### Table of Contents

ISBN: 0-9753393-4-6

# Internet and Information Technology in Modern Organizations: Challenges & Answers

# Proceedings of The 5<sup>th</sup> International Business Information Management Association Conference

December 13 - 15, 2005 Cairo, Egypt

Editor

# Khalid S. Soliman

International Business Information Management Association (IBIMA) Copyright 2005

# **Conference Chair**

Khalid S. Soliman Hofstra University, USA

**Program Chair** 

### Sherif Kamel American University in Cairo, Egypt

Imed Boughzala GET / Institut National des Télécommunications, France

### **French Track Chair**

Imed Boughzala GET/Institut National des Télécommunications, France

**Spanish Track Chair** 

Christiane E. Metzner Universidad Central de Venezuela, Venezuela

### Theory and Practice of Software Engineering (TPSE) Track Chair

Magdy Serour University of Technology Sydney, Australia

#### **TPSE Track Programme Co-Chairs**

Brian Henderson-Sellers University of Technology Sydney, Australia

Cesar Gonzalez-Perez University of Technology Sydney, Australia

### **Conference Advisory Committee**

John F. Affisco, Hofstra University, USA Mel Damodaran, University of Houston-Victoria, USA Reggie Davidrajuh, Stavanger University College, Norway Zahir Irani, Brunel University, UK Markus Mau, University of Giessen, Germany Nicole Mau, University of Giessen, Germany

4/6/2010 2:12 F

Mohammad Eyadat, California State University, USA Krassie Petrova, Auckland University of Technology, New Zealand Marcus Rothenberger, University of Nevada-Las Vegas, USA Najib Saylani, Hofstra University, USA Mark Srite, University of Wisconsin – Milwaukee, USA

### **Program Committee**

Anastase Adonis, Objective Networks, France Vasilis Aggelis, Piraeus Bank, Greece Mahmoud Arayssi, American University in Beirut, Lebanon Muhammad Fareed Arif, University of Central Punjab, Pakistan Nabil Arman, Palestine Polytechnic University, Palestine Muhammad Hasmi Abu Hassan Asaari, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia Saïd Assar, I.N.T Evry, France Riem M. Hamdi Abdel Azim, German University in Cairo, Egypt Imad Baalbaki, American University in Beirut, Lebanon Ali Al-Badi, Sultan Qaboos University, Oman Abdul Hafeez-Baig, University of Southern Queensland, Australia Subhajit Basu, Queen's University Belfast, Ireland Jean-Paul Van Belle, University of cape Town, South Africa Rob Bernard, Higher Colleges of Technology, UAE John Byrne, RMIT Business, Australia Silvia Elaluf-Calderwood, London School of Economics, UK Enrique V. Carrera, University San Francisco of Quito, Ecuador Rommert J. Casimir, Tilburg University, The Netherlands Jan Mendonca Correa, Professor at University Of Brasilia, Brazil Lourdes Canos Daros, Universidad Politecnica de Valencia, Spain Soumava Ben Dhaou, Paris IX Dauphine University, France Patrick Doran, Zayed University, UAE Jean-Louis Ermine, Institut National des Télécommunications, France Susana de Juana Espinosa, Universidad de Alicante, Spain Uchenna Eze, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore Xiuzhen Feng, University of Twente, Netherland Eulalio G. Campelo Filho, University Karlsruhe, Germany José Luis Fuertes, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Spain Norjihan Abdul Ghani, University of Malaya, Malaysia Song Han, Curtin University of Technology, Australia Ramzi A. Haraty, Lebanese American University, Lebanon Suraya Hamid, University of Malaya, Malaysia Markus Helfert, Dublin City University, Ireland Pilar Herrero, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Spain Mohammed Al-Jadeed, University of Edinburgh, UK Lobna Jeribi, ENSI University, Tunisia Anand Jeyaraj, University of Missouri - St.Louis, USA Kevin Johnston, University of Cape Town, South Africa Nazean Jomhari, University of Malaya, Malaysia Noorliza Karia, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia Mohammad Ayub Khan, Tec de Monterrey, Mexico Rami Khasawneh, Lewis University, USA Matthew Nicolas Kreeger, University of St. Andrews, UK Supakorn Kungpisdan, Monash University, Australia Zaigham Mahmood, University of Derby, UK

Saeed Al-Maliki, University of East Anglia, UK Vicky Manthou, University of Macedonia, Greece Merdad Mohasses, Sharjah Women's Colleges, UAE Patrick Loh, Knowledgeworks Consultants, Singapore Keri Logan, Massey University, New Zealand Somaya Ali, Qatar University, Qatar Thi Bac Nguyen, Monash University, Australia Shastri L Nimmagadda, Wafra Joint Operations Company, Kuwait Thomas Nowey, University of Regensburg, Germany Urban Nulden, Goteborg University, Sweden Kok-Leong Ong, Deakin University, Australia Roslina Othman, International Islamic University Malaysia, Malaysia Siew Hock Ow, University of Malaya, Malaysia Roberto Paiano, Università degli Studi di Lecce, Italy Victor Pankratius, University of Karlsruhe, Germany Alan R. Peslak, Penn State University & Nova Southeastern University, USA Ghassan Al-Qaimari, University of Wollongong in Dubai, UAE Hugo Rehesaar, University of New South Wales, Australia Md. Mahbubur Rahim, Monash University, Australia Sri Devi Ravana, University of Malaya, Malaysia Peter Rittgen, University College of Borås, Sweden Tomislav Rozman, University of Maribor, Slovenia Mohammed Samaka, Qatar University, Qatar Hasan Al-Saedy, Skyline College, UAE Samiaji Sarosa, Atma Jaya Yogyakarta University, Indonesia Jawahitha Sarabdeen, Multimedia University, Malaysia Francesca Sgobbi, Università degli Studi di Brescia, Italy Maha Shakir, Zaved University, UAE K. Srikumar, Infosys Technologies Ltd, India Ali Al-Solbi, University of East Anglia, UK Daniel Sommer, Universität Karlsruhe, Germany Javier Soriano, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Spain Minwir Al-Shammari, Bahrain Univesity, Bahrain Lampros Stergioulas, Brunel University, UK Amine Nehari Talet, King Fahd University of Petroleum & Minerals, KSA Sabah N. Abdul\_wahab AL-Tamimi, American College of Dubai, UAE Pawan Kumar Taneja, Punjab College Of Technical Education, India Fadi Thabtah, University of Bradford, UK Leonardo José Cortez Villamizar, Universidad Central de Venzuela; Venzuela William Yeoh, University of South Australia, Australia Zijiang Yang, York University, Canada S. Venkateswarlu, KL College of Engineering, India Stuart Warden, Cape Peninsula University of Technology, South Africa Edgar R. Weippl, Vienna University of Technology, Austria Dick Whiddett, Massey University, New Zealand Viacheslav Wolfengagen, Institute "JurInfoR-MSU", Russia Masoud Karami Zarandi, Tehran University, Iran Roman Povalej, Universität Karlsruhe, Germany Silvana Quaglini, University of Pavia, Italy Jorge Valdés Conca, Universidad de Alicante, Spain Shushma Patel, London South Bank University, UK Islam A. M. El-Maddah, Ain Shams University, Egypt Soraya Kouadri Mostefaoui, Oxford Brookes University, UK Joo Y. Park, Yonsei University, Seoul, Korea Ozlem Bak, University of Greenwich Business School, UK

