesia) Klaus Wimmers (Germany) Nono Carsono (Indonesia) Oviyanti Mulyani (Indonesia) Pasi Lehmousloto (Finlandia) Paul S. Teng (Singapore) Shantosa Yudha Siswanto (Indonesia) Stevica Aleksic (Republic of Serbia)

Universitas Padjadjaran Indonesia 2012

DISCLAIMER

The International Conference on Sustainable Agriculture and Food Security (ICSAFS) was held on September 2011 at Universitas Padjadjaran. Responsibility for the content of these papers rests solely with the authors. Where trade names are used, this constitutes neither endorsement of nor discrimination against any product by the instituion.

Published by Faculty of Agriculture Universitas Padjadjaran Indonesia

April 2012

Nurbaity, A., Subroto, E., Setyowati, E.Y., Stanica, F., Bari, I.N., Carsono, N., Mulyani, O., Lehmousloto, P., Teng, P.S., Siswanto, S.Y., Aleksic, S. 2012. Proceeding of International Conference on Sustainable Agriculture and Food Security (ICSAFS). 782p.

Copyright on all papers on the Conference resides with Universitas Padjadjaran Jl. Raya Jatinangor km. 21 Bandung 40600 West Java Indonesia

ISBN 978-979-8246-11-1 [printed version] ISBN 978-979-8246-12-8 [electronic version]

Technical editing and design: Ichsan Nurul Bari and Gigih Ibnu Prayoga

FOREWORD

Agriculture as one of leading economic sectors in some countries, is currently facing many problems. This situation could be overcomed by policy and institutional environment which is condusive to increase agricultural productivity while maintaining a sustainable agriculture development and food security. According to this, it is required to develop strategies, a new paradigm, and holistic approach to support the agricultural growth continuum.

In order to make a significant contribution to the better understanding of sustainable agriculture for meeting food security needs and addressing climate change challenges, an International Conference on Sustainable Agriculture and Food Security was held in Bandung Indonesia on 27-28 September 2011. This conference was organized by collaboration of four faculties in Universitas Padjadjaran: Faculty of Agriculture, Faculty of Animal Husbandry, Faculty of Fishery and Marine Science, and Faculty of Agricultural Industrial Technology. Ministry of Agriculture of Republic Indonesia and internationally well-known experts from USA, Finlandia, Singapore, Germany, Malaysia, Romania, Republic of Serbia, China as well as Indonesia were invited as resource speakers.

More than 250 participants from 15 countries attended the conference. The conference shared experiences and views regarding agricultural production in a changing environment towards sustainable agriculture development to maintain food security, and stimulated cooperative research among participating institutions.

About 180 papers are presented and the committee hopes that these papers will be a lsating record of the contributions to this conference and a useful reference for all practitioners in the fields of agriculture in general. Some of the topics presented include critical issues dealing with sustainable agriculture and food security, agrosocio-economy, agritechnology, plant sciences, animal production, and food technology. The committee would like to thank the many reviewers of the papers for their contribution to these proceedings.

The conference and proceeding would have not been accomplished without the support of many individuals, groups and academic units. We owe our gratitude to those who commit and dedicate their self to this conference.

Benny Joy Chair of ICSAFS

CONTENTS

FOREWORDiii
CONTENTSv
INVITED SPEAKERS
New Technologies for The Improvement of Yield and Quality of Beef of Domestic Spotted Breed Aleksić S., M.M. Petrović, V. Pantelić, Ž. Novaković, D. Ostojić, N. Stanišić, and M.
Aleksic S., M.M. Petrovic, V. Pantelic, Z. Novaković, D. Ostojić, N. Stanisić, ana M. Novaković
The Effect of Pollution on Food Security of Floating Net Cage Aquaculture in The Lake Dhahiyat, Y9
Characteristics of Indonesian Lakes and Fisheries Development Lehmusluoto, P
Opportunities for Sustainable Intensification of Agricultural Practices to Improve Crop Productivity of Small Holding Farmers in West Africa Prasad, P.V. V., Jesse B. Naab, Mamadou Doumbia and Timothy Dalton
Management of Water Saving and Organic Based Fertilizers Technology for Remediation and Maintaining The Health of Paddy Soils and to Increase The Sustainability of Rice Productvity in Indonesia Simarmata, T., B. Joy and T. Turmuktini
New Fruit Technologies in Europe Stănică, F48
Empowering Business of "Garut Sheep" for Small Holder Farmers in West Java Tawaf, R., D. Heriyadi, A. Anang, M. Sulaeman and R. Hidayat
Biotechnology to Ensure Food Security Teng, P.S
Diversification of Food Products to Support Food Security: Development of Food Products Based on Sorghum Rice and Flour <i>Tjahjadi, C</i>
Application of Genomics Approaches to Unravel The Functional Biodiversity of Farm Animals Wimmers, K. and S. Ponsuksili
Ecological Rain-Fed Agriculture in Semiarid Loess Plateau of Northwest China Xiong, Y
Biodiversity and Variety Improvement of Crop Plant Zain, S.M.

SUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURE91
Indicators of Agricultural Sustainability at the Regional Level a Case Study of Vidarbha Borkar, P93
Effect of Mulch, Clay and Organic Matter on Soil Chemical and Biological Properties of Sandy Soil and Growth of Physic Nut (<i>Jatropha curcas</i> L.) Djajadi
Sustainability of Food Resources by Eco-Farming Implementation: The Role of Farmer's Socio Economics Frimawaty, E., A. Basukriadi, J. A.Syamsu, and T.E.B. Soesilo
Utilization of Yard to Increase Household Income and Food Security Herliana, S. and Yogi
Harmonizing Agriculture, Forests and Fishery Management in the Design of REDD+ in Small Islands of Kepulauan Aru Regency, Maluku Province Mardiatmoko, G
Farmers are sacrificing their health for production of vegetables Muktamar, Z., S. Sudjatmiko, B. Toha, and M. Asteria
Phosphorus Recovery from Agroindustrial Wastewater through Struvite Crystallisation: Principles and Applications Muryanto, S., A.P. Bayuseno, E. Supriyo, and B. Hermanu
Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi Induced the Content of Isoflavonoid that Reduced Potato Cyst Nematode on Roots of Potato Plants Nurbaity, A, T. Sunarto, M.A. Solihin, and R. Hindersah
Analysis of Sustainability of Capture Fisheries Resources Management (A Case in Pangandaran the District of Ciamis West Java) Nurhayati, A., Rusidi, M.H. Karmana, & B. Koswara
Remediating The Degraded Land Due to Mining of Pumice Stone in The Northern Part of Lombok Island by Applying Silicate Rock-Organic Fertilizer Priyono, J., C. Sukorahardjo, and A. A. Rahmianna
Evaluation of Extension Worker's Attitude toward Integrated Farming System in Indonesia Putra, R. A. R. S, J. Udomsade, and S. Niyamangkoon
Numerous Factors Influencing Food Availability During Harvesting and Lean Seasons in West Timor Suek, J. and H.J.D. Lalel
The Characterization and Evaluation of Local Upland Rice Cultivars to Blast Disease (<i>Pyricularia oryzae</i>) Resistance in Southeast Sulawesi <i>Taufik, M., T. Wijayanto, and A. Wahab</i>