Virginie Sans, l'université de Cergy-Pontoise, France K. P. Lam, University of Keele, UK Zokir Nazarov, Cardiff University, UK Ikram Amous, Universite de Sfax, Tunisia Krassie Petrova, Auckland University of Technology, New Zealand Hasan A. Abbas, Kuwait University, Kuwait Sue Foster, Monash University, Australia Fahim Akhter, Zayed University, UAE Paulus Insap Santosa, Republic Polytechnic, Singapore Khamis Omar, Princess Sumaya University for Technology, Jordan Tahir Naeem, COMSATS Institute of Information Technology, Pakistan Kathy J. Liszka, University of Akron, USA Nixon Muganda, University of Cape Town, South Africa Marite Kirikova, Rīgas Tehniskā universitāte, Latvia Samir Garbaya, Robotics Laboratory of Versailles, France Dr Lawrence Green, University of Manchester, UK Khalid Khawaja, American University in Dubai, UAE Dr. Gregor Zellner, Universität Regensburg, Germany Katja Harej, University of Maribor, Slovenia Saad Harous, College of Arts & Sciences, UAE Mohamed Salah Hamdi, University of Qatar, Qatar Mohamad Fauzan Noordin, International Islamic University Malaysia, Malaysia Nihat Kasap, Sabanci University, Turkey Yahya H Alzoubi, Philadelphia University, Jordan Reggie Davidrajuh, University of Stavanger, Norway Vili Podgorelec, University of Maribor, Slovenia Anthony Rhodes, Zayed University, UAE Shu Zhou, The University of New Mexico, USA Fotis Liarokapis, City University, UK Noor Raihan Ab Hamid, Multimedia University, Malaysia Jaiprakash T. Lallchandani, D. D. University, India Marjan Druzovec, University of Maribor, Slovenia Gianmarco Radice, University of Glasgow, UK Euripidis N. Loukis, University of the Aegean, Greece Leila Vrazalic, University of Wollongong in Dubai, UAE Ahmad Kayed, Applied Science University, Jordan John Debenham, University of Technology-Sydney, Australia Emad Bataineh, Zayed University, UAE Yousef Shahwan, United Arab Emirates University, UAE Richard Chbeir, Université de Bourgogne, France Robert H Barbour, Unitec, New Zealand Halim M. Khelala, University of Wollongong in Dubai, UAE Chew Esyin, University Malaya, Malaysia Tran Khanh Dang, HoChiMinh City University of Technology, Vietnam Greg Skulmoski, Zayed University, UAE Albert H S Scott, Vocational Training Council, Hong Kong Markos Kyritsis, Brunel University, UK Amin Yousef Noaman, King Abdulaziz University, KSA Salaheldin Ismail Salaheldin, Qatar University, Qatar Sonali S. Morar, Brunel University, UK Jae-Suk Yun, Korea Information Security Agency, Korea Ahmad Khasawneh, University of Newcastle, Australia Hossein S. Zadeh, RMIT, Australia

Mulhim Al-Doori, American University in Dubai, UAE George Giannatos, Monash University, Australia Gordana Jovanovic Dolecek, Institute INAOE, Mexico Haitham Hmoud Alshibly, The University of Newcastle, Australia Ahmed Guessoum, Univ. of Sharjah, UAE Ammar Ahmed, CSIRO Manufacturing and Infrastructure Technology, Australia Rinette Roets, University of Fort Hare, South Africa Shamshul Bahri, University of Malaya, Malaysia Abdollah Aghaie, K N Toosi University of Technology, Iran Fayez Ahmad Albadri, MGSM Macquarie Graduate School of Management, Australia Teuta Cata, Northern Kentucky University, USA Ari M. Wahlstedt, University of Jyväskylä, Finland Jorge Molea, Universidad Central de Venezuela, Venezuela Hong Lin, University of Houston-Downtown, USA Roman Povalej, Universität Karlsruhe, Germany Dave Henderson, Virginia Polytechnic Institute & State University, USA Bala Srinivasan, Monash University, Australia Khalid Benbachir, Canada Jaime Ramírez, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Spain Knolmayer Gerhard, Universität Bern, Switzerland karim Bourouni, Ecole Nationale d'Ingénieurs de Tunis, Tunisia Ahmed El-Ragal, Arab Academy for Science and Technology, Egypt Hanene Trichili, Ecole Nationale Supérieure des Télécommunications de Bretagne, France Omar El-Gayar, Dakota State University, USA Doha Abelkhaleg, ESKADENIA, Jordan Tyng-Yeu Liang, National Kaohsiung University of Applied Sciences, Taiwan Sylwester Kozak, National Bank of Poland, Poland Stefan Eicker, Universität Duisburg-Essen, Germany Najib Saylani, Hofstra University, USA Pankaj Kamthan, Concordia University, Canada Adel Ai-Alawi, University of Bahrain, Bahrian Markus Bick, ESCP-EAP European School of Management, Germany Asad I. Khan, Monash University, Australia Susan Koruth, CGI-Australia, Australia Mirja Pulkkinen, University of Jyväskylä, Finland Ra'ed Moh'd Masa'deh, Nottingham University, UK Ahmed Subaih, University of Sheffield, UK Berta Batista, Instituto Politécnico do Porto, Portugal Hajo Reijers, Eindhoven Unversity of Technology, Netherlands Christine Verdier, Université Lumière Lyon 2, France Akram Al-Rawi, Zayed University, UAE Massimo De Santo, Università di Salerno, Italy João Rocha, Instituto Politécnico do Porto, Portugal Honglei Li Violah, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong Alexei Tretiakov, Massey University, New Zealand Nicolas Larrieu, KAIST University, Korea Tania Pavlou, City University London, UK Iryna Skrypnyk, University of Jyvaskyla, Finland Azzedine Lansari, Zayed University, UAE Jacek Plodzien, Polish Academy of Sciences, Poland Ari P. Hirvonen, TietoEnator, Finland

Djamel Khadraoui, CRP Henri Tudor, Luxemboura Chiou-Pirng (Ping) Wang, Albany State University, USA Laurel Evelyn Dyson, University of Technology-Sydney, Australia Rui (Ray) Chen, State University of New York at Buffalo, USA Abdelaziz Khadraoui, University of Geneva, Switzerland M.F. Lau, Swinburne University of Technology, Australia David A. Bray, Emory University, USA Snene Mehdi, University of Geneva, Switzerland Roland Kaschek, Massey University, New Zealand Khalil A. Abuosba, The Arab Academy for Banking and Financial Sciences, Jordan Vanessa Chang, Curtin University of Technology, Australia Damir Kalpic, University of Zagreb, Croatia Dhayalan Velauthapillai, University College of Bergen, Norway Mahbubur Rahman Syed, Minnesota State University, USA Kevin K. W. HO, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Hong Kona Deb Sledgianowski, Hofstra University, USA Az-Eddine Bennani, Reims Management School, France Settapong Malisuwan, Chulachomklao Royal Military Academy, Thailand Gerald C. Gonsalves, College of Charleston, USA Henry B. Wolfe, University of Otago, New Zealand Sam Lubbe, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa Nabil Mzoughi, ISG of Sousse, Tunisia José Diego De la Cruz, L'Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Switzerland Upasana G Singh, University of KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa Judith Pavón Mendoza, Universidade de São Paulo, Brazil Wojciech R., The Poznan University of Economics, Poland Naveed Ahmed Khan, COMSATS Institute of Information Technology, Pakistan Yahya Slimani, University of Tunis El Manar, Tunisia Vass Karpathiou, RMIT University, Australia Isabelle Comyn-Wattiau, ESSEC, France Xin Lucie Li, The University of North Carolina at Pembroke, USA Anastase Adonis, Objective Networks, France Damminda Alahakoon, Monash University, Australia Regina Connolly, Dublin City University, Ireland Scott Rathbone, CGI, Australia Shushma Patel, London South Bank University, UK Alcina Prata, Instituto Politécnico de Setúbal, Portugal Emmanuel Matthew, University of Wollongong, Australia Ann Johansson, University of Trollhättan/Uddevalla, Sweden Loay Sehwail, Oklahoma State University, USA Inas E. Ezz, Sadat Academy for Mgt Sc., Egypt and Brunel University, UK Indrawati Nataatmadja, University of Technology-Sydney, Australia Sagarmay Deb, University of Ballarat, Australia Ela Klecun, London School of Economics, UK Wenshin Chen, Prairie View A&M University, USA