	cts of Nitrification Inhibitors on Denitrification in Soils Indaon, F. and J.C.G. Ottow	212
Orga	Is Increasing of Sweet Potato (<i>Ipomea batatas I</i> .), Variety of Beauregard by anic Cropping System at Desa Cilembu, Sumedang <i>/agiono</i>	228
AGROS	OCIO-ECONOMY	235
Cons	Potentials and Pitfalls of Ecotourism Development on Natural Resources servation Area in Indonesia venzora, R., and T. Sunarminto	237
Agric	ability in Selected Malaysian Crop Production in Reference to the National cultural Policies orkotoky, P., I. AbdLatif, Z.A. Mohamed and M.N. Shamsudin	247
	ic Perception of Food Alternatives for Rice in Bandung eliana, Y.	253
Unde	iological Response of Tomato (<i>Lycopersicon esculentum L</i> .) to Boron Fertilizers er the Varied Soil Lime Content araman, M.R., S. Şahin, N. Geboloğlu, M. Turan, and M. Sadıkoğlu	260
	uation of Food Safety Concept in Indonesian Food Security Policies armana, M.H., E. Wulandari and D. Supyandi	269
Econ	o-economic Interfaces of African Indigenous Vegetables in a Subsistence nomy and the Implication for Food Security in Western Kenya angat, B.K., V.K. Ngéno, V. Mugalavai, L.G. Linnet and S. Yaninek	279
on Sr	noting Forest and Non Timber Forest Cultivation to Increase Farmer's Income mall Scale Private Forest (A case study at Tanjung Raya Village, Samarang Sub rict, Garut, West Java)	
	ıharti, S	287
Com	ronmental Friendly Attitudes of Women in Forest Management Based on munities Empowerment (PHBM) <i>Jlaeman, M.M. and S. Homzah</i>	297
	CHNOLOGY PLANT SCIENCES	
	ngonistic Activity of <i>Rhodotorula</i> spp. Against Spoilage-Causing Moulds on atoes	
Ar	nggita, R.H., A. Oetari, A. Salamah, and W. Sjamsuridzal	308
	nesian Rainfall Patterns: A Dramatic Shift waluddin, M.Y. and J. Kaempf	313
Agro	pnomic Trait Evaluation of Transgenic Rice Line With <i>Db1</i> Transgene	

Carsono, N., N.Fitriani, D. Dono, A. Wahyudin, D. Damayanti, M. Herman, Murdaningsih H.K., and K. Toriyama	320
Residual Effects of Vesicular Arbuscular Mycorrhiza and Bokashi on Growth and Yield of Cilembu Sweet Potato (<i>Ipomoea batatas</i> (L.) Lamb.) Djasmara, S., A. W. Irwan, A. Wahyudin, and Nuryani	325
Reformation of Shifting Cultivation Farming System Towards Permanent and Sustainable Cultivation Herman and S. Suharti	331
The Abilities of Endophytic Fungi from Tomato Roots in Suppressing Root Knot Nematodes (<i>Meloidogyne</i> spp.) in Tomato Istifadah, N., Nurholis and T. Sunarto	339
Non-Irrigated Upland Cultivation - Utilizing The Concept of Transpiration Coeffisient Kramadibrata, A.M.	345
Prospect and Challenge of The Usage of Portable Near-Infrared Spectrometer to Assess Fruit and Vegetable Quality in Indonesia Kusumiyati, S. Kawasaki and H. Kazunori	356
Enzymatic Production of Monoglyceride Through Esterification System Luna, P., N. Andarwulan and T. Haryati	362
Evaluation Drought Tolerance Level of Sweet Potato (<i>Ipomoea batatas</i> L.) Germplasm from NTT Province <i>Mau, Y.S.</i>	370
Laboratory Bioassay of Entomophatogenic Fungi <i>Beauveria Bassiana</i> and <i>Metarrhizium Anisopliae</i> for Control of Sweet Potato Weevil (<i>Cylas formicarius</i> Fab.) <i>Mau, Y.S.</i>	377
Simulation Model For Corn (<i>Zea mays</i> , L.) Planting Time Determination In Dryland Of Timor, East Nusa Tenggara Province <i>Mella, W.I.I., T. Vincentius, R. Pollo, A.S.J Adutae, M.M.J. Kapa, M. Kasim, K.</i> <i>Rantelobo, A. Kedang, and A. Geru</i>	385
Efficacy of New Formulation of 1-Methylcyclopropene for Improving Postharvest Quality of Pelargonium Flower Mubarok, S., M. Serek, and V. Mussmann	395
Genetic Diversity of Morphological Responses ond The Relationships Among Javanese Winged Bean (<i>Psophocarpus tetragonolobus</i> L. DC.) Accessions Nusifera, S., M.H. Karmana, M. Rachmadi, and A. Karuniawan	401
Influence of Fermentation by Using <i>Bacillus licheniformis</i> and <i>Bacillus megaterium</i> on Crude Fiber, Fat, Tannin, and Protein Content of Saba Banana (<i>Musa balbisiana</i> colla) Peel	
Safitri, R., N.A. Fauzana, and E. Kardia	411

The Effect of Cymbopogon nardus Linne Rendle on Rice Storage Pest Sitophilus oryzae Linn (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) Sanjaya, Y., M. Halimah and Y.S. Mulyati41	5
Feasibility Test of The Biopore Absorption Hole to Improve Infiltration Sistanto, B. A41	8
Relationship between Slope and Soil Physical Properties_A Case Study at Pasirwangi, Garut, Indonesia Siswanto, S. Y., Sandrawati, A., and Sangjaya, M.I42	7
The Potential of <i>Trichoderma</i> Isolated from Cocoa to Control Black Pod Diseases on Cocoa Pod <i>Sriwati, R., Marlina and Mufakir</i> 43.	2
The Effect of Phosphates Solubilizing Bacteria to The Growth and Crop Production of Corn Plant (<i>Zea mays</i> L.) Surtiningsih, T., D. Puspitasari, and A. Supriyanto43	8
Inducing Somatic Embryos of Soybean <i>Glycine max</i> and <i>Glycine soja</i> on Sucrose Concentrations Variation Wahyurini, E44	5
ANIMAL PRODUCTION	3
Isolation and Characteristic of <i>Lactobacillus sp.</i> Isolated from Milks of Cattle, Goat and Homemade Yogurt's for Potential as Probiotic <i>Alias, R., R. Ragupathy, K. Anbalagan, N.W.I. Suhaimy, E.S. Idrus,</i> <i>H. Subramaniam, A.N. Awang, P. Rajandara, and F. Riza.</i>	5
Live Weight Changes of Bali Cattle as Draft Animal Under The Integration of Oil Palm-Cattle System in Bengkulu Dwatmadji and T. Suteky46	0
Characterization of Cellulose Enzyme from Milkfish <i>(Chanos chanos)</i> Gastrointestinal As Potential Agent to Degrade Cellulose <i>Hidayanti A.K., Annisa N.L, R. Erdiana¹, Winda A.P, An. Ridhowati, Fikri, B.M. ,</i> <i>Miranti D.S, Abrory A.C, Trijoko, and Y.A. Purwestri46</i>	4
Layer Productivity as Affected by Different Feeding Portion Indreswari, R., U. Atmomarsono, and H. I. Wahyuni47	0
The Effect of Kombucha Supplementation in The Ration on Quails Body Weight and Dressed Carcass Weight Lengkey, H. A.W., E. Sudjana, and T. Widjastuti47	8
Occurrence of Pork Derivative in Confectionery Product Upon Malaysia Market Noor Asiah Binti Hassan and Rozila Binti Alias48.	2
Nutritional Contents of Gecko's Flesh (Gekko spp)	

	Prastiwi, A., D. Yudhabuntara, W. S. Nugroho, and D.A. Widiasih	489
	Effect of PUFA Supplementation on Cholesterol, Fat Content, Water Content, and Protein Content of The Simental-Ongole Cross Bred Meat <i>Riyanto, J. , S. D. Widyawati, and W. Pratitis</i>	495
	Anticancer Activity of Chitosan from Local Chitin Waste of Fishery Products In Vitro Rochima, E., and A. Diantini	502
	Relationship Between Body Part Measurement, Body Weight and Flying Speed of Racing Pigeon (<i>Columba linia</i>) at Local Tournament of Sprint Racing (Case at Local Tournament of the Sprint Pigeon in the District in of Bandung) <i>Sri Bandiati K.P., D. Garnida, and M. Yusuf</i>	511
	Performance of Sheep and Goat with Rotational Grazing Under Oil Palm Plantation Based on Animal Unit Equivalent (AUE) Suteky, T. and Dwatmadji	518
FC	OOD TECHNOLOGY	525
	Optimization of Fish Gelatin Extraction from Starry Triggerfish (<i>Abalistes stellaris</i>) Skin <i>Amin, A.M. and N.H. Alias</i>	527
	Optimization of Gelatin Extraction Parameters from Cobia (Rachycentron canadum) Skin Amin, A.M., N. Ibrahim, N.J. Mohamad, and W. M. Wan Maizatul Shima	
	Identification of Pork Contamination in Meatballs of Local Market Using PCR-RFLP Analysis Erwanto, Y., M. Z. Abidin, and D. N. Haryati	
	Carotenoid, Total Phenolic Content, and Antioxidant Activities of "Jintan Leaves" (Plectranthus amboinicus L. Spreng.) Lestario, L.N., L. Agustina, and S. Hartini	551
	Optimization Formulation of Functional Beverages Based on Medium Chain Triglyceride (MCT) and Virgin Coconut Oil (VCO) Luna, P., S. Usmiatr and A.N. Alamsyah	560
	Chemicals and Appearance Characteristics of Noodles Producing from Composite Flour based on Yam (<i>Dioscorea alata</i> L.) and The Beans <i>Markus</i> , J. E. R, and S. S. Oematan	570
	The Effect of Type of Packaging and Storage Time on The Quality of Patchouly Oil Nurjanah, S., S. Zain, T. Pujianto, and A.K. Amaliah	577
	The Potency of Banana Tissue Culture Development to Answer the Malnutrition Problems	
	Omar, A., V. Narita, Djajanegara, I.R, Supriadi, Y, and Noriko, Nita	585

Quality Degradation of Mashed Red Chilli Based on Capsaicin During Processing Renate, D., F. Pratama, K.Yuliati, and G. Priyanto	593
Improving the Quality of Meat from Old Cattle through Extended Cooking Setyowati, E.Y. and U. Santosa	599
Increasing of Conjugated Linoleic Acid of Dairy Milk with Additional Rice Meal Fermented and Soybean Oil Suhartati, F.M. and W. Suryapratama	606
Chitin Oligomer Production with Unique Chitinase <i>Bacillus</i> sp Strain SW71 Enzyme from Dams Water Shrimp <i>Wahyuni, S. and M. T. Suhartono</i>	
LIST OF PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS	
THE BEST OF ORAL PRESENTERS	624
THE BEST OF POSTER PRESENTERS	624
LIST OF SPONSORS	625

The Potentials and Pitfalls of Ecotourism Development on Natural Resources Conservation Area in Indonesia

Avenzora, R., and T.Sunarminto

Department of Forest Resources Conservation and Ecotourism, Faculty of Forestry, Bogor Agricultural University, Dramaga Campus, Bogor, Indonesia. E-mail: avenzora19@yahoo.com

Abstract

Any expert on ecotourism will always objectively say that Indonesia has more than abundant resources for ecotourism, not only in number and variety but also in distribution and demand. However, after more than 20 years the ecotourism paradigm has been adopted in Indonesia –as well as more than 30 years nature conservation area also was developed in Indonesia – unfortunately the development processes are not giving a significant result. A good understanding on the characteristics of resources and demand is a keyword to grab the potentials, meanwhile being honest to the dynamic of management is demanded to solve the pitfalls. Knowing well about ecotourism-resources characteristics is very important for finding and promoting the uniqueness of ecotourism objects and activities. Having detail in demand characteristics is very useful to mobilize and distribute the number of visit and the number of visitor as well. Further, frankly assessment in manpower is needed to bridge the obstacles.