### **TPSE Track Programme Committee**

Andreas Opdahl, University of Bergen Bashar Nuseibeh, The Open University Bhuvan Unhelkar, University of Western Sydney Bran Selic, IBM Rational Brian Henderson-Sellers, University of Technology, Sydney Cesar Gonzalez-Perez, University of Technology, Sydney Colette Rolland, Université Paris 1 - Panthéon Sorbonne Colin Atkinson, University of Mannheim Darryl Winder, The Institute for Exit Planning David Lowe, University of Technology, Sydney Didar Zowghi, University of Technology, Sydney Dilip Patel, London South Bank University Donald Firesmith, Software Engineering Institute Francisco Ruiz González, Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha Franck Barbier, Université de Pau et des Pavs de l'Adour George Feuerlicht, University of Technology, Sydney Graham Low, University of New South Wales Houman Younessi lan Graham, TriReme International Igor Hawryszkiewycz, University of Technology, Sydney Jack Greenfield, Microsoft Jean-Michel Bruel, Université de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour Jeff Sutherland John D. McGregor, Clemson University Jolita Ralyté, University of Geneva Judy Bamberger Karl Wiegers; Process Impact Khalil Abuosba, The Arab Academy for Banking and Financial Sciences Magdy Serour, University of Technology, Sydney Óscar Pastor López, Universidad Politécnica de Valencia Pavel Hruby, Microsoft Phil Haynes, Virtualnation Pty Ltd Scott Ambler, independent consultant Sjaak Brinkkemper, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam Stephen Mellor Tony Wasserman Victor Pankratius, University of Karlsruhe

Copyright @ 2005 International Business Information Management Association Individual authors retain copyright on papers. Please contact the authors directly for reprint permission

# **Table of Contents**

# **Supply Chain Management**

The Role of Emergent Information Systems in Enabling Supply Chain Agility: Dealing With Change, Uncertainty, and Complexity Zaher Mohdzain and Andrew White

> Modelling Smart Label-Supported Production Processes Bernd Scholz-Reiter, Torsten Hildebrandt, Jan Kolditz, and Hartmut Höhns

Supporting Information Mobility in the Fresh Produce e-Supply Chain Ioannis Manikas, Dimitris Folinas, Tania Pavlou, and Basil Manos

Determinants and Performance of Electronic Partnership between Buyer and Supplier Young-Jin Choi

The evolution of knowledge capabilities in third party e-fulfilment companies Paul Alexander

Supply Chain Strategic Management Using Transformed Balanced ScoreCard Mohammad Jafar Tarokh and Davood Farajian Shooshtari

# **E-Government**

Introducing Communication Space Lars-Olof Johansson and Aron Hallborg

The potential positive impact of E-government projects - The Flemish Environmental Legislation Navigator: Conclusions of an inquiry about the use and the benefit of an on-line legal environmental expert system Geert De Meyer, L. Lavrysen, and G. Van Eetvelde

e-Services in Government: Why we need Strategies for Capacity Building and Capacity utilization? Girija Krishnaswamy

> The Commitment Generation Process in The G2C Online Relationships Luis V. Casaló, Carlos Flavián, and Miguel Guinalíu

The economic impact of e-government initiative (G2B) as a tool of ICT upon SMEs in Jordan Ra'ed (Moh'd Taisir) Masa'deh and Emad M. Al-kharabsheh

Joined-up Government: Current Trends and Applications in e-Government and eHealth D. D. Pappa and L.K. Stergioulas

# **Business Process Reengineering**

4/6/2010 2:19 P

Is Business Process Management an Incarnation of Business Process Reengineering? Leila Jamel Menzli, Sonia Ayachi Ghannouchi, and Henda Hadjami Ben Ghézala

Intelligent Product Change Management – Production Network Perspectives for the Ramp-up Phase Bernd Scholz-Reiter, Hartmut Höhns, Farian Krohne, and Bing Leng

Paper Usage Management and Information Technology: An Environmental Case Study at an Australian University Venn Hardy, Ho Chung Fung, Guang Sheng Xian, Jing Hua Wu, Xiao Yan Zhang, Laurel Evelyn Dyson

> Simulation Study of a Distributed Control Scheme in Flexible Manufacturing Systems Dania A. El-Kebbe

### Knowledge Management

Factors Affecting Successful Implementation of Intranet Based Knowledge Management Systems Norlida Buniyamin and Zainuddin Mohamad

> Need-finding through Narrative Inquiry in Systems Design Holst Marita and Anna Ståhlbröst

Learning across Boundaries: Developments towards a European Framework for ICT Skills Peter Weiß, Roman Povalej, and Wolffried Stucky

> Getting Knowledge Measured, Getting Knowledge Managed Ivy Chan, Matsuba NG, and C. K. FOK Jackson

IT and Psychosocial Occupational Risks: a Delphi Study Mario Arias Oliva, Teresa Torres Coronas, Mar Souto Romero, and Rosa Queralt

Development of Knowledge Management System: A Preliminary Study on Property Management Companies in <u>Malaysia</u> Muhammad Najib Razali and Zaharah Manaf

Conceptualised Models of Detailed Knowledge Structures For Different Business Operations Craig A Godley

> The Effect of Information Sharing on Empowerment in Indonesia Aji Hermawan

# **Enterprise Integeration**

A Maturity Model Framework for Assessing Organizational Processesin Enterprise Integration of Innovative <u>Technology</u> Garth R. MacKenzie

> A Case Study: Enhancing Enterprise System Competitive Advantage Ameena Zafar

# Managing Enterprise Systems Integration in Corporate Mergers and Acquisitions – A Tentative Framework and the Case of TIH

Stefan Henningsson

# **IT Outsourcing**

IT Outsourcing – An Analysis of the State-of-the-Art Michael Amberg, Nadja Hoßbach, and Martin Wiener

### SME

SMEs in developing Economies - Challenges and Strategies for Enterprise Systems Integration Suhas Raut, Deepak B. Phatak, and A. R. Yardi

Electronic Commerce Adoption and Strategic Alliance Membership: A Study of Regional SMEs in Sweden Robert MacGregor and Lejla Vrazalic

> The Impact of IT investment in RSA e-Commerce SME Organizations Sam Lubbe

# **Database and Data Management**

<u>A Database Querying Tool for Naïve Users</u> Solange Nice Alves de Souza, Edit Grassiani Lino de Campos, Luiz Sergio de Souza, André Roberto Doreto dos Santos

> <u>A Heuristic Object-Oriented Data Schema for Contextual Accounting</u> Mohamed El-Badawi, Myron Sheu, and Wang C. Wong

> > The Application of Grid and Agent Technology in CBR Nie Guihua and Liu Pingfeng

Modeling of Updating Moving Object Database Using Timed Petri net Model F.A.Torky, N. Abd el-Wahed, H.M. Abdulkader, and W.El-Kholy

# **E-banking & Finanical Industry**

Application and Diachronic Strength of e-Trans Association Rules Vasilis Aggelis

Banking Technology Balanced Scorecard: A Model for Evaluating Banking Technology Effectiveness Lawrence Harold and Thenmozhi Beyond the Resource-Based View of the Firm: The Case of Dynamic Capabilities Building at a Saudi Bank Mohammed Al-Jadeed and Alfonso Molina