Keywords: ecotourism, nature conservation area, Indonesia

Introduction

For many years since the early of 70s, Indonesia has been putting tourism sectors as a primary sector (after gas and oil) to support the national income, but up to now the result is still hard to be classified as advantageous. Even in the early year, Bali, Bunaken, Borobudur, Toba Lake and Tana Toraja have been appointed by the government to became major destination at national level, but to date only Bali which has its fruitful-ways to gain the tourism benefit at a certain level and aspect. For many decades Bali has played important role contributing the number of international tourist to Indonesia which is for the year of 2010 totally Indonesia only grab 7 millions foreign tourists (that speechless comparing to 24.58 millions foreign tourist in Malaysia, 9.16 millions foreign tourist in Singapore, 15.84 millions foreign tourists in Thailand, and 55.67 millions foreign tourist in China on the same year).

The same story of "unclear" development dynamics is also facing the ecotourism development in Indonesia. At least 10 years before ecotourism-paradigm boosted by scholars and practitioners world-widely on early 90s, actually since 1980 Indonesia already has started to develop its conservation area nationally with "high-speed" motivation on conservation and outdoor recreation programs. Nowadays, Indonesia might be the single country which has a such big number of conservation area in the world; not only about the wide of area but also about the categories. Nationally, to date due to the national official-data there are 50 National Parks (12.298.216 Ha in total), 248 Restricted Nature Areas (4.586.665 Ha in total), 75 Wild-life Restricted Areas (5.099.849 Ha in total), 14 Game Reserves (224.816 Ha in total), 22 Provincial Parks (344.174 Ha in total) and 105 Nature

Recreation Parks (257.348 Ha in total) located at almost any province in Indonesia. In number and area, it may call enormous, unfortunately but not yet for the number of visitors that only 670.084 visitor of National Parks and 1.160.296 visitors of Nature Recreation Parks in the average of the last five years.

Any tourism expert who pay attention on Indonesia, objectively, will always say that Indonesia has spectacular potential of tourism, both in term of resources and demands. However, up to now no body who are willing to tell all the truth of weaknesses bringing the "unsatisfied-condition" of tourism development in Indonesia. After more than 40 years Indonesia did all the best to develop and gain benefit from tourism sectors, probably it is a time to find the answers why the results are still far away from hope. Therefore, this paper will try to share a twenty-years experiences in ecotourism fields which describes the dynamics of ecotourism sectors in Indonesia; especially focused on the potentials and pitfalls of ecotourism development on nature conservation programs as a significant element of the whole ecotourism sectors.

Material And Methods

This paper was written based on professional experiences (as an academician and practitioner) of more than 20 years experiences involved on many ecotourism studies and projects in Indonesia. The primary data for this paper was based on travelling-log-books; in which personally the author always wrote down all observation result during any project. To write this paper, the travelling-log-books have been re-read to extract and figure-out the potentials and pitfalls of ecotourism development on nature conservation programs in Indonesia. Further, to date secondary data have been used to articulate and to complete and up-date the recent situation and information of any related object cited for the primary data.

The evaluation method of Avenzora (2003 and 2008) has been applied to approach and evaluate any information from travelling-log-books. The uniqueness, beautifulness, rareness, accessibilities, seasonality, social-functions and sensitivities of each destination area in the log-books are becoming major criteria to evaluate the potentials of resources. Further, education system, human resources, bureaucratic-system, and ecotourism networking are turning into the main of external ecotourism-element to appraise and describe the pitfalls. Further, the Likert-scale has been enlarged to become 1 to 7 (instead of score 1 to 5; where score 1 is for very bad gradually up to score 7 is for a very good) and used to score the condition of any potential and pitfall. Further more, any criteria of potentials and pitfalls has been broken-down into 7 indicators in order to build a systematic scoring system. Finally, an expertise-judgment of 3 experts on ecotourism has been applied to point out final score of any criteria.

Results And Discussions

Almost all provinces in Indonesia has one national park, which are only West Papua Province, West Sulawesi Province, South Kalimantan Province, Bangka Belitung Province and Riau Islands Province those are having no national park in the area. While, Jambi Province, Papua Province, East Kalimantan Province, Central Kalimantan Province and West Java Province have two national park in their area. Further, West Kalimantan Province, East Nusa Tenggara Province, and East Java Province are having 3 and 4 national parks in their area. The detail number of nature conservation areas was shown at Table 1 and the

distribution of nature Conservation Area at any province in Indonesia can be seen on Table 2.

No.	Nature Conservation Area	Amount	Area (Ha)
1.	Strict Nature Conservation Area		
	a. Terrestrial	243	4.333.630
	b. Ocean	5	152.610
2.	Wildlife Conservation Area		
	a. Terrestrial	73	5.052.973
	b. Ocean	2	5.220
	National Park		
3.	a. Terrestrial	43	12.284.031
	b. Ocean	7	4.043.541
4.	Recreational Nature Area		
	a. Terrestrial	104	258.469
	b. Ocean	17	491.284
5.	Provincial Park	21	344.174
6.	Game & Hunting Park	14	225.103
	Total Amount of Area		
	a. Terrestrial	452	22.498.383
	b. Ocean	39	4.692.655

Table 1. The Number of Nature Conservation Area in Indonesia

Source: calculated from www.dephut.go.id

Due to the distribution pattern of conservation area in Indonesia, can be concluded that those conservation areas are actually located in golden-distance from the central of population. While, the Table 3 shows that the ecotourism resources elements at the national parks are averagely scored by the experts as 6 for the uniqueness, 6.5 for the beautifulness, 6 for the rareness, 6 for seasonality, 5.5 for sensitivity, 3.5 for accessibility and 5.5 for the social-functions. Furthermore, the total number of visitor of main categories of nature conservation area in each province is shown on Table 4; wherein indicates that the ratio of the total number of visitor to the conservation area and the total number of population at the province is not yet showing a better rate of the number of visit.

Regarding the result of scoring, it may conclude that the deficient of actual demand at any conservation area in each province is not attributable to the quality of on-site ecotourism experience (as the internal ecotourism elements) at the focal-point of each ecotourism destination on nature conservation area, but it is strongly predicted that the lack of actual demand mostly down to the external ecotourism-elements and experiences at the surrounding area of the main focal point. Many literatures (e.g. Clawson, 1966) stated that the quality of total ecotourism experiences is divided into 5 phases of ecotourism experiences, i.e.: planning phase, departure phase, on-site experience phase, on the way back phase and recollection phase. While, Avenzora (1997) found that the quality of the insitu ecotourism experiences in Gede-Pangrango National Park amongst the respondent was only support 19.3% of the total quality of their ecotourism experiences in BOPUNJUR (Bogor-Puncak-Cianjur) Destination Area.

Table 2. The distribution of nature conservation area in Indonesia

No	No Province Recreational Nature		National Parks	Provincial Parks

		Ра	rks				
		Amount	Area	Amount	Area	Amount	Area
1.	NAD	2	231,400	1	1,094,692	1	6,300
2.	North Sumatra	5	3,071	1	108,000	1	51,600
3.	West Sumatra	4	40,509	1	190,500	1	12,100
4.	Riau	2	6,778	1	144,223	1	6,172
5.	Kep. Riau	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
6.	Jambi	1	425	4	1,651,286	1	15,830
7.	Bengkulu	3	15,877	NA	NA	1	1,122
8.	South Sumatra	1	50	1	202,896	NA	NA
9.	Bangka Belitung	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
10.	Lampung	NA	NA	2	490,621	1	22,245
11.	DKI Jakarta	1	99	1	107,489	NA	NA
12.	Banten	NA	NA	1	123,156	NA	NA
13.	West Jawa	18	4,971	3	143.553	3	632
14.	Central Jawa	5	270	3	123,760	1	231
15.	DI. Yogyakarta	2	4	NA	NA	1	617
16.	East Jawa	3	20	4	176,696	1	27,828
17.	Bali	3	15,566	1	9,003	1	1,392
18.	West Nusa	9	13,428	1	41,330	1	3,155
	Tenggara						
19.	East Nusa Tenggara	8	102,647	4	314,054	1	1,900
20.	West Kalimantan	2	835	4	1,203,090	NA	NA
21.	Central Kalimantan	3	2,064	2	983,740	NA	NA
22.	South Kalimantan	2	2,135	NA	NA	1	112,000
23.	East Kalimantan	2	61,930	2	1,559,129	1	61,850
24.	North Sulawesi	3	3,196	2	367,720	NA	NA
25.	Gorontalo	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
26.	Central Sulawesi	5	92,738	2	580,596	1	7,128
27.	South Sulawesi	11	152,870	2	574,515	1	3,475
28.	Southeast Sulawesi	4	163,500	2	1,495,194	1	7,877
29.	West Sulawesi	NA	ŃA	NA	NA	NA	ŃA
30.	Maluku	5	5,085	2	356,300	NA	NA
31.	Papua	7	197,323	3	4,317,310	NA	NA
	Total	111	1,016,936	50	16,215,444	21	343,454

Source: Calculated from The Ministry of Forestry 2010.

Table 3. score of the ecotourism potential at nature conservation area in Indonesia

No	Nature Conservation Area	Evaluation Criteria						
NO		Α	В	С	D	Е	F	G
1.	Strict Nature Conservation Area	4	4	4.5	4.5	4	6	4
2.	Wildlife Conservation Area	4	4	5	4	4	6	4
3.	National Park	6.5	6	6	6	5.5	5.5	3.5
4.	Recreational Forest Park	5.5	5.5	4	6	4.5	4.5	6
5.	Provincial Forest Park	5.5	5.5	4.5	6.5	4.5	5	6.5
6.	Game and Hunting Area	5	4.5	4.5	4.5	5	6	4

Note: A. Beautifulness; B. Uniqueness; C. Rareness; D. Seasonality; E. Social Function; F. Sensitivity; G. Accessibility

Table 4. The Number of Ecotourism Visitor at Nature Conservation Area on 2010 in Indonesia