> Multi-Dimensional Role of Trust in Internet Banking Adoption Shumaila Yousafzai

Strategic Networks to Create e-Banking Value: The Case of a Saudi-European Joint Venture Bank Mohammed Al-Jadeed and Alfonso Molina

### **M-Commerce**

Adoption Factors for Mobile Gaming in South Africa Stanley Van Wyk and Jean-Paul Van Belle

<u>The Impact of Uplink Capacity to Cell Coverage in WCDMA Mobile Internet</u> Napaporn Petchinda, Settapong Malisuwan, and Arisa Rojnuckarin

Adoption of RFID in Logistics – A Case Study in the Field of Automobile Logistics Felix Böse and Wolf Lampe

Capacity of the WCDMA-FDD Mobile Internet in High-Mobility Environment Napaporn Petchinda, Settapong Malisuwan, Panitee Ritthiruangdech, and Vichate Ungvichian

Intelligent Secure Management of Electric Power Organizations: Data Collection Using Mobile Agents Radwan Tahboub, Vasile Lazarescu, and Imane A. Saroit

Exploring factors affecting the adoption of mobile Internet in Jordan: Empirical Study Ahmad Khasawneh, Patricia Gillard, and Brian Regan

Saving Cost of Ownership for RTA C4I Systems by Utilizing Commercial Satellite Systems Anupon Boriboon, Settapong Malisuwan, Chanawat Phadwichit, and Porakrit Saihassadee

> <u>A Framework for QoS-aware m-Commerce</u> Abdelnasser M. Abdelaal and Hesham H. Ali

Location-Determination System for Enabling M-Commerce Applications Moustafa Youssef and Ashok Agrawala

<u>A Preliminary Research Model of Thai Mobile Market Structure</u> Settapong Malisuwan, Kulthon Kasemsan, Ussanee Malisuwan, and Dulyalak Phuangthong

Leveraging Radio Frequency Identification (RFID) Technology for Halal Tracking Tag Azah Anir bt Norman

# **Computer Networks and Remote Access**

Modifications to Bandwidth Allocation Algorithms to Satisfy Remote Access Services Requirements Mohammed Attya Khaliefa, Ali Ali Fahmy, Iman Aly Saroit Ismail, and Tarek Abd El-Megeed Local-Communities Insertion Network Platform: Design and Specifications Djamel Khadraoui, Evren Bulut, Raul Ruggia, Federico Piedrabuena, and Farid Meinkohn

> Congestion Control for a Better Performance of Computer Networks Atef Zaki Ghalwash and Sally Osama Anwar

### **E-Business**

A Holistic Framework beyond Traditional Approaches of E-Business Research Practice Az-Eddine Bennani

The Current State of E-Commerce in Jordan - Applicability and Future Prospects: An Empirical Study Rifat O. Shannak and Mu'taz M. Al-Debei

> Impediments of E-business – a Dubai Experience Aisha Ali Al-Alawi, Joze Kuzic, and Mehmood Ahmad Chadhar

Planning and Managing the Organizational Key Success Factors for the Transformation to E-business Environment Magdy Kodsy Serour

> Scope and Potential of Teleshoping in India: Case Study of Punjab State Gautam Bansal and Sandhir Sharma

Challenges to an E-Business Model: Pre- and Post-Adoption Mohamad Fauzan Noordin and Roslina Othman

What Are The Strategic Choices of Electronic Marketplace Functionalities? Sébastien Tran

E-readiness in developing countries: A conceptual e-readiness framework for small tourism enterprises Stan Karanasics and Stephen Burgess

# Software Development

Increasing Human-Centred Software Development Maturity in Software Development Organizations Ghassan AL-Qaimari

> Metaphors in Co-Design Peter Rittgen

Increasing Efficiency in Service Oriented Architecture Amir Malik

<u>A Test Cases Generation Technique Using Genetic Algorithms</u> Arabi Keshk, Ahmed M. Omira, Nabil A. Ismail, and Fawzy A. Torkey

On-Line Handwritten Character Recognition: An Overview Aman Deep

Understanding Requirements Engineering Process: a Challenge for Practice and Education Lemai Nguyen, Jocelyn Armarego, and Paul Swatman

4/6/2010 2:19 F

Prosody Generation In Concatenation Malay Language Speech Synthesizer (CMSS) Siew Hock Ow, Roziati Zainuddin, and Wai Keong Loo

Extreme Cots-Based Software Development Process: A Process Enhancement Maree Mujeeb-u-Rehman, Abdul Wasim Shaikh, and Memon Abdul Ghafoor

Broker-Oriented Software Development Process from Cots Products -A Process Enhancement Abdul Wasim Shaikh and Maree Mujeeb-u-Rehman

Challenging the Rules: An Investigation of a Fact-Based Approach for Specifying Business Rules Adrian Hargreaves

An approach to the Human Values Scale from Smart User Models Javier Guzmán-Obando, Gustavo González, Josep Lluís de la Rosa, Silvana V. Aciar, Ronald U. Ruíz, José A. Castán

# **Artificial Intelligence**

Conversion of a Fuzzy System to Balanced Scorecard System to improve Management Business Ronald Uriel Ruiz Ordoñez, Josep Lluis De La Rosa I Esteva, Javier Guzman Obando, and Victor Manuel Ardila Soto

Comparing the Performance of Artificial Immune System and Self-Organizing Map Approaches to Anomaly Detection

Atef Z. Ghalwash, Aliaa A. A. Youssif, and Samir A. Mohamed

An Intelligent Agent-Based Digital Currency Scheme Mohamed Aborizka, Mohamed Kouta, Krishna Kavi, and Sherif Saad

Certain Bayesian Network based on Fuzzy knowledge Bases Abdelkader Heni, Mohamed-Nazih Omri, and Adel M. Alimi

# Information Systems in Healthcare Industry

Contribution and Limits of UML Models for Task Modelling in a Complex Organizational Context: Case Study in the Healthcare Domain Stéphanie Bernonville, Christophe Kolski, and Marie-Catherine Beuscart

<u>A web-based Automated Dental Identification System (webADIS)</u> Satyasrinivas Chekuri, Diaa Eldin M. Nassar, Ayman A. Abaza, Ali Bahu, and Hany H. Ammar

> E-Health: The New Frontier in Healthcare Management Hesham O. Dinana and Hatem M. El Ghamry

# **Corporate Performance Management**

Corporate Performance Management: Alignment of Information Technology and Organizational Strategies Khalid S. Soliman and Tanya C. Myers

# Information Systems Service & Quality Management

A Conceptual Framework for Quality Management in Information Systems Khalid I. Al-Shitri and Pam Mayhew

> Emerging Issues in IT Service Management Sharman Lichtenstein, Lemai Nguyen, and Alexia Hunter

### ERP

#### Statistical solution for risk management in the implementation of IMIS Adam Wasilewski

The ERP Systems Benefits for the Strategic Decisions Support in the Case of Tunisian Service Nedra Bahri and Nabil Mzoughi

> ERP systems and ROI analysis Adam Wasilewski and Eliza Wrobel

# CRM

<u>CRM systems : A Learning Perspective on How Organisations Manage to Understand the Customer</u> Thomas Kalling and Lisen Selander

Electronic Customer Relationship Management (e-CRM): A Strategic Approach to Managing Customer Relationship Forough Karimi and Reza Sarkhosh

Selection Information Sources Based on the Trust of Similar Sources Silvana Aciar, Josefina López Herrera, Josep LLuis de la Rosa, Javier Guzmán Obando, and Ronald Ruiz Ordoñez