1. NangroeAceh Darussalam 923 4,494,410 0.021 2. North Sumatera 477 12,982,204 0.004 3. West Sumatera 8 4,846,909 0.001 4. Riau 136 5,538,367 0.003 5. Riau Island NA 3,092,265 NA 6. Jambi 4799 7,450,394 0.064 7. South Sumatera 113391 1,715,518 6.609 8. Bangka Belitung NA 7,608,405 NA 9. Bengkulu 699 1,223,296 0.057 10. Lampung 37725 1,679,163 2.247 11. Banten 4356 9,607,787 0.045 12. DKI Jakarta 981 43,053,732 0.003 13. West Java 1059453 32,382,657 3.272 14. Central Java 73452 3,457,491 2.124 15. Yogyakarta 64490 <	
2.North Sumatera47712,982,2040.0043.West Sumatera84,846,9090.0014.Riau1365,538,3670.0035.Riau IslandNA3,092,265NA6.Jambi47997,450,3940.0647.South Sumatera1133911,715,5186.6098.Bangka BelitungNA7,608,405NA9.Bengkulu6991,223,2960.05710.Lampung377251,679,1632.24711.Banten43569,607,7870.04512.DKI Jakarta98143,053,7320.00313.West Java105945332,382,6573.27214.Central Java734523,457,4912.12415.Yogyakarta6449037,476,7570.17216.East Jawa16247610,632,1661.52817.Bali357293,890,7570.918	
3. West Sumatera 8 4,846,909 0.001 4. Riau 136 5,538,367 0.003 5. Riau Island NA 3,092,265 NA 6. Jambi 4799 7,450,394 0.064 7. South Sumatera 113391 1,715,518 6.609 8. Bangka Belitung NA 7,608,405 NA 9. Bengkulu 699 1,223,296 0.057 10. Lampung 37725 1,679,163 2.247 11. Banten 4356 9,607,787 0.045 12. DKI Jakarta 981 43,053,732 0.003 13. West Java 1059453 32,382,657 3.272 14. Central Java 73452 3,457,491 2.124 15. Yogyakarta 64490 37,476,757 0.172 16. East Jawa 162476 10,632,166 1.528 17. Bali 35729 3,890,757 <td></td>	
4.Riau1365,538,3670.0035.Riau IslandNA3,092,265NA6.Jambi47997,450,3940.0647.South Sumatera1133911,715,5186.6098.Bangka BelitungNA7,608,405NA9.Bengkulu6991,223,2960.05710.Lampung377251,679,1632.24711.Banten43569,607,7870.04512.DKI Jakarta98143,053,7320.00313.West Java105945332,382,6573.27214.Central Java734523,457,4912.12415.Yogyakarta6449037,476,7570.17216.East Jawa16247610,632,1661.52817.Bali357293,890,7570.918	
5. Riau Island NA 3,092,265 NA 6. Jambi 4799 7,450,394 0.064 7. South Sumatera 113391 1,715,518 6.609 8. Bangka Belitung NA 7,608,405 NA 9. Bengkulu 699 1,223,296 0.057 10. Lampung 37725 1,679,163 2.247 11. Banten 4356 9,607,787 0.045 12. DKI Jakarta 981 43,053,732 0.003 13. West Java 1059453 32,382,657 3.272 14. Central Java 73452 3,457,491 2.124 15. Yogyakarta 64490 37,476,757 0.172 16. East Jawa 162476 10,632,166 1.528 17. Bali 35729 3,890,757 0.918	
6.Jambi47997,450,3940.0647.South Sumatera1133911,715,5186.6098.Bangka BelitungNA7,608,405NA9.Bengkulu6991,223,2960.05710.Lampung377251,679,1632.24711.Banten43569,607,7870.04512.DKI Jakarta98143,053,7320.00313.West Java105945332,382,6573.27214.Central Java734523,457,4912.12415.Yogyakarta6449037,476,7570.17216.East Jawa16247610,632,1661.52817.Bali357293,890,7570.918	
7.South Sumatera1133911,715,5186.6098.Bangka BelitungNA7,608,405NA9.Bengkulu6991,223,2960.05710.Lampung377251,679,1632.24711.Banten43569,607,7870.04512.DKI Jakarta98143,053,7320.00313.West Java105945332,382,6573.27214.Central Java734523,457,4912.12415.Yogyakarta6449037,476,7570.17216.East Jawa16247610,632,1661.52817.Bali357293,890,7570.918	
8. Bangka Belitung NA 7,608,405 NA 9. Bengkulu 699 1,223,296 0.057 10. Lampung 37725 1,679,163 2.247 11. Banten 4356 9,607,787 0.045 12. DKI Jakarta 981 43,053,732 0.003 13. West Java 1059453 32,382,657 3.272 14. Central Java 73452 3,457,491 2.124 15. Yogyakarta 64490 37,476,757 0.172 16. East Jawa 162476 10,632,166 1.528 17. Bali 35729 3,890,757 0.918	
9.Bengkulu6991,223,2960.05710.Lampung377251,679,1632.24711.Banten43569,607,7870.04512.DKI Jakarta98143,053,7320.00313.West Java105945332,382,6573.27214.Central Java734523,457,4912.12415.Yogyakarta6449037,476,7570.17216.East Jawa16247610,632,1661.52817.Bali357293,890,7570.918	
10.Lampung377251,679,1632.24711.Banten43569,607,7870.04512.DKI Jakarta98143,053,7320.00313.West Java105945332,382,6573.27214.Central Java734523,457,4912.12415.Yogyakarta6449037,476,7570.17216.East Jawa16247610,632,1661.52817.Bali357293,890,7570.918	
11.Banten43569,607,7870.04512.DKI Jakarta98143,053,7320.00313.West Java105945332,382,6573.27214.Central Java734523,457,4912.12415.Yogyakarta6449037,476,7570.17216.East Jawa16247610,632,1661.52817.Bali357293,890,7570.918	
12.DKI Jakarta98143,053,7320.00313.West Java105945332,382,6573.27214.Central Java734523,457,4912.12415.Yogyakarta6449037,476,7570.17216.East Jawa16247610,632,1661.52817.Bali357293,890,7570.918	
13.West Java105945332,382,6573.27214.Central Java734523,457,4912.12415.Yogyakarta6449037,476,7570.17216.East Jawa16247610,632,1661.52817.Bali357293,890,7570.918	
14.Central Java734523,457,4912.12415.Yogyakarta6449037,476,7570.17216.East Jawa16247610,632,1661.52817.Bali357293,890,7570.918	
15. Yogyakarta6449037,476,7570.17216. East Jawa16247610,632,1661.52817. Bali357293,890,7570.918	
16. East Jawa16247610,632,1661.52817. Bali357293,890,7570.918	
17. Bali 35729 3,890,757 0.918	
18. NTB 12023 4,500,212 0.267	
19. NTT 44982 4,683,827 0.960	
20. West Kalimantan 432 4,395,983 0.009	
21. Central Kalimantan 10194 2,212,089 0.461	
22. South Kalimantan 1384 3,626,616 0.038	
23. East Kalimantan 574 3,553,143 0.016	
24. North Sulawesi 10288 2,270,596 0.453	
25. Gorontalo NA 2,635,009 NA	
26. Central Sulawesi 4524 8,034,776 0.056	
27. West Sulawesi NA 2,232,586 NA	
28. South Sulawesi 714485 1,040,164 68.689	
29. Southeast Sulawesi 1761 1,158,651 0.152	
30. Maluku2261,533,5060.015	
31. North Maluku NA 1,038,087 0	
32. West Papua 77 760,422 0.010	
33. Papua 6961 2,833,381 0.247	

Note: 1. The number of visitor is an aggregate number of domestic and foreign tourist.