The Impact of CRM on Customer Loyalty, Retention and Firm Profitability: Modelling with Structural Equations in the Case of the Pharmaceutical Industry Nabil Mzoughi and Nedra Bahri

# Outsourcing

Management of Critical Success Factors for Offshore Software Development Projects – A German Perspective Michael Amberg and Martin Wiener

> Lifecycle of Outsourcing Relationship – A Multiple Case Study Approach Teuta Cata and Vijay Raghavan

### **Data Mining**

An Algorithm for Extracting the Root of Arabic Words Sameh Ghwanmeh, Riyad Al-Shalabi, Ghassan Kanaan, Khalid Khanfar, and Saif Rabab'ah

> Question Answering Arabic System based on Passage Selection Riyad Al-Shalabi, Ghassan Kanaan, Linda Jahjah

Using Cluster Analysis in Classification of Categories: Evidence from the Holly Qur'an Shahinaz Kamal and Ahmed El Ragal

<u>A New Technique for Automatic Text Categorization for Arabic Documents</u> Samir A. Mohamed, Walaa Ata, and Nevin Darwish

Automatic Text Classification using Naïve Bayesian Aigorithm on Arabic Language Ghassan Ghazi Kanaan, Riyad Farhan Alshalabi, and Omar Ghazi Al – Azzam

An Information Retrieval System Based On Various Indexing Schemes Ahmed A. A. Radwan, Bahgat A. Abdel Latef, Abdel Mgeid A. Aii, and Osman A. Sadek

<u>A Feature extraction method for automatic web page classification system</u> Eman M. Gmaleldeen, Nabil A. Ismail, Mohiey Hadhoud, and Kamel A. Mostafa

> Rule Pruning in Associative Classification Mining Fadi Thabtah

### Internet / WWW

Causes of Internet Addiction: Boredom or Loneliness Shafqat Hameed and Carolyn Adagala

The Website Features and Categories in the Context of Fortune e-50 Companies Teuta Cata

Web Globalization: A Methodology for Developing Usable Websites for the Global Market Ghassan Al-Qaimari

Web Usability: An Evaluation of the Governments' Websites in Sabah, Malaysia Muzaffar Hamzah

> Web Site Reliability in Web Commerce Applications Hasan Al-Saedy

An Innovative Model for Providing Digital Connectivity to Rural Areas Abdelnasser M. Abdelaal and Hesham H. Ali

Cultural Issues on Global Websites and Aspect-Oriented Requirements Engineering Kyeong Kang and João Araújo

Digital Versus Traditional Press: An Analysis of Readers' Motivations Carlos Flavián Blanco and Raquel Gurrea Sarasa Does Browsers' Quality affect Websites' Functionality Rania Maher Kilany Abd Elazez and Hawaf Abd AlHakim Teleb

The nearest some of us will ever come to Information Heaven BK Ngubane, S Lubbe, and R Klopper

Toward an Assessment of Web Engineering Risks Bouchaib Bahli and Dany Di Tullio

# Information Systems Security

Development of Methodology for Evaluation of Information Security Level in CIIP (Critical Information Infrastructure Protection)

Bok-Ki Min, Jong-Whoi Shin, Kwang-Hee Choi, Kang-Shin Lee, and Jae-II Lee

The Bluetooth Needs Braces "A White Hat IT Security Risk Management Approach" Khaled E. A. Negm

> Making Sense of Web Services Security Issues Stephen Humphreys and Maurice Abi-Raad

Effective Real Time Hybrid Threat Management Using Vulnerability Correlation Khaled E. A. Negm

A Conceptual Framework for Preventing Tsunami-Like Damage of Information Malice: A Human-Factor Approach Chiou-Pirng Wang, Don Snyder, Kathaleena Monds

> Addressing Enterprise Information Security Anthony Rhodes

# Learning Organization

Model LOB-MUT: Build up a Learning Organisation Roman Povalej and Wolffried Stucky

# **Data Warehousing**

Warehousing of Object Oriented Petroleum Data for Knowledge Mapping Shastri L Nimmagadda, Heinz Dreher, and Amit Rudra

Towards A Generic Conceptual Model for Data Warehouses Neveen El Gamal, Ali El Bastawissy, and Galal Galal-Edeen

# E-Learning

4/6/2010 2:19 P

Integration of Knowledge Management and E-Learning: A Case Study on Tourism Authority of Thailand Ussanee Malisuwan, Kulthon Kasemsan, and Settapong Malisuwan

# **Trust and Privacy Issues**

Towards Dynamic Control of Users Privacy Amr Ali Eldin, René Wagenaar, and Harry Bouwman

Perceptions on SPAM in a South African context Wallace Chigona, Ashvin Bheekun, Meianie Späth, Saba Derakhashani, and Jean-Paul Van Belle

> <u>Trust in mobile context aware systems</u> Semir Daskapan, Amr Ali Eldin, and Rene W. Wagenaar

# **Information Systems Research**

IS Integration in Corporate M&A: Applicability of Related Theory and Future Advancements of the Research Field Stefan Henningsson

Stages of Growth Theory Revisited: Senior Management on Information Systems and Technology Alan Sixsmith and Gordana Culjak

Perception and/or Individual Difference: What Affects the Acceptance of New Technology? Khaled Dahawy and Sherif Kamel

Changing User Requirements in an Inter-Organisational Information System Raija Halonen

# **IS Strategic Planning**

Developing an ICT Strategic Plan for an African Utility Corporation Kevin Allan Johnston

# **Special Tracks**

# Theory and Practice of Software Engineering (TPSE) Track

Project management improvement in Extreme Programming Houda Zouari Ounaies, Yassine Jamoussi, and Mohamed Ben Ahmed

Modularized Report Form Development Methodology in UFIDA Service-Oriented System—NC 3.0 Chen XueSong and Xu Hua

#### Database-Assisted Project Management Applied to Software Development Projects of Maritime Research and Consultation Center (MRCC) Hend Abdel Halim Hafez

Exploring Varying Group Size on Software Inspection Performance: Preliminary Evidence from a Laboratory Experiment Peter Patara, Nicholas Jit Chang Yaw, and Lesley Pek Wee Land

> An approach for creating project-specific software development methodologies Marko Bajec, Damjan Vavpotic, and Marjan Krisper

A Simple Metric to Measure Semantic Overlap between Models: Application and Visualization Jean-Paul Van Belle

> An Infrastructure for UML Model Animation José Sáez, Ambrosio Toval, and Francisco Maestre

Towards Developing a Software Inspection Model: Preliminary Empirical Evidence Matthew Reggers, Lesley Pek Wee Land, and Bernard Wong

Conceptual Model for Socio-technical Evaluation of Software Development Methodologies Damjan Vavpotic, Marko Bajec, and Marjan Krisper

Components Behaviour Specification and Validation with Abstract States Machines Goiuria Sagardui, Xabier Aretxandieta, and Leire Etxeberria

> A Pre-mortem on Post-mortems John McAvoy

Evolution of Software Engineering Education in Canada Siamak Rezaei

A Framework for Representation of Software Patterns Pankaj Kamthan

A global approach for consolidating Trust-centric requirements in Large Collaborative Spaces A. Adonis, K. Drira, J.M. Rosario, and J.R. Silva

> Bringing Smalltalk Blocks to Java through Transformation Techniques Mina Askari and Raihan Al-Ekram

# **Information Systems Research in French Track**

Le droit d'auteur dans la société d'information Lotfi Hamzi

<u>M3C : Une Méthodologie de Cartographie des Connaissances Critiques dans l'entreprise</u> Thierno Tounkara, Imed Boughzala, et Jean-Louis Ermine