2. Calculated from The Ministry of Forestry 2010.

More, the insufficient actual demand is not only an important indicator for saying that the national park and other conservation areas are not yet becoming a popular site to be visited by the inhabitants in each province, but also describing there are many lacks of prerequisite to drive the potential demand into an actual demand. Ideally, in easy speaking the potential demand of conservation areas in each province should be equal to the total population in the province; that might be equal to at least a single visit by any inhabitant to any chosen area in the province per year.

There are two reciprocal conditions which are robustly envisaged be the occasion of low actual demand at any nature conservation area (as a recreation or ecotourism destination) in each province, i.e.: the population annual income and the condition of infrastructure.

Almost all provinces in Indonesia (except Jakarta) still in a condition of low average income of population; which is leading to a low expenditure to consume. In the last 10 years, the average of population per capita income in Indonesia is only around 1350 USD (650 USD in 2000 and 2900 USD in 2010; Biro Pusat Statistik, 2011). Avenzora (2004) reported that by this income, the population can not put a recreation activity as a primary need; which is even for the last 10 years there were more population in the city area already put the recreation activity as the secondary need (move from tertiary need on the 90s) but most of them still decided to use their income for a culinary related recreation activities in the city they live.

Further, recreation activities actually already increase and take better position on their living-need, but their recreation pattern is not yet belonging to ecotourism-travelling-statistic (as their activities do not yet meet the tourism-statistic criteria). Further, the condition of low income also create a domino effect to the conservation area management; as the conservation area institution hard to put a ticket price (for any service of recreation and ecotourism activities) on an ideal rate. In 1998 the ticket price for a visitor to national park was only IDR 1500, and this price remain stable (if can not said as "has no chance to be increased") until the year of 2010 where the ticket price offered at IDR 2500. The result was the income for conservation area institution also became low, therefore it is understandable that the conservation area institution become incapable to set their "investment" schemes on an ideal schedule and being infertile to manage their area in better way. These condition bring many loops of reciprocal negative impacts; both on resources-management aspects and on demand-behavior.

Telling the condition of infrastructures, Avenzora (1997) found that 78 % of respondent put the regular transportation schedule to a natural conservation area becoming a major obstacle for the population going to the destination. Actually the accessibility to destination already provided by a better route of national-road up to regency-road, but the transportation modes are not yet fulfill better console for the recreation-taker during their travelling to the nature conservation area as a destination of their recreation or ecotourism activities. Further, Avenzora (2004) found that 81% of respondents asked for a direct shuttle-bus (instead of connecting transport) to travel them from their city to the recreation focal point at the nature conservation area; which was not only to decrease the cost for transportation but also in order to make sure that they will have more time to do recreation activities in the destination (with 4-5 hours on travelling) without any worry to missed "the last train" to back home.

Beside all those dynamics of ecotourism resources on nature conservation area in Indonesia (as described above), at least there are two important issues that have to be portrayed for discovering the "unclear-phenomena" of ecotourism development on nature conservation area in Indonesia, i.e.: the human resources capacity and the bureaucratic-system. Outspokenly, the capacity of human resources in the system of ecotourism development on nature conservation area in Indonesia area in Indonesia is still in a very serious problems; not only in term of final professionalism appearances but also in terms of education background, experiences and working-motives that all needed to build a better professionalism appearances.

The root of problem in human capacity on the ecotourism development on nature conservation area in Indonesia is the education back-ground. Even there is no official data that can be cited to describe the condition, but one may claim that more than 95 % human resources in the system of the ecotourism development on nature conservation area in

Indonesia are not having sufficient knowledge on ecotourism-field. Other people may say that the claim is just a very subjective and unfair, but probably they will change their comment if they try to trace the root of problems starting from the tourism-education history in Indonesia. Since 1956 (the year of NHI in Bandung was found) most of the tourism education in Indonesia until now is still only focused on hotel management, travel management and tourism economic; in which until the decade of 80s the curricula of study is still only at of a 3-years Diploma Level. Even on the decade 80s there were some university/high education institution started to offer Sarjana Degree (a 4-years Diploma) but most of them just concern and offer the perspective of tourism economic; meanwhile actually there are at least 18 fields of knowledge and sciences should be involved in a study of ecotourism/tourism (e.g. please see Cooper *et al*, 1999).

The result of those situations are many of tourism "expert" and practitioners in Indonesia are very ready for any tourism-skill-activities, but sorry to say that most of them are still incompetent to deliberate a comprehensive and genuine development concepts. At the end, as one can see in Indonesia nowadays there are more and more of a "copying success story" ecotourism development projects competing negatively from one place to another. Further, experiences and working motives among ecotourism practitioners in Indonesia become a serious issue since most of the ecotourism project in Indonesia – that lead by NGOs-institution in the early or the middle of 90s – had to face a limited budget and discontinued-funding. This condition trapped many ecotourism practitioners to incomplete process for gaining better experiences. Even most of them eager to write a long paper of CV on ecotourism experience, but if they want to be honest, however, the reality will tell us that actually most of them are having deficient experiences; as most of their projects were only fit in to an initial-phase of ecotourism development programs.

Tour on duty, structural-functional officer-system and discontinued budget are becoming important dynamics to be discussed on talking about bureaucracy on ecotourism development on nature conservation area. For many years until now, most of decision making position on a ecotourism institution of a nature conservation area are belong to group of structural officer; who will in average be having tour on duty for every 2-3 years from one working-station to another. This situation is not only creating a short supply of command (while most of ecotourism projects belong to a mid up to a long development program), but also generate an unproductive transition periods of command. This situation become worth since most of the sub-ordinate officers on any nature conservation area are also not having enough knowledge on ecotourism field. Regarding their formal education back-ground and their yearly activities, it is strongly predicted that less than 0.1 percent of them who ever had formal study on ecotourism (even for partial subject only) or ever had comprehensive extension/training on ecotourism.