Application de l'approche des Stakeholders au management du e-gouvernement

#### Soumaya Intissar Ben Dhaou et Yousra Essid

Bases de données: fiabilité et pérennité Tarik Beldjilali

Indexation des images médicales par le tatouage Hanène Trichili, Mohamed Salim Bouhlil, Imen Fourati, et Bassel Solaiman

Vers un Modèle Intégré de Gestion des Communautés Virtuelles Professionnelles Thierry Isckia, Thierno Tcunkara, and Imed Boughzala

Vers une Approche d'Architecture d'un Système Hypermédia Adaptatif Zayani Corinne Amel, Sèdes Florence, Canut Marie-Françoise, Amous Ikram, et Péninou André

Besoin de reconceptualisation de la culture organisationnelle dans les recherches en Système d'Information Yousra Essid and Soumaya Intissar Ben Dhaou

> Le tatouage des informations pour la protection de la propriété intellectuelle Hanène Trichili, Mohamed Salim Bouhlel, et Bassel Soliaiman

E-Gouvernement dans un pays émergent: la Tunisie :Pour une intégration de la perception des citoyens Asma Chaouch

# Information Systems Research in Spanish Track

Calidad De Datos - De La Teoria A La Práctica

Edit Grassiani Lino de Campos, Solange N. Alves de Souza, Luiz Sergio de Souza, Judith Pavón, Vera Lúcia R. Y. Shidomi

# Tutorials

Software Architecture Metrics Hany H. Ammar

Method Engineering Magdy Serour, Brian Henderson-Sellers, and Cesar Gonzalez-Perez

End of Table

### The Effect of Information Sharing on Empowerment in Indonesia

#### Aji Hermawan

Lecturer, Department of Agro-industrial Technology, Bogor Agricultural University, Indonesia and Doctoral Researcher, Manchester Business School, United Kingdom. E-mail: ajiher@ipb.ac.id

#### Abstract

This study examines the differences in information sharing and psychological empowerment in organisations, as well as the relationship of both. Using multiple case study research in four manufacturing companies in Indonesia, this study found that the levels and the relationships are different across the companies studied. The differences are explained by the extensiveness use of empowering initiatives and the contextual factors surrounding the companies. The limitations of the universal measures of information and empowerment are also discussed.

#### 1. Introduction

This paper is a part of a wider study about empowerment theory and practices in Indonesia. Empowerment has been extensively studied and it might be the most popular term used across disciplines in the 1990s. This paper focuses on the relationship between information sharing – which is regarded as a part of structural empowerment and psychological empowerment.

The relationship between information sharing and empowerment is well supported in management literature. To empower organisations it is believed that more information should be available to more people at more levels through more devices. Such information might include data about workflow, productivity, external environment, competition, and firm strategy. According to Bowen and Lawler (1992), there are two specific pieces of information, which are critical to empowerment: (1) information about organisation's mission and (2) information about performance of a work unit Spreitzer (1995, 1996) shows that access to information significantly is related to empowerment. Individuals who perceive that they have a high degree of access to information report a higher level of empowerment than those who perceive they have less access to information.

Additionally, the effects of empowerment on organisational performance are widely recognised. Empowerment is believed as mediator for performance by many writers such as Spreitzer (1995), Spreitzer et al (1997), Spreitzer et al (1999), Fuller et al (1999), Koberg et al (1999), and Kraimer et al (1999).

This paper aims to examine (1) the variations of information sharing and psychological empowerment, and (2) the effect of information sharing on psychological empowerment in four manufacturing companies in Indonesia.

#### 2. Methods

This study used multiple case study research. There were four cases selected from the manufacturing sector in Indonesia. As a case study research, the cases were not selected on the basis of statistical sampling, but it used the principle of maximum variation by including companies from different industrial sectors and different technologies. The four companies were Food-Co, Stel-Co, Chem-Co, and Wood-Co (the names are disguised).

A combination of data collection methods was used in this study. This combination or triangulation was expected to be highly synergistic (Yin, 1994). The multiple data collection methods were used including: (1) interviews and (2) questionnaires.

Mainly semi-structured interview was used because it would make the interview move freely and more conversational but the focus on predetermined questions were able to be maintained. The questions were open-ended to allow respondents to say freely whatever they wanted to say. To achieve this, some interview guides were developed. All interviews were recorded and transcribed. The interviews were conducted with (a) senior managers and (d) employees. Senior manager expected to involve was senior human resource manager since he/she was the person responsible for the issues. The employees were asked about their perception of empowerment and information sharing. The interviews were conducted in order to yield more information than could be obtained from the questionnaire.

Questionnaires were used to obtain quantitative data on information sharing and psychological empowerment. The number of participating respondents depended on the number of employees occupying supervisory levels (below managerial levels) in the companies. There was no sampling taken since the questionnaire distributed to all employees at the levels. The questionnaire consists of questions about psychological empowerment and information sharing. The detail of each questionnaire is described below.

Information sharing. Information sharing is concerned with the amount and type of information that is shared with employees. The measure is also borrowed from Lawler et al (1995). Employees were asked about the information they receive consisting 8 (eight) types of information, that are the corporation's overall performance, the plant's overall performance, the department's performance, their own individual performance, the plant's business plans and goals, the corporation's business plans and goals, new technologies that affect them, and the competitors' relative performance. The typical questions, for example, are 'I receive information about the corporation's overall results'. 'I receive information about the plant's overall performance', etc. Employees were asked to place a checkmark to the statements they believe true in the company. In the analysis, the information sharing is calculated by

averaging the number of the types of information the employees receive.

Psychological empowerment. To measure this aspect, Spreitzer's questionnaire, which has been widely used in measuring psychological empowerment, was employed. Because it has been widely accepted, Spreitzer's construct has proved its validity and reliability in much research in the field of organisational behaviour. Even though there are many criticisms of this concept, there is a lack of alternative concepts that have been validated empirically. The more comprehensive measure is difficult to develop and it is realised by its critics such as Zimmerman (1995, 2000). The weaknesses of this construct for not including interpersonal and behavioural components can be handled by including the structural dimension of empowerment, which will be described later.

Spreitzer's questionnaire measures psychological empowerment including senses of meaning, competence, self-determination, and impact. The definitions of each component, as discussed in previous chapter, are as follows:

- Meaning is defined as the value of a work goal or purpose, judged in relation to an individual's own ideals and standards.
- Competence or self-efficacy is the self-belief that one possesses the skills and abilities necessary to perform a job or task well.
- Self-determination is an individual sense of having choice in initiating and regulating actions or a feeling of having control over one's own work.
- Impact is the belief that one has significant influence over strategic, administrative, or operational outcomes at work (Spreitzer, 1995).

Based on the definitions, Spreitzer's questionnaire composes 12 (twelve) questions in 7-point Likert scale.

The characteristic of the company, the number of questionnaire distributed, and the number of people interviewed can be seen in Table 1 below.

	Food-Co	Steei-Co.	Chem-Co	Wood-Co
Product	Wheat Flour	Steel plate	ρτα"	Plywood
No. of employees	2,268	255	587	3,909
No. of middle managers in production dept.	107	26	52	119
Questionnaires distributed	107	26	52	119
Questionnaires received	69	26	40	108
Response rate	64%	100%	77%	91%
Senior managers interviewed	5	3	2	3
Operators interviewed	33	10	8	28

Table 1. Summary	of the	fieldwork
------------------	--------	-----------

in group)			
	l		

Analysis. The data was analysed using mean comparison and post hoc analysis to see the different among the cases. While the analysis within each case used correlation analysis. The qualitative data was used to enrich the finding and to give contextual sense to the quantitative analysis.

#### 3. Results and Discussion

The discussion of the results will begin by analysing the difference in the levels of information sharing and psychological empowerment; and is then followed by discussing the relationship between information sharing and psychological empowerment.

The levels of information sharing across the companies are significantly different. Food-Co has the highest information sharing index among the cases (see Table 2). Statistically Food-Co is the highest and significantly different from Chem-Co and Wood-Co, while Steel-Co is in-between and indifferent from either Food-Co or Chem-Co and Wood-Co. Food-Co is clearly the highest since it employs various participation initiatives especially TQM. A great range of quality and productivity information is publicly given. The channels include quality circles, quality ceremony, and related activities. Steel-Co has a similar approach though not as extensive as Food-Co, but problems in implementing TQM inhibit the amount and the quality of information which may flow. The informal approach of the supervisors also contributes to the limited information sharing since informal communication tends to involve limited numbers of individuals. On the other hand, the strict control at Chem-Co and Wood-Co may explain the difference. While Wood-Co uses close supervision to control employees, Chem-Co adopts formal SOP controls. These types of control limit the flow of information in the companies since this is usually under tight control. The statement of the human resource manager at Chem-Co, that information is deliberately controlled in order to make employees undisturbed, is an obvious example that information flow is strictly controlled in the company.

Index	F	S.	Ċ.	w	Ave	Sig.
Empowerment	5.05	5.10	4.81	4.68	4.91	**
<ul> <li>Meaning</li> </ul>	5.75	5.71	5.51	5.46	5.60	
Competence	5.46	5.59	5.43	5.12	5.40	*
Choice	4.15	4.28	4.03	3.73	4.05	*
Impact	4.85	4.83	4.28	4.43	4.60	**

# Table 2. Comparison of empowerment and information index across the companies

Information	0.63	0.56	0.50	0.51	0.55	*

Note: F=Food-Co, S=Steel-Co, C=Chem-Co, W=Wood-Co, Avg=Average

\*\* the mean difference is significant at the .01 level (2-tailed). \* the mean difference is significant at the .05 level (2-tailed). Even though there are differences in the information sharing index, we need to be alert to the use of the index. First, there is a common opinion across the cases that the type of information shared in the companies is generally generated for the management interest. The range of information listed, which is regarded as important information for empowerment, clearly serves the company's concern, not the workers'. The workers in almost every company studied complained that the information is given to make them work harder. The most common information received related to company problems (deviation of expected performance) and required the workers to improve the quality of their work. It seems that information was used selectively to enable managers to reduce negative divergences and exploit the positive divergences which individuals make. As Braverman (1974) says the prioritisation of information in the manager's role is the key to scientific management. Similarly Webster and Robins (1993) argue that information can be used to continue and extend the established control mechanisms. In similar vein, Marchington and Grugulis (2001) argue that information sharing is no more than a cascade of information from management to employees, which ignores the employee contribution. This one way information flow rather than being seen as empowering could more easily be interpreted instead as indoctrinating, emasculating, and controlling.

Second, the information index ignores the depth, usefulness, and quality of information given. Instead of the extensiveness, other important dimensions are not considered. For example, the type of corporate performance shared with employees could have different effects. The company could explain its performance to employees, yet hide some vital information in order to control employees' reactions; or use performance information such as decreasing profit or market share to make employees work harder.

Third, the information listed is based on an assumption that the practice is the best practice of information sharing for every organisation. For example, information about performance and competitor were used as the best proxy of information without considering the variety and the problematic implementation of such information in organisation.

In terms of psychological empowerment, all the cases show high levels of it. This can be seen in the empowerment scores which are in the neighbourhood of five (see Table 3). Food-Co and Steel-Co show higher scores than Wood-Co and Chem-Co. Statistically, there is a significant

difference in overall psychological empowerment levels between the four companies. The post hoc test shows that Wood-Co's psychological empowerment level is significantly different from Steel-Co and Food-Co, while there is no significant different between Chem-Co, Steel-Co, and Food-Co. Thus, the difference is mainly because Wood-Co has the lowest scale.

Before discussing the relationships between information and psychological empowerment, there is an important finding that needs more attention. In each company, choice and impact are almost lower than meaning and competence dimensions. Choice and impact indices in each company are around four - (the choice index is 4.05 and the impact index is 4.60), while meaning and competence indices are always above five (the meaning index is 5.60 and the competence index is 5.40). This finding is similar to Spreitzer's (1995) surveys on different employee groups: mid-level managers, clerical workers, and front-line employees; Siegal and Gardner's (1999) study on employees in a manufacturing company; and Kraimer et al.'s (1999) survey on nursing professionals. This similar pattern to the dimensions of psychological empowerment creates some interesting questions for further exploration. First, though many studies support the existence of the four dimensions of empowerment, the choice and impact dimensions could be the most important measures when viewed from a structural perspective. Though the approach is psychological, this notion of empowerment originally psychological is conceptualised from the root of power and control construct of Conger and Kanungo (1988). Feelings of choice - which reflect autonomy or having control over ones' work - and feelings of impact - which indicate significant influences over strategic, administrative, or operational outcomes - are closer to structural perspectives of empowerment. Choice can be regarded as power potential while impact reflects actual power (Kraimer et al 1999). This note is important when we analyse the relationship between structural and psychological empowerment in the next section. Second, some studies also report that meaning and competence are distinct dimensions but choice/self-determination and impact can come together as one dimension (Fullford and Enz, 1995). Therefore, Wall, Wood, and Leach (2004) suggest further qualification for the measures as Spreitzer (1995) comments that the measures need continued refinements. Finally, there is a possibility that the dimensions are sequentially related. For example, choice can be a precursor of meaning while competence can be a precursor of impact.

It could be thought that information sharing might explain variations in psychological scales across the cases. However, the results show the effect of information is different across the cases. Information is the best predictor of psychological empowerment but the effect is significant only at Food-Co (see Table 3 below). Thus, the significant influence of information is clearly not universal. In certain circumstances, information significantly influences psychological empowerment but it does not in other circumstances.

There are some possible explanations of this finding. **First**, at Food-Co, the relationships are significant since psychological empowerment at Food-Co is at high level. It can be argued that information influences the feeling of empowerment when this is at a high level. In other words, information is relevant when individual has reached a certain level of psychological empowerment.

Table 3.	Correlation between Information Sharing and
	Psychological Empowerment

information	S.P.svch.	nio g	ical'	Empoy	rerment	(PE)
(sliaring at a	Meaning	siênîî	eente	Choice	Impact	Total
Food-Co		*	*		*	*
Steel-Co						
Chem-Co			*******			
Wood-Co					* *	

\*\* Correlation is significant at the .01 level (2-tailed).

Correlation is significant at the .05 level (2-tailed).

Second, since the relationship between information and psychological empowerment is not consistent, contextual factors might influence the links. The factors can be psychological, individual, and organisational. Therefore, contextual factors beyond the relationship need to be explored further. Spreitzer (1995) mentions a personality variable and individual difference factors such as self esteem and locus of control.

Third, one of the possible organisational factors is the extensiveness of Food-Co in using various involvement techniques. Food-Co has the most extensive empowerment climate and the organisational environment is supportive for psychological empowerment. While in other cases, the control climate dominated the environment at Chem-Co, Wood-Co, and Steel-Co. At Wood-Co, control is manifested in close personal supervision, while at Chem-Co it shows in standard operating procedures. Furthermore, closer analysis can be done by looking at which psychological dimensions are influenced by information. We find that at Food-Co competence and impact dimensions are significantly influenced by information. I argue that information about individual performance will influence the feeling of competence, while information about company or unit performance will influence the feeling of impact.