Even since the middle of 2000 the Ministry of Forestry provided such kind of regular extension programs (such as SECEM-Program; a collaboration program between the Ministry of Forestry of Republic Indonesia and KOIKA of management course on ecotourism and conservation field), however the extension program can not yet supply enough place for thousands officer. More, on the one hand, mostly extension or training program on ecotourism will be "clutched" by the upper position first – as a part of modus operandi of seniority – while on another hand the senior officer will be transferred soon to other working station due to the tour on duty system.

The scoring result on human resources capacity of nature conservation destination showed on Table 5. Even from the table one can see that many bad scores for most of the criteria,

but not for the criteria of management spirit. The high value of management-spirit was mostly supported by the field officers at each ecotourism destination on nature conservation area. After many years of working period, many of them still loyal to be a volunteer or to be a yearly contract base worker just due to their interest on nature conservation and ecotourism activities. Actually it is really a good point of human resources and should not be longer annoyed by the upper system.

Criteria of Human Resources Capacity	Score of Criteria
1. Formal Education	3
2. Formal Extension	3
3. Cognitive Ability	3
4. Skill Ability	4
5. Formal Experience	3.5
6. Working Capacity	4
7. Working Spirit	5.5

Table 5. The Score of Human Resources Capacity on Ecotourism Field in Nature Conservation Area

The situation of ecotourism management in nature conservation area also become worth since the limited budg*et also* followed by complicated budgeting system and the administration system of governmental-finance for ecotourism development on nature conservation area. The system is also not giving a better atmosphere for running an ideal "business" of ecotourism; for example the basic rule of to pay-out a budget is really a time consuming and long procedure of administration-prerequisite. Data at the Ministry of Forestry (www.dephut.go.id) showed that the total budget on 2010 for ecotourism and environmental services for all national parks in Indonesia was only around 90 millions USD; it means only around 170-grand USD for each national park. This number of budget of course merely far from enough to fulfill and run a good development and management of ecotourism program. Further more, after many decades of "complicated" bureaucratic budgeting system applied in Indonesia, the system of multi-years project of budgeting system does not yet answer the problem and also does not running well since the political-election period is not fit to development phase.

Conclusion

Regarding all situation described above probably now one may sure to conclude that it is true Indonesia has more than abundant potential on ecotourism, not only in supply but also in demand. The most crucial problem on the development of ecotourism on nature conservation area in Indonesia is the human resources capacity; which also unsupported by a better bureaucracy system. A low capacity of human resources brings domino effect of unsatisfactory planning and its reciprocal impacts, while a complicated bureaucracy system brings trickle down impact on an awful management system.

To increase the benefit of ecotourism development on nature conservation area in Indonesia, some important starting-effort to do is not only to solve the pitfall on the human resources capacity and management system, but also to really practice the multi-discipline nature of ecotourism. It is very important to be realized that an ecotourism development is not a kind of development on a focal point at any destination only, but actually it has to be a total development collaboration of every living-element in the region.

References

Avenzora, R. 1986. Traveling Log Book: Mount Gede-Pangrango National Park and Leuser National Park. Unpublished.

_____. 1992. Traveling Log Book: Wasur National Park. Unpublished.

______. 1995. Ekoturisme : Suatu overview terhadap konsep. Media Konservasi, Vol 6 (4). Juni 1995.

______. 1997. Ecotourism - *strategy for mountainous national parks* – in Indonesia. Master of Science Thesis. Georg-August Universitaet, Germany.

___. 1999. Traveling Log Book: Bunaken National Park. Unpublished.

______. 2003. Integrated and Ecological Planning of Sustainable Tourism Development in Rural Area in Indonesia : *the case study of Tana Toraja, Sulawesi*. Doctoral Dissertation at Georg-August Universitaet, Germany.

______. 2004. Studi Pola Perilaku Rekreasi Pada Masyarakat Perkotaan dan Pedesaan. Unpublished.

_______. 2006. Traveling Log Book: Mount Gede-Pangrango National Park and Leuser National Park. Unpublished.

______. 2008. Ekoturisme: *Teori dan Praktek*. BRR NAD-Nias. Banda Aceh. pp 299.

______. 2010. Traveling Log Book: Tanjung Puting National Park and Sebangau National Park. Unpublished.

Avenzora, R. and T. Sunarminto. 2006. Traveling Loog Book: Halimun Salak National Park. Unpublished.

______. 2007. Traveling Loog Book: Halimun Salak National Park. Unpublished.

______. 2008. Traveling Loog Book: Halimun Salak National Park, Ujung Kulon National Park and Bukit Barisan Selatan National Park. Unpublished.

Badan Pusat Statistik Republik Indonesia. 2011. Penduduk Indonesia Menurut Propinsi 1971, 1980, 1990, 1995, 2000 dan 2010. www.bps.go.id.

Badan Pusat Statistik Republik Indonesia. 2011. Pendapatan Per Kapita Penduduk Indonesia Menurut Propinsi 1971, 1980, 1990, 1995, 2000 dan 2010. www.bps.go.id.

- Cerovsky, J. 1992. Tourism, Environment and Education A global View. In Baine, J. et al. 1992. Educating For Sustainable Tourism. Proceeding of the International Conference Helds In Slovenia, Sept. 17-24, 1992. IUCN.
- Clawson, M. and L. J., Knetsch. 1966; Economics of Outdoor Recreation; Resources for the Future Inc.; Washington DC.

Cooper. 1999. Tourism (Principles and Practice). Addison Wesley Longman Publishing. New York.

Departemen Kehutanan Republik Indonesia. 1988. Pedoman Investasi dan Pengembangan Obyek Wisata Alam. Bogor.

Direktorat Jenderal Perlindungan Hutan dan Konservasi Alam. 2011. Buku Statistik Direktorat Pemanfaatan Jasa Lingkungan Kawasan Konservasi dan Hutan Lindung Tahun 2010. Kementrian Kehutanan Republik Indonesia. Jakarta. The Ministry of Forestry of Republic Indonesia. 2010. Forestry Statistic of Indonesia. Jakarta.

Sunarminto, T. 2005. Traveling Log Book : Sebangau National Park. Unpublished.

______. 2009. Traveling Log Book : Tesso Nilo National Park, Bukit Barisan Selatan National Park.

_____. 2011. Traveling Log Book: Way Kambas National Park, Gunung Palung National Park, Bukit Baka Raya National Park and Karimun Jawa National Park. Unpublished.