Fourth, the measures of both information and psychological empowerment might fail to reflect the complexity of information sharing and empowerment at the workplace. Information measure has considered the extensiveness of information issues and but not the degree of information shared, while psychological empowerment has only measure the cognitive intra-personal element of individual, while ignoring the inter-personal and behavioural elements (Zimmerman, 2000)

Fifth, the reality of information in organisation might contribute to the relationship given the facts that information sharing gives only limited information to employees. This study found employees were given information as a condition to make them work harder. Therefore, information is not genuinely intended to empower the workers. This finding supports some studies that doubt the impact of information (see Wagner, 1994).

Finally, I would argue that in certain circumstances the psychological empowerment construct is not relevant especially when the organisation has collective culture. Adapting the notion of collectiveefficacy of Lam, Chen, and Schaubroek (2002) I suggest that the development of collective empowerment is more appropriate. As discussed before, Spreitzer's psychological empowerment is borrowed from self-efficacy, therefore it can be regarded as self-empowerment. In the Indonesian case, where collective culture is evident, the conception of collective-empowerment could be useful. For example, the relationship between information sharing and psychological empowerment at Wood-Co and Steel-Co - where the individual culture is more evident than at Food-Co - may be explained better if collective empowerment is used rather than self-empowerment.

#### 4. Conclusions

The levels of information sharing and psychological empowerment were found different across the companies studied. The differences might be explained by the different structural initiatives like TQM employed by each organisation.

The relationship between information sharing and empowerment is not consistent in each case. Therefore, the universal effect of information sharing on empowerment is not confirmed. The contextual factors - in which information influencing psychological empowerment - need further analysis. The more complete dimensions in measuring information sharing and psychological empowerment are argued able to give better understanding of both variables and their relationships.

#### 5. References

[1] Bowen, D.E. and Lawler III, E.E (1992). Empowerment of Service Workers: What, Why, How, and When. <u>Sloan Management Review</u>, <u>33</u>(3), 31-39.

[2] Bowen, D.E. and Lawler III, E.E (1995). Empowering Service Employees. <u>Sloan Management</u> <u>Review, Summer</u>, 73-84.

[3] Braverman, H. (1974) <u>Labor and Monopoly</u> <u>Capital: The Degradation of Work in the Twentieth</u> <u>Century</u>. New York, London: Monthly Review Press. [4] Conger, J. A., and Kanungo, R. N. (1988). The empowerment process: integrating

[5] Fulford M.D. and Enz, C.A. (1995). The impact of empowerment on service employees. Journal of Managerial Issues, 7: 161-175.

[6] Fuller, J. B., Morrison, R., Jones, L., Bridger, D. & Brown, V. (1999). The Effect of Empowerment on Transformational Leadership and Job Satisfaction. Journal of Social Psychology, 139(3),

[7] Hermawan, A. (1997) Terpedaya dengan Pemberdayaan (Disempowered by Empowerment). Agrimedia 3 (1), 1997.

[8] Kanter, R. M. (1977). <u>Men and women of the corporation</u>. New York: Basic Books.

[9] Koberg, C. S., Boss, R. W., Senjem, J. C. & Goodman, E. A. (1999). Antecedents and outcomes of empowerment. <u>Group & Organisation</u> <u>Management, 24</u>(1),

[10] Kraimer, M.L., Seibert, S.E. & Liden, R.C. (1999). Psychological Empowerment as a multidimentional construct: a test of construct validity. <u>Educational & Psychological</u> <u>Measurement, 59(1)</u>,

[11] Kroeker, C.J. (1995). Individual, organisational, and societal empowerment: a study of processes in a Nicaraguan agricultural cooperatives. <u>American Journal of Community</u> <u>Psychology; 23(5), 749-764</u>.

[12]Lam, S.S.K., Chen, X., and Schaubroek, J. (2002) Participative decision making and employee performance in different cultures: the moderating effects of allocentrism/idiocentrism and efficacacy. <u>Academy of Management Journal</u> <u>45</u>(5):905-914.

[13] Lashley, C. (1999). Employee empowerment in services: a framework for analysis. <u>Personnel</u> <u>Review, 28</u>(3), 169-191.

[14] Lashley, C. (2000). Empowerment through involvement: a case study of TGI Fridays restaurants. <u>Personnel Review</u>, 29(6), 791-815.

[15] Lashley, C. and McGoldrick, J. (1994). The Limit of Empowerment: A Critical Assessment of Human Resource Strategy for Hospitality Operations. <u>Empowerment in Organisations</u>, 2(3), 25-38.

[16] Lawler, E. E., Mohrman, S.A., and Ledford, G.E., Jr. (1995). <u>Creating High Performance</u> <u>Organizations</u>. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

[17] Marchington, M. and Grugulis, I. (2000). 'Best Practice' Human Resource management: Perfect Opportunity or dangerous Illusion? <u>International Journal of Human Resource</u> <u>Management, 11(6), 1104-1124</u>. [18] Marchington, M. and Wilkinson, A. (2005), <u>Human Resource Management at Work</u>, CIPD, London.

[19] Sewell, G., and Wilkinson, B. (1992) "Someone to Watch Over Me: Surveillance, Discipline and the Just-in-Time Labor Process," <u>Sociology 26</u>(2): 271-289.

[20] Siegall, M. and Gardner, S. (2000) Contextual factors of psychological empowerment. <u>Personnel</u> <u>Review 29</u>(5-6): 703-722.

[21] Spreitzer, G. M. (1995). Psychological empowerment in the workplace: Dimensions, measurement, and validation. <u>Academy of</u> <u>Management Journal, 38</u>(5), 1442-1465.

[22] Spreitzer, G. M. (1996). Social structural characteristics of psychological empowerment. Academy of Management Journal, 39(2), 483-504.

[23] Spreitzer, G. M., Janasz, S.C.D. & Quinn. R.E (1999). Empowered to lead: the role of psychological empowerment in leadership. <u>Journal of</u> <u>Organisational Behaviour</u>, 20, 511-526.

[24] Spreitzer, G. M., Kizilos, M. A. & Nason, S. W. (1997). A dimensional analysis of the relationship between psychological empowerment and effectiveness, satisfaction, and strain. Journal of Management, 23, 679-704.

[25] Thomas, K. W., and Velthouse, B. A. (1990). Cognitive elements of empowerment. <u>Academy of</u> <u>Management Review</u>, 15(4), 666-681.

[26] Wagner, J.A. (1994) Participations effects on performance and satisfaction: A reconsideration of research evidence. <u>Academy of Management</u> Review 19: 312-330.

[27] Wall, T.B., Wood, S.J., and Leach, D.J. (2004) Empowerment and Performance. In: C.L. Cooper and I.T. Robertson (Eds.) International Review of Industrial and Organizational Psychology, New York: Wiley, pp. 1-46.

[28] Webster, F. and Robins, K. (1993) Tll be watching you': comment on Sewell and Wilkinson. (response to G. Sewell, and B. Wilkinson, Sociology, vol. 26, p. 271, May 1992), <u>Sociology 27(2):243-252</u>.

[29] Yin Robert, K. (1994). <u>Case study research :</u> <u>design and methods</u>. Thousand Oaks, Calif. ; London: Sage Publications.

[30] Zimmerman, M. A. (1995). Psychological empowerment: Issues and illustrations. <u>American</u> Journal of Community Psychology, 23(5), 581-599.

[31]Zimmerman, M. A. (2000). "Empowerment Theory: Psychoogical, Organisational, and Community Levels of Analysis." <u>Handbook of</u> <u>Community Psychology</u> Ed. Rappaport, J. and Seidman, E. New York: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers.