



# Proceedings SABRAO 13<sup>th</sup> Congress and International Conference

Contribution of Breeding Research for Sustainable Agricultural Production  
under Changing Environment to Food Security in Asia and Oceania

September 14 – 16, 2015

Bogor, Indonesia

IPB International Convention Center



Published by :  
Society for the Advancement of Breeding Research in  
Asia and Oceania (SABRAO)  
Bogor, May 2016

Sponsored by :  
PT BISI INTERNATIONAL, TBK  
PT AGRI MAKMUR PERTIWI  
PT BINASAWIT MAKMUR

**Title:**

Proceedings of SABRAO 13<sup>th</sup> Congress and International Conference

**Compiler:**

Prof. Sobir  
Prof. Muhamad Syukur  
Dr. Yudiwanti WEK  
Dr. Trikoesoemaningtyas  
Dr. Desta Wirnas

**Editor:**

Yoni Elviandri, S.P

**Layouter:**

Army Trihandi Putra, S.TP, Ardhya Pratama S.Ikom

**Corrector:**

Dwi Murti Nastiti, S.Ikom

**Number of Pages:**

350 + 36 roman page

**Edition:**

First Edition, August 2016

**PT Penerbit IPB Press**

Members of IKAPI  
IPB Science Park Taman Kencana  
Jl. Taman Kencana No. 3, Bogor 16128  
Telp. 0251 - 8355 158 E-mail: ipbpress@ymail.com

ISBN: 978-979-493-958-1

Printed by IPB Press Printing, Bogor - Indonesia  
IPB Printing is not responsible for any content distributed.

© 2016, ALL RIGHT RESERVED

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or by any means, electronic, mechanical or photocopying without the prior formal permission of the authors.

# Table of Contents

Foreword from the Chairman of the Organizing Committee .....	v
Welcoming Address from the President of SABRAO .....	vii
Table of Contents.....	ix
Schedule of the Conference.....	xiii
The Organizing Committee .....	xv
List of Participants.....	xvii
Oral Presentation Title List.....	xxvii
Poster Presentation Title list .....	xxxiii

## List of Papers

### Functional Genomic and Molecular Based Breeding

Analysis of Partial DNA Sequences of *Linamarase* Gene on Roti and Menggalo Cassavas  
(*Manihot esculenta* Crantz.)

Dewi Indriyani Roslim, Nur Ocvania, and Herman ..... 1

### Genetic Diversity and Sustainable Use of Genetic Resources

Probing Into the Misconception about the Reproduction System of Bali Salak Cultivars

GG Hambali and A Natawijaya ..... 11

Exploration and Collection of *Saccharum* Germplasm in Papua

Bambang Heliyanto, Budi Santoso, Parnidi, M. Mahfud, Abdurrahman,

Afrizal Malik, and Rully Hamidah..... 15

Germplasm Exploration and Collection of Mango Adaptable to High Rainfall Season  
from Sumatera

Fitmawati and Nery Sofianti..... 25

A Preliminary Study of *Garcinia malaccensis* Collection in Taman Buah Mekarsari,  
Cileungsi, Bogor, Indonesia

GG Hambali and A Natawijaya ..... 31

A Decade of Durian Breeding at Indonesian Tropical Fruits Research Institution

Panca Jarot Santoso, Ni Luh Putu Indriyani, and Mizu Istianto..... 37

Morphological Variability of Rice Germplasm in Indonesia and the Associated SNP  
Markers

Puji Lestari, Dwinita Wikan Utami, and Ida Rosdianti..... 51

Genetic Diversity Analysis of Wheat Germplasm Collection of Indonesian Cereals Research Institute Using SSR Markers Aviv Andriani, Reflinur, and Marcia B Pabendon.....	71
Genetic Diversity in Indonesian Waxy Corn Inbred Lines Using SSR Markers Sigit Budi Santoso, Syafaruddin, and Marcia B Pabendon .....	87
Phenotype Performance Evaluation on Mutation Induced Stevia ( <i>Stevia rebaudiana</i> Bertoni) by Gamma Irradiation and Ethyl Methane Sulphonate Suseno Amien and Muhammad Iqbal.....	97
Genetic Diversity Analysis of Mungbean ( <i>Vigna radiata</i> L.) from Riau Province based on Morphological and Agronomic Characters Herman, Rahma Dewi, Dewi Indriyani Roslim, and Aslim Rasyad .....	105
Evaluation of Cocoa Beans Quality Derived from Kolaka, Southeast Sulawesi Nur Kholilatul Izzah, Indah Sulistiyorini, and Rubiyo .....	117
<b>Genetic Improvement for Adaptation to Biotic and Abiotic Stress</b>	
Heat Stress Tolerance in Seeds of Japonica Rice Cultivar Under Treatment of Hot Water Disinfection Method Hadian Permana, Megumi Kashiwagi, Kazumasa Murata, Kiyonori Nakaoka, Tetsuya Yamada, and Motoki Kanekatsu .....	127
Search for Tomato Hybrids Suited for High Temperature Stress Condition Kartikeya Srivastava, Sunil Kumar, HR Bhandari, and A Vaishampayan .....	137
QTL Identification for Reproductive-Stage Salinity Tolerance in Rice ( <i>Oryza sativa</i> L.) Cecilia Diana O Calapit-Palao, Celia B de la Viña, Michael J Thomson, and Rakesh Kumar Singh .....	151
Developing Bruchid Resistant Mungbean Varieties RM Nair, M-R Yan, R Srinivasan, and R Schaffleitner.....	175
Improvement of Tolerance to Heat Stress in Rice Seeds Under Hot Water Disinfection by Reducing Their Water Contents Megumi Kashiwagi, Senri Ohishi, Kazumasa Murata, Kiyonori Nakaoka, Kenji Fujita, Hidenobu Ozaki, Hadian Permana, Tetsuya Yamada, and Motoki Kanekatsu.....	181
Establishment Numbers of Salinity Tolerant Rice Varieties Ciherang, Inpari 13 and Inpara 3 by Induced Mutation and <i>In Vitro</i> Selection Rossa Yunita, Nurul Khumaida, Didy Sopandie, and Ika Mariska .....	185

**Genetic Improvement for Productivity and Quality**

Stability Analysis of Putative Mutant Soybean Lines ( <i>Glycine max</i> (L.) Merr) in Four Environments Nita Ekana'ul, Yudiwanti Wahyu, Desta Wirnas, and Evi Toding Tondok .....	191
Combining Ability of Some Commercial Dura and Pisifera Oil Parents at Immature Stage in Thailand P Pengruang, P Tanya, P Taeprayoon, A Limsrivilai, S H Lee, and P Srinives .....	201
Estimation of Combining Ability and Heterosis for Yield Component in Half Diallel of Local Tomatoes in Lowland Gumelar RMR, Sutjahjo SH, Wirnas D, Herison C, Sulastri I, Nindita A, and Marwiyah S .....	213
Challenges Facing Genetically Improving Underutilised Crops: Progress in Bambara Groundnut Aryo B Feldman, Sean Mayes, Presidor Kendabie, Ho Wai Kuan, Razlim A Halimi, Festo Massawe, and Sayed Azam-Ali .....	223
Current Status on Oil Palm Breeding in Indonesia Edy Suprianto, Nanang Supena, Yurna Yenni, Sri Wening, and Abdul Razak Purba.....	231
Pedigree Selection of Red Rice ( <i>Oryza sativa</i> L.) Offspring for New Plant Ideotype and High Protein Content Etti Swasti, Andrianto, Nurwanita Ekasari Putri, and Aswaldi Anwar .....	241
Characteristic Stability Analysis of Eggplant ( <i>Solanum melongena</i> L.) Genotypes Using Parametric and Non Parametric Approaches Faradila Danasworo Putri, Sobir, Muhamad Syukur, and Awang Maharijaya .....	249
Participatory Selection of Sweet Potato Based on Farmers Preferences in Banjar City, West Java, Indonesia Haris Maulana, M Divo Nugroho, Lucyana Trimio, and Agung Karuniawan .....	265
Genotype X Environment Interaction and Stability for Grain Yield in Hybrids Rice Adapted to Different Environment in Indonesia Satoto, Y Widyastuti, IA Rumanti, and BP Wibowo.....	273
The Use of Embryo Culture for Amylose Breeding of Rice <i>Oryza sativa</i> L. Taryono, Didi Hardjanto, and Nasrullah .....	283
Genotypes X Environments Interaction of Rice Genotypes at Different Altitude Trias Sitaresmi, Nafisah, Cucu Gunarsih, Buang Abdullah, and Aan A Daradjat.....	291

Controlling Genetic Factors to Soybean Seed Storability Under Room Temperature Conditions Eny Widajati, Desta Wirnas, and Kade Ari Oktaviani.....	299
Heritability of Rust Disease Resistance in F <sub>3</sub> -F <sub>4</sub> Soybean Populations Using Variance Component Analysis and Parent-Offspring Regression Method Apri Sulistyو and Sumartini.....	309
Detection of Traits and Genes Related to the Promotion of Root System Development in the Rice by Inoculation of Biofertilizer Shungo Higuma, Motoki Kanekatsu, Tetsuya Yamada, and Tadashi Yokoyama.....	317
Zn Content of Ultra Early Maturing Elite Rice Lines in Indonesia Untung Susanto, Wage R Rohaeni, and Ali Jamil.....	321
<b>Others (Information Technology, Animal Breeding, Forest and Tree Breeding)</b>	
CTAB's Modification: High-Quality Plant DNA Extraction of Tomato for PCR with Heat Shock Treatment Eka Jan Virgin Haquarsum, Surjono Hadi Sutjahjo, Catur Herison, Rustikawati, Yudiansyah, and Siti Marwiyah.....	327
Characterization of Programmed Cell Death in Hybrid Tobacco Cells Expressing Hybrid Lethality Naoya Ueno, Saori Nihei, Naoto Miyakawa, Motoki Kanekatsu, Wataru Marubashi, Wouter G van Doorn, and Tetsuya Yamada .....	333
The Response of Three New Varieties of Red Pepper to Biofertilizer Treatments Neni Rostini, Farida Damayanti, Ade Ismail, Sudardjat, Reginawanti Hindersah, Arviandra Rizky Yoputro, Geusan WBN, and Rio Fernando .....	339
Isolation and Characterization of Peat Soils Phosphate Solvent Bacteria in Giam Siak Kecil Biosphere Conservation-Bukit Batu, Bengkalis, Riau Hapsoh, Gusmawartati, and Di Ajeng Prameswari.....	345

## List of Participants

Name	Affiliation	Email
Abdelrehim Ahmed Ali	Agronomy Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Suez Canal University	drrahem@gmail.com
Abdul Rahim Bin Harun	Agrotechnology and Biosciences Division, Malaysian Nuclear Agency	rahim6313@yahoo.com//rahim@nm.gov.my
Abebe Megersa Diriba	Department of Plant Science, Seoul National University	abebemegersa@snu.ac.kr
Ade Astri Muliastari	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	adeastri07@gmail.com
Adi Surya Ikhsan	Biotechnology Department, Cukurova University	adisurya_bioindo@yahoo.com
Ady Daryanto	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	adydaryanto@yahoo.com
Ae Seon Lee	Gwangju Convention & Visitors Bureau	aeseon@gwangjuvcvb.or.kr
Afifuddin Latif Adiredjo	Agronomy Department, Brawijaya University	al.adiredjo@ub.ac.id
Agung Karuniawan	Padjadjaran University	akaruni@yahoo.com
Aline Sisi Handini	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	
Andari Risliawati	Indonesian Center for Agricultural Biotechnology and Genetic Research (ICABIOGRAD)	boendar@yahoo.co.id
Andi Sauleka	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	
Andi Wahyono	PT BISI International Tbk	genadiputra@yahoo.com
Apri Sulistyono	Indonesian Legumes and Tuber Crops Research Institute (ILETRI)	apri.sulistyono@gmail.com
Arina Saniaty	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	arinaagh162@gmail.com
Aris Hairmansis	Indonesian Centre for Rice Research	a.hairmansis@gmail.com
Arya Widura Ritonga	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	aryagriper@gmail.com
Aryo Benjamin Feldman	Crops For the Future	aryo.feldman@cffresearch.org

List of Participants

Name	Affiliation	Email
Awang Maharijaya	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	awang.maharijaya@gmail.com
Azis Natawijaya	Taman Buah Mekarsari	aznatawijaya@yahoo.com
Backki Kim	Seoul National University	uptfamily@hanmail.net
Bambang Heliyanto	Indonesian Sweetened and Fibre Crops Research Institute	b.heliyant@gmail.com
Benni Situmorang	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	benniagrohort@gmail.com
Bhalang Suriharn	Department of Plant Science and Agricultural Resources, Faculty of Agriculture, Khon Kaen University	bsuriharn@gmail.com
Camelia Rosianti Putri	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	camelia17411@gmail.com
Cucu Gunarsih	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	
Dani Satyawan	Seoul National University	dani_satyawan@snu.ac.kr
Darda Efendi	Center for Tropical Horticulture Studies, Bogor Agricultural University	dardaefendi@gmail.com
Darmawan Saptadi	Faculty of Agriculture, Brawijaya University	darmawansaptadi@gmail.com
Debby Ustari	ICABIOGRAD	debbyustari@gmail.com
Deden Derajat Matra	Tokyo University of Agriculture and Technology	matra6387@gmail.com
Desti Wirnas	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	dwirnas@gmail.com
Dewi Indriyani Roslim	Department of Biology, Riau University	dewiindriyaniroslim@gmail.com
Dewi Sukma	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	dsukma70@yahoo.com
Didy Sopandie	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	didysopandie@gmail.com
Dwi Guntoro	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	dwiguntoro@yahoo.com



Name	Affiliation	Email
Edi Santosa	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	edisang@gmail.com
Edizon Jambormias	Departement of Agriculture, Faculty of Agriculture, Pattimura University, Ambon, Indonesia.	edy_jambormias@yahoo.com
Edy Suprianto	Indonesian Oil Palm Research Institute	edygrey@gmail.com
Eka Jan Virgin Haquarsum	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	virginhaquarsum@gmail.com
Ellina Mansyah	Indonesian Tropical Fruit Research Institute	ellina_mansyah@yahoo.co.id
Endah Retno Palupi	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	erpalupi@yahoo.co.id
Eny Widajati	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	eny.widajati61@gmail.com
Erin Puspita Rini	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	erinpuspitarini@gmail.com
Ery leonardo	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	els.srg25@gmail.com
Estriana Riti	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	ritiestriana@gmail.com
Etti Swasti	Agriculture Faculty, Andalas University	ettiswasti14@yahoo.com
Eunbyeol Koh	Seoul National University	ebkoh@snu.ac.kr
Eunsoo Lee	Seoul National University	les1624@snu.ac.kr
Faradila Danasworo Putri	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	faradiladputri@yahoo.com
Faradila Median Rini	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	dila_me@yahoo.com
Ferra Anggita Agustina	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	ferraanggita@ymail.com
Fitmawati	Faculty Mathematic and Sains Riau University	fitmawati2008@yahoo.com

List of Participants

Name	Affiliation	Email
Fitrah Ramadhan	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	ftramadhan21@gmail.com
Galuh Kusuma Wardhani	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	galuhmawardha@gmail.com
Graham Eagleton	KPT-Kebun Raya Bogor-LIPI	grahameagleton@gmail.com
Gregori Garnadi Hambali	Taman Buah Mekarsari, Cileungsi, Bogor, Indonesia	gregham2010@yahoo.com
Hadian Permana	Tokyo University of Agriculture and Technology	hadijan.permana@gmail.com
Haneul Jeong	Seoul National University	skyts0401@snu.ac.kr
Hapsoh	Faculty of Agriculture University of Riau	hapsodhin@yahoo.co.id
Haris Maulana	Agriculture Faculty, Padjadjaran University	harismaulana89@yahoo.com
Hee-Jong Koh	Seoul National University	heejkoh@snu.ac.kr
Heni Safitri	Indonesian Center for Rice Research	henisafitri2@gmail.com
Herman Syah	Department of Biology, Riau University	hermansyahdan@ymail.com
Hidayati Fatchur Rohmah	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	hidayati_fatchur@yahoo.co.id
Ho Jun Joh	Department of Plant Science, Plant Genomics and Breeding Institute, and Research Institute of Agriculture and Life Sciences, College of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Seoul National University	jun841011@hotmail.com
Hyun-Ju Jang	Seoul National University	janghyunju12@gmail.com
Hyun-Sook Lee	College of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Chungnam National University, Daejeon, 305-764, Korea	leehs0107@gmail.com
Hyun-Su Park	National Institute of Crop Science	mayoe@korea.kr
I Made Tasma	ICABIOGRAD	imade.tasma@gmail.com
Ill-SUP Nou	Department of Horticulture, Suncheon National University	nis@suncheon.ac.kr
Indah Sulistiyorini	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	
Indrastuti Apri Rumanti	Indonesian Center for Rice Research	indrastuti_apri@yahoo.com

Name	Affiliation	Email
Indriati Husain	Universitas Negeri Gorontalo	indriati.husain@ung.ac.id
Izmi Yulianah	Faculty of Agriculture, Brawijaya University	izmiyulianah@yahoo.com
Jabal Ashar	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	jabalashar@gmail.com
JaeBuhm Chun	Crop Breeding Division, National institute of crop science(NICS)	jbchun01@korea.kr
Jayern Lee		leejayern@gmail.com
Jirawat Sanitchon	Department of Plant Science and Agricultural Resources, Khon Kaen University	jirawat@kku.ac.th
Jong-Min Jeong	National Institute of Crop Science RDA, Wan ju, Korea	jjm0820@korea.kr
Juanita Elina	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	juanitaelina@gmail.com
Jun Hyeon Cho	Department of Southern Area Crop Science, National Institute of Crop Science	hy4779@korea.kr
Junghoon lee	Department of Plant Science, Plant Genomics and Breeding Institute, and Research Institute of Agriculture and Life Sciences, College of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Seoul National University	jonghoonlee@snu.ac.kr
Ju-Won Kang	Department of Agronomy, Chungnam National University	hgorilla@gmail.com
Khairol Ismail	Research Officers, MARDI, Malaysia	khairol@mardi.gov.my
Kwon Kyoo Kang	Dept. of Horticulture, Hankyong National University	kykang@hknu.ac.kr
Kyu-Chan Shim		zktnrl@gmail.com
Kyung-Ho Kang	Rice Research Dept. of National Institute of Crop Science	khkang@korea.kr
Lukita Devy	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	d_lukita@yahoo.com
M Rauful Mizan	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	miatulmizan@gmail.com
Maera Zasari	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	maera_zasari@yahoo.com

List of Participants

Name	Affiliation	Email
Marina Yuniawati	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	marina@batan.go.id
Mega Dewi H.	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	megadewiindica@yahoo.com
Megumi Kashiwagi	United Graduate School of Agricultural Science, Tokyo University of Agriculture and Technology	mugumi127@gmail.com
Mi-Ok Woo	National Academy of Agricultural Science, Rural Development Administration	miok1004@hanmail.net
Mohd Din Amiruddin	Malaysian Palm Oil Board, Persiaran Institusi	mohddin@mpob.gov.my
Moon Young Kim	Department of Plant Science and Research Institute for Agriculture and Life Sciences, Seoul National University	moonykim@snu.ac.kr
Motoki Kanekatsu	Tokyo University of Agriculture and Technology, Japan	kanekatu@cc.tuat.ac.jp
MR Alfarabi Istiqlal	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	alfa.istiqlal@gmail.com
Muhamad Syukur	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	muhsyukur@yahoo.com
Muhammad Imam Surya	Cibodas Botanical Garden – Indonesian Institute of Sciences	misurya084@gmail.com
Naoya Ueno	Tokyo University of Agriculture and Technology	un.nasuke@gmail.com
Neni Rostini	Agriculture Faculty of Padjadjaran University	nenithamrin@yahoo.com
Ni Made Armini	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	nmarmini@gmail.com
Nita Ekanaul	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	nitaekanaul@rocketmail.com
Noraziyah Binti Abd Aziz Shamsudin	Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan	nora_aziz@ukm.edu.my
Nur Kholilatul Izzah	Indonesian Industrial and Beverage Crops Research Institute	lila_ref@yahoo.co.id
Nurul Hidayatun	Indonesian Center for Agricultural Biotechnology & Genetic Resources	nurulhi23@yahoo.com

Name	Affiliation	Email
Nurwanita Ekasari Putri	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	nurwanita2004@yahoo.com
Panca Jarot Santoso	Indonesian Tropical Fruit Research Institute, IAARD, Jl. Raya Solok-Aripan Km. 8, P.O. Box	jarot305@yahoo.com
Patcharin Tanya	Dept. of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture at Kamphaeng Saen, Kasetsart University, Kamphaeng Saen, Nakhon Pathom 73140, Thailand	altanya55@yahoo.com
Peerasak Srinives	Kasetsart University	agrps@yahoo.com
Pieter Lontoh	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	
Prakit Somta	Department of Agronomy, Kasetsart University	pksomta@gmail.com
Puji Lestari	ICABIOGRAD	plestari129@yahoo.com
Rahmatika Alfi	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	
Rahmi Henda Yani	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Bogor Indonesia, 16680	rahmi_hendayani@yahoo.com
Rakesh Kumar Singh	Plant Breeding, Genetics, and Biotechnology Division, International Rice Research Institute, Philippines	r.k.singh@irri.org
Ramakrishnan Madhavan Nair	AVRDC – The World Vegetable Center, South Asia, ICRISAT Campus, Patancheru	ramakrishnan.nair@worldveg.org
Ratih Wahyuni	PT BISI International Tbk	nunik_ratih@yahoo.com
Razlin Azman Halimi	Crops For the Future (CFF)	razlin.azman@cffresearch.org
Reflinur	ICABIOGRAD	reflinur@yahoo.com
Reni Indrayanti Sukardiono	Dept. Biologi, Universitas Negeri Jakarta	reni_yanti@yahoo.com
Respatijarti Atmadji	Faculty of Agriculture, Brawijaya University	patiatmadji@gmail.com
Rima Margareta Retnyo Gumelar	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	rimamargareta@gmail.com
Rossa Yunita	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	rossa_yunita@yahoo.com

List of Participants

Name	Affiliation	Email
Rudy Soehendi	Indonesian Ornamental Crop Research Instituti-IAARD	rsoehendi@gmail.com
Sandra Arifin Aziz	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	sandraaziz@yahoo.com
Sangnag Ahn	Chungnam National University	ahnsn@cnu.ac.kr
Sangrea Shim		sangreashim@gmail.com
Satoto	Indonesian Center for Rice Research	satoto_ski@yahoo.com
Sentoor Kumeran Govindasamy	Fakulti Pertanian, Universiti Putra Malaysia	sentoor@mardi.gov.my
Sherly Lapuimakuni	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	lapuimakunisherly@gmail.com
Shungo Higuma	Graduate School of Agricultural Science, Tokyo University of Agriculture and Technology	grizzly9266@gmail.com
Sigit budi santoso	Indonesian Cereals Research Institute	nanoboed@gmail.com
Simon H.T. Raharjo	Faculty of Agriculture, Pattimura University	indobio@gmail.com
Siti Marwiyah	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	wie.marwiyahs@gmail.com
Siti Nurhidayah	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	dayah.ipb@gmail.com
Sofyan Zaman	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	
Sri Sunarti	Indonesian Cereals Research Institute	sri.sunarti01@yahoo.co.uk
Suchila Techawongstien	Faculty of Agriculture, Khon Kaen University Khon Kaen	suctec.kku@gmail.com
Sudarsono	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	s_sudarsono@ymail.com
Suluh Nurmasiwi	PERIPI	snsuluhsiwi10@gmail.co
Surjono H Sutjahjo	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	surjonohadisutjahjo@yahoo.com
Suseno Amien	Faculty of Agriculture, University of Padjadjaran	suseno2011@gmail.com
Suskandari Kartikaningrum	Indonesian Ornamental Crop Research Institute	suskandari@gmail.com

Name	Affiliation	Email
Suyeon Ha		hasuyeon@snu.ac.kr
Syarifah Iis Aisyah	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	syarifahiis@yahoo.com
Tae-Hwan Jun	Department of Plant Bioscience, Pusan National University	thjun76@pusan.ac.kr
Taeyoung Lee	Department of Plant Science and Research Institute for Agriculture and Life Sciences, Seoul National University	alima9002@gmail.com
Tantri Dyah Ayu A		tantrianggraeni210@gmail.com
Taryono	Faculty of Agriculture, University of Gadjah Mada	tariono60@gmail.com
Tengku Laila Kamaliah	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	tengkulailakamaliah@gmail.com
Tri Hastini	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	trihastini@gmail.com
Trias Sitaresmi	Indonesian Center for Rice Research	sitares_triases@yahoo.com
Trikoesoemaningtyas	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	trikadytia@gmail.com
Untung Susanto	ICCR of IAARD	untungsus2011@gmail.com
Usamah Jaisyurahman	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	usamah_jaisyu@yahoo.com
Victor Manotar Pademan Manalu	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	victormpmanalu@yahoo.com
Willy Bayuardi Suwarno	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	bayuardi@gmail.com
Wiwik Hardaningsih	PS. Budidaya Tanaman Pangan, Jurusan Budidaya Tanaman, Politani	jeng_wiwik@yahoo.com
WooJae Kim	National Institute of Crop Science, RDA	wjkim1993@gmail.com
Yeong-Ho Lee		yhl413@hanmail.net
Yong-Gu Cho	Department of Crop Science, Chungbuk National University	ygcho@cbnu.ac.kr
Young Chan Cho	National Institute of Crop Science, RDA	yccho@korea.kr

## List of Participants

<b>Name</b>	<b>Affiliation</b>	<b>Email</b>
Yudhistira Nugraha	Indonesian Center for Rice Research	yudhistira.nugraha@gmail.com
Yudiwanti Wahyu	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	yudiwanti@apps.ipb.ac.id
Yuliasti	Center for Application Isotope Radiation Jakarta Indonesia	upikyuliasti@yahoo.com
Yun-A Jeon		jya0911@cnu.ac.kr
Yunandra	Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University	yunandraasnur71@gmail.com
Yuni Widyastuti	Indonesian Center for Rice Research	yuniweicrr@gmail.com
Yunjoo Kang	Chungnam National University	yunjook.92@gmail.com



# CHARACTERISTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS OF EGGPLANT (*Solanum melongena* L.) GENOTYPES USING PARAMETRIC AND NONPARAMETRIC APPROACHES

Faradila Danasworo Putri<sup>1\*</sup>, Sobir<sup>2</sup>, Muhamad Syukur<sup>3</sup>, and Awang Maharijaya<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Graduate Student of Plant Breeding and Biotechnology, Bogor Agricultural University

<sup>2,3,4</sup>Staff of Plant Breeding and Biotechnology, Bogor Agricultural University

\*Corresponding Author: faradiladputri@yahoo.com

## SUMMARY

Eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.) is one of the important horticultural commodities in Indonesia that has a high productivity. Indonesia has an abundant eggplant germplasm collection in which some have a potential to become a superior variety. Stability analysis of prospective genotypes in different environments is needed to observe its general performance. This research aim to analyze eggplant genotypes performance and appearance in different locations based on altitude. Efforts to quantify the interaction between specific eggplant characteristics and environment can be done with both parametric and non-parametric approaches. A total of 25 eggplant genotypes were planted in three different locations in West Java, Indonesia during the time period of May 2014 – July 2015. This research was conducted using randomized complete block design in each location. Variables observed are fruit length, fruit diameter, fruit weight, plant height and stem diameter. Combined analysis of variance showed highly significant effect of location, genotypes and genotypes x location for all variables observed. Genotypes 2014-044, 2014-047, 2014-077a and 2014-071 were stable based on parametric analysis using Wricke (1962), Finlay & Wilkinson (1963), Eberhart & Russel (1966), Shukla (1972) and Francis & Kannenberg (1978) methods. Nonparametric analysis using Kang (1988) and Thennarasu (1955) methods showed that genotypes 2014-033, 2014-024, 2014-080, 2014-071 and THP were stable. Overall, genotype 2014-071 was the only genotype that was stable in both parametric and nonparametric analysis. This genotype performs well and has generally consistent appearance in all location.

Keywords: Interaction, GxE, environment, multilocation, fruit, vegetable, performance

## INTRODUCTION

Eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.) is one of the important horticultural commodities in Indonesia that has a high productivity. This vegetable has a high economic value and can support human health, since it has high vitamin and high antioxidant content. It is widely distributed in tropical and temperate zones of China, Turkey, Syria, Iraq, Japan, Indonesia, Philippines,

Thailand and Jordan (Hedges and Lister, 2007; Daunay and Janick, 2007; Sathappan *et al.*, 2012). Indonesia has an abundant eggplant germplasm collection in which some have a potential to become a superior variety.

Successful new varieties must show high performance for yield and other essential agronomic traits. Their superiority should be reliable over a wide range of environmental conditions (Becker and Leon, 1988). Thus, prospective genotypes stability analysis in different environments is needed to observe its general performance. Genotype stability is the genotype's ability to have a similar phenotypic performance in a variety of diverse environment. In other words, the characteristic of the genotype is not much changed in each of the environments.

Measures of adaptability and stability are necessary to suggest a target environment for the preferred genotypes (Kılıç, 2012) since different genotypes has different performance in different locations. A stable genotype is a genotype that has a consistent performance in different environment, while unstable genotypes only perform well in a specific location. This research aim to analyze eggplant genotypes performance and appearance in different locations based on altitude.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The genetic materials used for this experiment were 25 eggplant genotypes that consist of 5 open pollinated commercial varieties, 2 genotypes from CTHS collection and 18 local genotypes explored from East Java. The list of genetic materials used is stated in Table 1.

Table 1. List of genetic materials used in the experiment

Code	Genotype	No	Genotype
G1	TUP <sup>1</sup>	G14	2014-024 <sup>3</sup>
G2	THP <sup>1</sup>	G15	2014-029 <sup>3</sup>
G3	Bruno <sup>2</sup>	G16	2014-067 <sup>3</sup>
G4	Pulus <sup>2</sup>	G17	2014-080 <sup>3</sup>
G5	Hijo <sup>2</sup>	G18	2014-050 <sup>3</sup>
G6	Ronggo <sup>2</sup>	G19	2014-044 <sup>3</sup>
G7	Sriti <sup>2</sup>	G20	2014-034 <sup>3</sup>
G8	2013-057-1 <sup>3</sup>	G21	2014-054 <sup>3</sup>
G9	2014-040 <sup>3</sup>	G22	2014-008 <sup>3</sup>
G10	2014-033 <sup>3</sup>	G23	2014-047 <sup>3</sup>
G11	2014-013 <sup>3</sup>	G24	2014-077 <sup>3</sup>
G12	2014-052 <sup>3</sup>	G25	2014-071 <sup>3</sup>
G13	2014-032 <sup>3</sup>		

<sup>1</sup> being genotypes from the CTHS collection, <sup>2</sup> being genotypes from open pollinated commercial varieties and <sup>3</sup> being genotypes from CTHS collection explored from East Java

The field experiment was conducted from May 2014 until July 2015 at three different experimental field stations of University Farm and Center for Tropical Horticulture Studies (CTHS), Bogor Agricultural University. The three experimental fields are Cikabayan (6°55'13.23''

S, 106°71'53.6" E) with an altitude of 160 m above sea level, Tajur (6°63'62.4" S, 106°82.34" E) with an altitude of 340 m above sea level and Pasir Sarongge (6°76'64.07" S, 107°04'96.09" E) with an altitude of 1105 m above sea level. All experimental fields are located in West Java, Indonesia.

The experiment was arranged in randomized complete block design with three replications in each location. Seeds are sowed in plastic sowing tray and watered regularly. Five-week old seedlings were transplanted manually in a 5 x 1 m plot for each experimental unit. Each genotype is planted at a spacing of 50 x 50 cm between and within rows in each plot. As many as 10 plants out of 20 plants in each plot were chosen and observed for the experiment. Harvesting was done manually when the fruit is firm but and before the seeds are visible in the fruit's flesh.

Variables observed in this experiment are fruit length (cm), fruit diameter (mm), fruit weight (g), plant height (cm) and stem diameter (mm). The parameters observed refer to the guideline made by the Plant Variety Protection Center, Indonesian Ministry of Agriculture (2007).

Data analysis was done by using Microsoft Excel, SAS and IRRI Statistical Tool for Agricultural Research (STAR). A combined analysis of variance across the test environments was done. Differences between genotypes and locations are tested using F-test ( $\alpha=5\%$ ) and followed by Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT). Further data analysis was made using parametric and nonparametric approaches. As many as five parametric analyses were used in this experiment. Wricke's (1962) method used ecovalence ( $W_i^2$ ) as a stability parameter while Finlay & Wilkinson's (1963) method used regression coefficient ( $b_i$ ). Eberhart and Russel's (1966) method used deviation from regression parameter ( $\delta^2$ ) and regression coefficient ( $\beta_i$ ), Shukla's (1972) method used stability variance ( $\sigma_i^2$ ), and Francis and Kannenberg's (1978) method used coefficient of variability ( $CV_i$ ) and genotypic variance ( $S_i^2$ ) as a stability parameter.

Meanwhile, two methods are used in nonparametric analysis of the data observed. Kang's (1988) method in using rank-sum (Ysi) is a procedure where both yield and Shukla's (1972) stability variance were used as selection criteria. Thennarasu's (1955) method used non-parametric stability index according to the average corrected ranking of each genotype in the different locations (NPi1, NPi2, NPi3 and NPi4).

## RESULTS

Performance of the eggplant genotypes is an important indicator to see its stability in different locations. During the course of observation we found as many as 32 distinct genotypes according to its fruit size and color even though 25 genotypes were used in the experiment. In this case, some genotypes had as many as 2 or 3 different fruit phenotypic difference. Combined analysis of variance for all the characters observed showed that environments' main effect, genotypes' main effects as well as genetic and environment interaction (GxE) for all characters were significant at  $P \leq 0.01$ . Coefficient of variance in each characters varied 7.04 % to 19.1 % (Table 2).

Table 2. F-value and CV of observed variable

Characters	F-Value			CV (%)
	Environment	Genotype	GxE	
Fruit length	90.53**	107.72**	4.37**	14.16
Fruit diameter	103.03**	42.82**	4.72**	10.01
Fruit weight	242.14**	89.21**	9.67**	19.1
Plant height	619.59**	10.14**	3.91**	8.99
Stem diameter	1180.72**	6.31**	3.35**	7.04

\*\* Significant at  $P \leq 0.01$  probability level

Fruit length for genotypes ranges from the average of 29.47 cm to 2.47 cm. Fruit diameter for genotypes ranges from the average of 94.54 mm to 28.59 mm. Fruit weight for all genotypes ranges from the average of 394.36 g to 10.08 g. Plant height for the genotypes ranges from the average of 94.39 cm to 67.06 cm. Stem diameter for genotypes ranges from the average of 18.34 mm to 14.31 mm. Considering the location, Pasir Sarongge has the highest average of all the characters.

$S_i^2$  = genotypic variance,  $CV_i$  = coefficient of variability for Francis and Kannenberg's method;  $(W_i^2 =$  ecovalence for Wricke's method;  $b_i$  = regression coefficient for Finlay & Wilkinson's method;  $\beta_i^2$  = regression parameter,  $\beta_i$  = regression coefficient for Eberhart and Russel's method;  $\sigma_i^2$  = stability variance for Shukla's method;  $NPi1, NPi2, NPi3$  and  $NPi4$  = average corrected ranking of each genotype in the different locations for Thennarasu's method;  $Y_{si}$  = rank-sum for Kang's method

In Cikabayan, G25 has the longest fruit length (25.15 cm) but not significantly different from G2, G4 and G21a. In Tajur, G21a has the longest fruit length (29.21 cm) but not significantly different from G2, G4, G16a and G25. As for Pasir Sarongge, G21b has the longest fruit length (39.49 cm) but not significantly different from G2 and G16. In all location, G7 has the shortest fruit length (2.60 cm to 2.28 cm) out of all genotypes in all location.

G6 has the biggest fruit diameter in Cikabayan (92.20 mm) and Tajur (92.72 mm) location. G8 has the biggest fruit diameter in Pasir Sarongge (107.97 mm) but not significantly different from G6. G7 has the shortest fruit diameter of all the genotype in three locations (29.99 mm to 27.62 mm).

G6 (277.02 g) and G8 (340.05 g) have the heaviest fruit weight in Cikabayan. The same genotype G8 also has the heaviest fruit weight in Tajur (344.23 g) but not significantly different from G6 and G11. Genotype G16 has the heaviest fruit weight in Pasir Sarongge (539.19 g) but not significantly different from G8. G7 has the smallest fruit weight (11.82 g to 8.67 g) in all locations.

The character plant height showed G19b has the highest height in Cikabayan (71.94 cm), G22 has the highest height in Tajur (128.45 cm) and G9 has the highest height in Pasir Sarongge (112.33 cm). The lowest plant height for Cikabayan, Tajur and Pasir Sarongge location are G1 (45.87 cm), G6 (70.87 cm) and G25 (73.67 cm) respectively. Genotype G22 has the biggest stem diameter in Cikabayan (13.45 mm) and Tajur (21.19 mm). Genotype G8 has the biggest stem diameter in Pasir Sarongge (23.29 mm). Genotypes with the smallest stem diameter are G25 in Cikabayan (9.51 cm), G1 in Tajur (14.37 cm) and G19b in Pasir Sarongge (16.43 mm).

Table 3. Fruit length and fruit diameter of 25 eggplant genotypes grown at three environments

Genotype	Fruit length				Fruit diameter			
	Cikabayan	Tajur	Pasir Sarongge	Average	Cikabayan	Tajur	Pasir Sarongge	Average
G1	21.09 <sup>abc</sup>	23.92 <sup>b-c</sup>	29.07 <sup>b</sup>	24.69 <sup>AB</sup>	42.66 <sup>c-j</sup>	44.72 <sup>h-k</sup>	54.02 <sup>f-i</sup>	47.13 <sup>F-K</sup>
G2	24.82 <sup>a</sup>	26.86 <sup>abc</sup>	36.74 <sup>a</sup>	29.47 <sup>A</sup>	38.79 <sup>h-k</sup>	39.54 <sup>jk</sup>	44.45 <sup>ijk</sup>	40.92 <sup>JKL</sup>
G3	21.96 <sup>abc</sup>	20.43 <sup>def</sup>	25.59 <sup>cd</sup>	22.66 <sup>BC</sup>	45.27 <sup>d-j</sup>	52.30 <sup>d-h</sup>	58.46 <sup>c-h</sup>	52.01 <sup>D-K</sup>
G4	23.86 <sup>a</sup>	28.09 <sup>ab</sup>	29.95 <sup>b</sup>	27.30 <sup>AB</sup>	41.19 <sup>f-k</sup>	42.09 <sup>ijk</sup>	51.79 <sup>ghi</sup>	45.02 <sup>H-K</sup>
G5	19.65 <sup>a-d</sup>	21.81 <sup>c-f</sup>	26.75 <sup>bcd</sup>	22.74 <sup>BC</sup>	49.89 <sup>c-i</sup>	50.25 <sup>c-i</sup>	63.29 <sup>d-g</sup>	54.48 <sup>D-J</sup>
G6	12.38 <sup>c-i</sup>	13.96 <sup>gh</sup>	15.13 <sup>i</sup>	13.83 <sup>DE</sup>	<b>92.20<sup>a</sup></b>	<b>92.72<sup>a</sup></b>	98.69 <sup>a</sup>	94.54 <sup>A</sup>
G7	<b>2.60<sup>j</sup></b>	<b>2.28<sup>m</sup></b>	<b>2.53<sup>k</sup></b>	2.47 <sup>l</sup>	<b>29.99<sup>k</sup></b>	<b>27.62<sup>l</sup></b>	<b>28.16<sup>l</sup></b>	28.59 <sup>L</sup>
G8	13.05 <sup>efg</sup>	17.84 <sup>fg</sup>	18.12 <sup>gh</sup>	16.34 <sup>D</sup>	53.14 <sup>c-f</sup>	74.63 <sup>b</sup>	<b>107.97<sup>a</sup></b>	78.58 <sup>B</sup>
G9	13.69 <sup>ef</sup>	19.55 <sup>def</sup>	24.29 <sup>de</sup>	19.18 <sup>CD</sup>	43.85 <sup>d-j</sup>	56.10 <sup>c-g</sup>	67.05 <sup>cde</sup>	55.67 <sup>C-I</sup>
G10	16.13 <sup>c-f</sup>	18.25 <sup>fg</sup>	20.35 <sup>fg</sup>	18.24 <sup>CD</sup>	53.33	51.15 <sup>c-i</sup>	59.92 <sup>d-h</sup>	54.80 <sup>D-J</sup>
G11	11.45 <sup>c-i</sup>	19.05 <sup>efg</sup>	17.14 <sup>hi</sup>	15.88 <sup>D</sup>	58.41 <sup>c-f</sup>	56.67 <sup>c-g</sup>	77.40 <sup>bc</sup>	64.16 <sup>CDE</sup>
G12a	6.79 <sup>hij</sup>	9.39 <sup>hij</sup>	9.45 <sup>j</sup>	8.54 <sup>E-H</sup>	39.66 <sup>g-k</sup>	52.37 <sup>d-h</sup>	52.71 <sup>ghi</sup>	48.25 <sup>F-K</sup>
G12b	7.19 <sup>g-j</sup>	11.04 <sup>hi</sup>	10.78 <sup>j</sup>	9.67 <sup>EF</sup>	46.23 <sup>d-j</sup>	54.35 <sup>c-h</sup>	57.36 <sup>c-h</sup>	52.65 <sup>D-J</sup>
G12c	7.35 <sup>g-j</sup>	11.04 <sup>hi</sup>	9.45 <sup>j</sup>	9.28 <sup>EFG</sup>	42.04 <sup>c-j</sup>	52.37 <sup>d-h</sup>	52.71 <sup>ghi</sup>	49.04 <sup>F-K</sup>
G13	17.13 <sup>b-c</sup>	18.85 <sup>efg</sup>	18.63 <sup>fgh</sup>	18.20 <sup>CD</sup>	54.11 <sup>cde</sup>	62.77 <sup>c</sup>	62.50 <sup>d-g</sup>	59.80 <sup>C-G</sup>
G14	14.74 <sup>cdf</sup>	17.97 <sup>fg</sup>	19.41 <sup>fgh</sup>	17.37 <sup>CD</sup>	55.10 <sup>cd</sup>	59.68 <sup>cde</sup>	70.44 <sup>cd</sup>	61.74 <sup>C-F</sup>
G15	16.07 <sup>c-f</sup>	19.02 <sup>efg</sup>	21.62 <sup>cf</sup>	18.90 <sup>CD</sup>	46.10 <sup>d-j</sup>	47.25 <sup>g-k</sup>	58.67 <sup>c-h</sup>	50.67 <sup>D-K</sup>
G16a	19.98 <sup>a-d</sup>	24.84 <sup>a-d</sup>	36.90 <sup>a</sup>	27.24 <sup>AB</sup>	50.59 <sup>c-h</sup>	48.33 <sup>f-k</sup>	70.82 <sup>cd</sup>	56.58 <sup>C-I</sup>
G16b	22.15 <sup>ab</sup>	23.30 <sup>b-f</sup>	36.90 <sup>a</sup>	27.45 <sup>AB</sup>	52.24 <sup>c-f</sup>	47.69 <sup>f-k</sup>	70.82 <sup>cd</sup>	56.92 <sup>C-I</sup>
G17	19.49 <sup>a-d</sup>	21.99 <sup>c-f</sup>	26.95 <sup>bcd</sup>	22.81 <sup>BC</sup>	43.02 <sup>d-j</sup>	47.63 <sup>f-k</sup>	57.34 <sup>c-h</sup>	49.33 <sup>F-K</sup>
G18	6.91 <sup>hij</sup>	7.80 <sup>i-l</sup>	8.40 <sup>j</sup>	7.70 <sup>F-I</sup>	43.70 <sup>d-j</sup>	46.06 <sup>h-k</sup>	49.30 <sup>hij</sup>	46.35 <sup>G-K</sup>
G19a	6.72 <sup>hij</sup>	7.80 <sup>i-l</sup>	8.40 <sup>j</sup>	7.64 <sup>F-I</sup>	41.68 <sup>f-j</sup>	46.06 <sup>h-k</sup>	49.30 <sup>hij</sup>	45.68 <sup>G-K</sup>
G19b	3.18 <sup>j</sup>	3.46 <sup>lm</sup>	3.25 <sup>k</sup>	3.29 <sup>HI</sup>	34.10 <sup>jk</sup>	39.27 <sup>k</sup>	39.48 <sup>jk</sup>	37.62 <sup>KL</sup>
G20	12.57 <sup>c-h</sup>	18.19 <sup>fg</sup>	19.93 <sup>fgh</sup>	16.90 <sup>D</sup>	51.55 <sup>c-g</sup>	61.51 <sup>cd</sup>	81.66 <sup>b</sup>	64.91 <sup>CD</sup>
G21a	23.30 <sup>a</sup>	<b>29.21<sup>a</sup></b>	28.49 <sup>bc</sup>	27.00 <sup>AB</sup>	45.73 <sup>d-j</sup>	46.21 <sup>h-k</sup>	84.50 <sup>b</sup>	58.81 <sup>C-H</sup>
G21b	20.55 <sup>a-d</sup>	23.21 <sup>b-f</sup>	<b>39.49<sup>a</sup></b>	27.75 <sup>AB</sup>	42.83 <sup>c-j</sup>	51.34 <sup>c-i</sup>	53.93 <sup>f-i</sup>	49.37 <sup>F-K</sup>
G22	3.95 <sup>ghi</sup>	4.46 <sup>j-m</sup>	4.54 <sup>k</sup>	4.32 <sup>F-I</sup>	69.09 <sup>b</sup>	74.78 <sup>b</sup>	64.89 <sup>def</sup>	69.59 <sup>BC</sup>
G23a	10.49 <sup>f-i</sup>	7.42 <sup>i-m</sup>	8.57 <sup>j</sup>	8.83 <sup>E-H</sup>	46.15 <sup>d-j</sup>	49.21 <sup>f-j</sup>	54.45 <sup>f-i</sup>	49.94 <sup>E-K</sup>
G23b	6.49 <sup>ij</sup>	8.97 <sup>h-k</sup>	8.41 <sup>j</sup>	7.96 <sup>F-I</sup>	43.30 <sup>d-j</sup>	57.19 <sup>c-f</sup>	49.70 <sup>hij</sup>	50.06 <sup>E-K</sup>
G24a	7.78 <sup>g-j</sup>	8.97 <sup>h-k</sup>	3.17 <sup>k</sup>	6.64 <sup>F-I</sup>	48.53 <sup>c-i</sup>	49.21 <sup>f-j</sup>	34.29 <sup>kl</sup>	44.01 <sup>IJK</sup>
G24b	4.21 <sup>j</sup>	3.67 <sup>klm</sup>	3.17 <sup>k</sup>	3.68 <sup>GHI</sup>	38.23 <sup>ijk</sup>	39.66 <sup>jk</sup>	34.29 <sup>kl</sup>	37.39 <sup>KL</sup>
G25	<b>25.15<sup>a</sup></b>	26.79 <sup>abc</sup>	29.93 <sup>b</sup>	27.29 <sup>AB</sup>	41.71 <sup>f-j</sup>	48.03 <sup>f-k</sup>	44.29 <sup>ijk</sup>	44.68 <sup>H-K</sup>
Average	13.84 <sup>C</sup>	16.23 <sup>B</sup>	18.80 <sup>A</sup>		47.64 <sup>C</sup>	52.15 <sup>B</sup>	59.52 <sup>A</sup>	

Mean in the same column and row followed by a common letter are not significantly different at  $P \leq 0.05$  by DMRT. Different capital letter(s) indicate significant difference between environments and between cultivars.

Table 4. Fruit weight of 25 eggplant genotypes grown at three environments

Genotype	Fruit weight			
	Cikabayan	Tajur	Pasir Sarongge	Average
G1	153.92 <sup>cde</sup>	197.53 <sup>b</sup>	326.76 <sup>cd</sup>	226.07 <sup>BC</sup>
G2	139.20 <sup>de</sup>	168.19 <sup>bcd</sup>	283.22 <sup>def</sup>	196.87 <sup>BCD</sup>
G3	153.60 <sup>cde</sup>	192.13 <sup>b</sup>	319.13 <sup>cde</sup>	221.62 <sup>BC</sup>
G4	102.67 <sup>efg</sup>	195.80 <sup>b</sup>	261.29 <sup>ef</sup>	186.59 <sup>B-E</sup>
G5	194.68 <sup>cbd</sup>	194.57 <sup>b</sup>	323.18 <sup>cd</sup>	237.48 <sup>BC</sup>
G6	<b>377.02<sup>a</sup></b>	<b>327.67<sup>a</sup></b>	478.41 <sup>b</sup>	394.36 <sup>A</sup>
G7	<b>9.76<sup>h</sup></b>	<b>8.67<sup>h</sup></b>	<b>11.82<sup>j</sup></b>	10.08 <sup>F</sup>
G8	340.05 <sup>a</sup>	344.23 <sup>a</sup>	490.79 <sup>ab</sup>	391.69 <sup>A</sup>
G9	161.65 <sup>cde</sup>	211.43 <sup>b</sup>	308.25 <sup>de</sup>	227.11 <sup>BC</sup>
G10	129.54 <sup>c</sup>	182.93 <sup>bc</sup>	248.42 <sup>fg</sup>	186.96 <sup>B-E</sup>
G11	210.03 <sup>bc</sup>	<b>284.67<sup>a</sup></b>	313.52 <sup>de</sup>	269.41 <sup>BC</sup>
G12a	62.16 <sup>gh</sup>	107.50 <sup>def</sup>	81.44 <sup>h</sup>	83.70 <sup>EFD</sup>
G12b	62.16 <sup>gh</sup>	107.50 <sup>def</sup>	81.44 <sup>h</sup>	83.70 <sup>EFD</sup>
G12c	62.16 <sup>gh</sup>	107.50 <sup>def</sup>	81.44 <sup>h</sup>	83.70 <sup>EFD</sup>
G13	225.37 <sup>b</sup>	178.13 <sup>bcd</sup>	197.36 <sup>g</sup>	200.29 <sup>BC</sup>
G14	140.23 <sup>de</sup>	166.67 <sup>bcd</sup>	314.24 <sup>de</sup>	207.04 <sup>BC</sup>
G15	146.16 <sup>de</sup>	151.53 <sup>bcd</sup>	271.46 <sup>def</sup>	189.72 <sup>B-E</sup>
G16a	194.01 <sup>cbd</sup>	173.37 <sup>bcd</sup>	<b>539.19<sup>a</sup></b>	302.19 <sup>AB</sup>
G16b	194.01 <sup>cbd</sup>	173.37 <sup>bcd</sup>	<b>539.19<sup>a</sup></b>	302.19 <sup>AB</sup>
G17	126.34 <sup>ef</sup>	198.27 <sup>b</sup>	319.00 <sup>cde</sup>	214.53 <sup>BC</sup>
G18	56.50 <sup>gh</sup>	67.63 <sup>fgh</sup>	70.07 <sup>hi</sup>	64.73 <sup>F</sup>
G19a	56.50 <sup>gh</sup>	67.63 <sup>fgh</sup>	70.07 <sup>hi</sup>	64.73 <sup>F</sup>
G19b	20.57 <sup>h</sup>	20.23 <sup>gh</sup>	16.23 <sup>ij</sup>	19.01 <sup>F</sup>
G20	141.07 <sup>de</sup>	209.30 <sup>b</sup>	303.93 <sup>def</sup>	218.10 <sup>BC</sup>
G21a	201.72 <sup>cbd</sup>	169.77 <sup>bcd</sup>	373.14 <sup>c</sup>	248.21 <sup>BC</sup>
G21b	201.72 <sup>cbd</sup>	169.77 <sup>bcd</sup>	373.14 <sup>c</sup>	248.21 <sup>BC</sup>
G22	70.38 <sup>fgh</sup>	116.40 <sup>c-d</sup>	69.03 <sup>hi</sup>	85.27 <sup>EFD</sup>
G23a	69.48 <sup>efg</sup>	87.43 <sup>gh</sup>	74.11 <sup>hi</sup>	77.01 <sup>EF</sup>
G23b	69.48 <sup>efg</sup>	87.43 <sup>gh</sup>	74.11 <sup>hi</sup>	77.01 <sup>EF</sup>
G24a	15.82 <sup>h</sup>	23.30 <sup>gh</sup>	23.53 <sup>hij</sup>	20.88 <sup>F</sup>
G24b	15.82 <sup>h</sup>	23.30 <sup>gh</sup>	23.53 <sup>hij</sup>	20.88 <sup>F</sup>
G25	142.47 <sup>de</sup>	191.33 <sup>b</sup>	205.95 <sup>g</sup>	179.92 <sup>CDE</sup>
Average	132.70 <sup>B</sup>	153.29 <sup>B</sup>	233.32 <sup>A</sup>	

Mean in the same column and row followed by a common letter are not significantly different at  $P \leq 0.05$  by DMRT. Different capital letter(s) indicate significant difference between environments and between cultivars.

Table 5. Plant height and stem diameter of 25 eggplant genotypes grown at three environments

Genotype	Plant height				Stem diameter			
	Cikabayan	Tajur	Pasir Sarongge	Average	Cikabayan	Tajur	Pasir Sarongge	Average
G1	45.87 <sup>cd</sup>	71.53 <sup>h</sup>	84.67 <sup>ghi</sup>	67.36 <sup>FG</sup>	10.84 <sup>b-f</sup>	14.37 <sup>f</sup>	19.24 <sup>b-e</sup>	14.82 <sup>C</sup>
G2	54.00 <sup>bcd</sup>	89.27 <sup>c-g</sup>	89.73 <sup>d-h</sup>	77.67 <sup>B-G</sup>	10.97 <sup>b-f</sup>	16.19 <sup>c-f</sup>	18.48 <sup>e-h</sup>	15.22 <sup>C</sup>
G3	58.64 <sup>a-d</sup>	73.13 <sup>gh</sup>	87.53 <sup>e-h</sup>	73.10 <sup>C-G</sup>	10.85 <sup>b-f</sup>	15.08 <sup>def</sup>	18.59 <sup>b-h</sup>	14.84 <sup>C</sup>
G4	52.18 <sup>bcd</sup>	73.33 <sup>gh</sup>	85.33 <sup>f-i</sup>	70.28 <sup>EFG</sup>	11.74 <sup>a-d</sup>	16.39 <sup>c-f</sup>	19.60 <sup>bcd</sup>	15.91 <sup>BC</sup>
G5	59.29 <sup>a-d</sup>	76.27 <sup>c-h</sup>	93.27 <sup>d-h</sup>	76.28 <sup>B-G</sup>	11.17 <sup>b-f</sup>	15.75 <sup>c-f</sup>	19.41 <sup>b-e</sup>	15.44 <sup>C</sup>
G6	66.51 <sup>ab</sup>	70.87 <sup>h</sup>	87.67 <sup>e-h</sup>	75.02 <sup>B-G</sup>	11.39 <sup>b-f</sup>	15.39 <sup>c-f</sup>	20.54 <sup>bc</sup>	15.77 <sup>C</sup>
G7	58.81 <sup>a-d</sup>	93.60 <sup>cd</sup>	85.13 <sup>f-i</sup>	79.18 <sup>A-G</sup>	10.21 <sup>c-f</sup>	16.03 <sup>c-f</sup>	16.68 <sup>hi</sup>	14.31 <sup>C</sup>
G8	55.89 <sup>bcd</sup>	100.13 <sup>bc</sup>	95.67 <sup>c-f</sup>	83.90 <sup>A-F</sup>	12.46 <sup>ab</sup>	19.28 <sup>ab</sup>	23.29 <sup>a</sup>	18.34 <sup>A</sup>
G9	62.91 <sup>abc</sup>	96.47 <sup>cd</sup>	112.33 <sup>a</sup>	90.57 <sup>AB</sup>	12.13 <sup>abc</sup>	17.65 <sup>bcd</sup>	19.90 <sup>bcd</sup>	16.56 <sup>ABC</sup>
G10	57.14 <sup>a-d</sup>	91.40 <sup>cde</sup>	98.80 <sup>bcd</sup>	82.45 <sup>A-G</sup>	11.07 <sup>b-f</sup>	17.36 <sup>b-e</sup>	19.46 <sup>b-e</sup>	15.96 <sup>BC</sup>
G11	52.76 <sup>bcd</sup>	71.47 <sup>h</sup>	90.08 <sup>d-h</sup>	71.44 <sup>D-G</sup>	11.49 <sup>b-e</sup>	15.73 <sup>c-f</sup>	19.02 <sup>b-g</sup>	15.42 <sup>C</sup>
G12a	58.37 <sup>a-d</sup>	95.73 <sup>cd</sup>	108.87 <sup>ab</sup>	87.66 <sup>A-D</sup>	11.42 <sup>b-f</sup>	17.03 <sup>b-e</sup>	17.46 <sup>e-i</sup>	15.30 <sup>C</sup>
G12b	58.37 <sup>a-d</sup>	95.73 <sup>cd</sup>	108.87 <sup>ab</sup>	87.66 <sup>A-D</sup>	11.42 <sup>b-f</sup>	17.03 <sup>b-e</sup>	17.46 <sup>e-i</sup>	15.30 <sup>C</sup>
G12c	58.37 <sup>a-d</sup>	95.73 <sup>cd</sup>	108.87 <sup>ab</sup>	87.66 <sup>A-D</sup>	11.42 <sup>b-f</sup>	17.03 <sup>b-e</sup>	17.46 <sup>e-i</sup>	15.30 <sup>C</sup>
G13	65.44 <sup>ab</sup>	88.60 <sup>c-g</sup>	105.73 <sup>abc</sup>	86.59 <sup>A-E</sup>	9.57 <sup>ef</sup>	17.37 <sup>b-e</sup>	18.61 <sup>b-h</sup>	15.18 <sup>C</sup>
G14	53.41 <sup>bcd</sup>	81.27 <sup>d-h</sup>	82.47 <sup>hij</sup>	72.38 <sup>C-G</sup>	10.16 <sup>def</sup>	17.14 <sup>b-e</sup>	17.04 <sup>ghi</sup>	14.78 <sup>C</sup>
G15	53.90 <sup>bcd</sup>	71.53 <sup>h</sup>	75.73 <sup>ij</sup>	67.06 <sup>G</sup>	11.19 <sup>b-f</sup>	14.40 <sup>f</sup>	18.81 <sup>b-g</sup>	14.80 <sup>C</sup>
G16a	58.47 <sup>a-d</sup>	80.20 <sup>d-h</sup>	96.50 <sup>cde</sup>	78.39 <sup>A-G</sup>	11.47 <sup>b-e</sup>	14.95 <sup>ef</sup>	17.19 <sup>f-i</sup>	14.54 <sup>C</sup>
G16b	58.47 <sup>a-d</sup>	80.20 <sup>d-h</sup>	96.50 <sup>cde</sup>	78.39 <sup>A-G</sup>	11.47 <sup>b-e</sup>	17.27 <sup>b-e</sup>	17.19 <sup>f-i</sup>	15.31 <sup>C</sup>
G17	62.48 <sup>abc</sup>	90.00 <sup>c-f</sup>	96.93 <sup>cde</sup>	83.14 <sup>A-G</sup>	11.79 <sup>a-d</sup>	17.27 <sup>b-e</sup>	20.39 <sup>bc</sup>	16.49 <sup>ABC</sup>
G18	64.33 <sup>ab</sup>	114.07 <sup>ab</sup>	96.50 <sup>cde</sup>	91.63 <sup>AB</sup>	11.17 <sup>b-f</sup>	19.18 <sup>ab</sup>	17.87 <sup>d-i</sup>	16.07 <sup>BC</sup>
G19a	64.33 <sup>ab</sup>	114.07 <sup>ab</sup>	96.50 <sup>cde</sup>	91.63 <sup>AB</sup>	11.17 <sup>b-f</sup>	19.18 <sup>ab</sup>	17.87 <sup>d-i</sup>	16.07 <sup>BC</sup>
G19b	71.94 <sup>a</sup>	99.93 <sup>bc</sup>	93.07 <sup>d-h</sup>	88.31 <sup>ABC</sup>	11.53 <sup>bcd</sup>	20.78 <sup>a</sup>	16.43 <sup>hi</sup>	16.25 <sup>ABC</sup>
G20	56.57 <sup>a-d</sup>	74.00 <sup>fgh</sup>	95.50 <sup>c-g</sup>	75.36 <sup>B-G</sup>	10.64 <sup>b-f</sup>	16.26 <sup>c-f</sup>	20.56 <sup>b</sup>	15.82 <sup>C</sup>
G21a	53.13 <sup>bcd</sup>	82.87 <sup>d-h</sup>	97.27 <sup>cde</sup>	77.76 <sup>A-G</sup>	10.81 <sup>b-f</sup>	17.85 <sup>bc</sup>	19.17 <sup>b-f</sup>	15.94 <sup>BC</sup>
G21b	53.13 <sup>bcd</sup>	82.87 <sup>d-h</sup>	97.27 <sup>cde</sup>	77.76 <sup>A-G</sup>	10.81 <sup>b-f</sup>	17.85 <sup>bc</sup>	19.17 <sup>b-f</sup>	15.94 <sup>BC</sup>
G22	68.00 <sup>ab</sup>	128.45 <sup>a</sup>	86.73 <sup>e-h</sup>	94.39 <sup>A</sup>	13.45 <sup>a</sup>	21.19 <sup>a</sup>	19.88 <sup>bcd</sup>	18.17 <sup>AB</sup>
G23a	65.20 <sup>ab</sup>	96.67 <sup>cd</sup>	99.47 <sup>bcd</sup>	87.11 <sup>A-D</sup>	10.51 <sup>c-f</sup>	16.11 <sup>c-f</sup>	18.25 <sup>d-i</sup>	14.96 <sup>C</sup>
G23b	65.20 <sup>ab</sup>	96.67 <sup>cd</sup>	99.47 <sup>bcd</sup>	87.11 <sup>A-D</sup>	10.51 <sup>c-f</sup>	16.11 <sup>c-f</sup>	18.25 <sup>d-i</sup>	14.96 <sup>C</sup>
G24a	65.31 <sup>ab</sup>	102.36 <sup>bc</sup>	90.53 <sup>d-h</sup>	86.07 <sup>A-E</sup>	11.79 <sup>a-d</sup>	17.62 <sup>bcd</sup>	19.50 <sup>b-e</sup>	16.30 <sup>ABC</sup>
G24b	65.31 <sup>ab</sup>	102.36 <sup>bc</sup>	90.53 <sup>d-h</sup>	86.07 <sup>A-E</sup>	11.79 <sup>a-d</sup>	17.62 <sup>bcd</sup>	19.50 <sup>b-e</sup>	16.30 <sup>ABC</sup>
G25	48.49 <sup>cd</sup>	83.20 <sup>d-h</sup>	73.67 <sup>j</sup>	68.45 <sup>FG</sup>	9.51 <sup>f</sup>	16.92 <sup>b-f</sup>	16.66 <sup>hi</sup>	14.36 <sup>C</sup>
Average	59.13 <sup>C</sup>	89.50 <sup>B</sup>	93.97 <sup>A</sup>		11.19 <sup>C</sup>	17.04 <sup>B</sup>	18.72 <sup>A</sup>	

Mean in the same column and row followed by a common letter are not significantly different at  $P \leq 0.05$  by DMRT. Different capital letter(s) indicate significant difference between environments and between cultivars.

Table 6. Parametric and nonparametric analysis for fruit length character

Genotype	Parametric							Nonparametric				
	$S_i^2$	$CV_i$	$W_i^2$	$b_i$	$\delta^2$	$\beta_i$	$\sigma_i^2$	NPi1	NPi2	NPi3	NPi4	Ysi
G1	321.19	72.58	5.34	1.23	641.46	0.05	7.63	<b>6.00</b>	<b>0.20</b>	9.01	0.14	<b>28</b>
G2	474.98	73.95	34.05	1.87	937.39	0.75	53.57	10.00	<b>0.27</b>	13.21	0.39	<b>35</b>
G3	263.81	71.67	7.95	0.59	524.83	0.17	11.81	21.00	<b>0.24</b>	15.30	0.11	<b>24</b>
G4	382.34	71.63	<b>1.70</b>	0.92	764.58	0.01	<b>1.82</b>	13.00	<b>0.14</b>	9.48	0.15	<b>32</b>
G5	271.79	72.50	<b>3.44</b>	2.02	526.13	1.04	<b>4.59</b>	10.00	<b>0.23</b>	8.47	0.09	<b>25</b>
G6	97.48	71.41	<b>2.49</b>	0.43	189.45	0.33	<b>3.07</b>	<b>6.33</b>	<b>0.17</b>	<b>4.66</b>	<b>0.02</b>	12
G7	<b>3.08</b>	71.04	12.69	<b>-0.01</b>	<b>-10.90</b>	<b>1.02</b>	19.39	20.00	1.33	14.60	0.04	-2
G8	141.56	72.83	<b>3.66</b>	1.94	268.36	0.88	<b>4.94</b>	16.00	0.31	11.41	0.04	<b>16</b>
G9	212.03	75.93	16.17	1.45	420.59	0.21	24.97	19.67	0.40	14.43	0.09	22
G10	170.89	71.65	<b>0.27</b>	0.65	339.74	0.12	<b>-0.48</b>	<b>5.33</b>	<b>0.10</b>	<b>3.92</b>	<b>0.02</b>	<b>20</b>
G11	141.69	74.96	15.90	1.39	280.77	0.16	24.53	26.00	0.54	18.54	0.07	13
G12a	38.79	72.91	<b>3.87</b>	1.01	77.58	0.00	<b>5.29</b>	<b>6.67</b>	0.40	<b>5.56</b>	<b>0.02</b>	6
G12b	51.40	74.13	<b>3.98</b>	0.69	101.14	0.10	<b>5.46</b>	12.00	0.39	8.78	<b>0.03</b>	10
G12c	46.48	73.46	9.05	0.85	92.57	0.02	13.57	14.33	0.70	11.15	0.05	9
G13	166.57	70.90	6.71	1.27	331.94	0.07	9.82	<b>5.67</b>	0.28	<b>7.02</b>	0.04	<b>19</b>
G14	156.64	72.03	<b>0.69</b>	<b>0.01</b>	296.80	0.98	<b>0.19</b>	<b>6.00</b>	<b>0.13</b>	<b>4.24</b>	<b>0.02</b>	<b>18</b>
G15	186.38	72.22	<b>0.22</b>	2.24	346.80	1.55	<b>-0.56</b>	<b>3.33</b>	<b>0.14</b>	<b>3.68</b>	<b>0.02</b>	<b>21</b>
G16a	446.92	77.61	79.82	0.32	886.08	0.46	126.80	12.00	0.37	14.11	0.15	<b>22</b>
G16b	444.05	76.77	73.10	0.75	887.04	0.06	116.05	10.67	0.33	13.29	0.14	25
G17	274.58	72.65	4.02	0.49	544.85	0.26	5.52	<b>8.00</b>	0.27	<b>8.26</b>	0.06	<b>26</b>
G18	30.23	71.38	6.03	0.54	56.93	0.21	8.74	12.33	0.56	9.01	<b>0.03</b>	4
G19a	29.91	71.58	5.45	<b>0.29</b>	51.42	0.50	7.80	8.33	0.62	<b>6.39</b>	<b>0.02</b>	3
G19b	<b>0.02</b>	<b>4.50</b>	12.01	2.63	<b>-44.40</b>	2.65	18.30	14.00	4.00	11.27	0.04	-1
G20	14.81	22.77	5.64	4.41	<b>-164.87</b>	<b>11.60</b>	8.11	19.00	0.49	13.91	0.04	<b>17</b>
G21a	<b>10.39</b>	<b>11.94</b>	7.75	<b>0.23</b>	<b>10.77</b>	<b>0.60</b>	11.49	22.00	0.29	15.62	0.10	<b>29</b>
G21b	105.15	<b>36.95</b>	127.92	<b>0.25</b>	200.97	0.56	203.76	11.00	0.45	14.83	0.12	<b>26</b>
G22	<b>0.10</b>	<b>7.43</b>	9.60	<b>-0.08</b>	<b>-19.48</b>	1.17	14.44	13.33	2.22	10.06	<b>0.03</b>	1
G23a	<b>2.40</b>	<b>17.54</b>	26.32	4.01	<b>-146.98</b>	<b>9.05</b>	41.21	27.00	1.21	19.91	0.05	8
G23b	<b>1.69</b>	<b>16.36</b>	6.30	<b>-0.23</b>	<b>-21.86</b>	1.51	9.18	<b>8.00</b>	1.07	<b>7.26</b>	<b>0.03</b>	5
G24a	<b>9.38</b>	<b>46.16</b>	54.30	0.58	<b>15.88</b>	<b>0.17</b>	85.96	10.00	2.50	14.32	0.05	-2
G24b	<b>0.27</b>	<b>14.22</b>	18.03	-0.74	<b>-50.36</b>	<b>3.04</b>	27.94	21.33	9.33	15.62	0.04	0
G25	<b>5.89</b>	<b>8.90</b>	<b>0.31</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>-5.05</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>-0.41</b>	<b>8.00</b>	<b>0.14</b>	<b>5.87</b>	0.04	31

$S_i^2$  = genotypic variance,  $CV_i$  = coefficient of variability for Francis and Kannenberg's method; ( $W_i^2$  = ecovalence for Wricke's method;  $b_i$  = regression coefficient for Finlay & Wilkinson's method;  $\delta^2$  = regression parameter,  $\beta_i$  = regression coefficient for Eberhart and Russel's method;  $\sigma_i^2$  = stability variance for Shukla's method; NPi1, NPi2, NPi3 and NPi4 = average corrected ranking of each genotype in the different locations for Thennarasu's method; Ysi = rank-sum for Kang's method



Table 7. Parametric and nonparametric analysis for fruit diameter character

Genotype	Parametric							Nonparametric				
	$S_i^2$	$CV_i$	$W_i^2$	$b_i$	$\delta^2$	$\beta_i$	$\sigma_i^2$	NPi1	NPi2	NPi3	NPi4	Ysi
G1	36.61	12.84	<b>3.34</b>	0.56	54.28	0.19	<b>-0.10</b>	<b>8.67</b>	<b>0.11</b>	<b>6.35</b>	<b>0.02</b>	9
G2	<b>9.46</b>	<b>7.51</b>	19.63	<b>0.28</b>	<b>-31.56</b>	<b>0.51</b>	25.97	13.67	<b>0.18</b>	9.99	<b>0.03</b>	2
G3	43.55	12.69	<b>3.18</b>	0.60	71.31	0.16	<b>-0.35</b>	<b>11.00</b>	<b>0.13</b>	<b>8.22</b>	0.04	17
G4	34.56	13.06	<b>6.71</b>	0.53	47.89	0.22	<b>5.29</b>	11.00	<b>0.12</b>	<b>8.07</b>	<b>0.02</b>	6
G5	58.25	14.01	17.20	1.34	105.26	0.11	22.07	14.67	<b>0.26</b>	10.87	0.08	21
G6	<b>13.01</b>	<b>3.82</b>	15.66	0.41	<b>-8.66</b>	<b>0.35</b>	19.62	13.00	<b>0.31</b>	9.82	0.22	35
G7	<b>1.55</b>	<b>4.35</b>	94.09	<b>-0.07</b>	<b>-108.65</b>	<b>1.14</b>	145.10	19.00	1.50	14.11	0.04	-2
G8	763.31	35.16	935.75	3.62	852.40	6.88	1491.76	13.67	0.61	14.78	0.27	26
G9	134.73	20.85	66.94	1.07	268.96	0.01	101.66	18.67	0.35	13.69	0.08	23
G10	<b>20.83</b>	<b>8.33</b>	24.92	0.40	<b>6.04</b>	<b>0.36</b>	34.42	21.00	0.35	14.85	0.08	22
G11	132.19	17.92	89.29	1.20	260.40	0.04	137.41	14.33	0.48	11.36	0.14	30
G12a	55.34	15.42	39.35	0.81	107.28	0.03	57.51	24.00	0.80	17.03	0.05	10
G12b	33.19	10.94	<b>10.89</b>	0.49	41.31	0.26	<b>11.97</b>	12.00	0.33	8.49	0.04	18
G12c	36.77	12.37	28.25	0.62	59.48	0.14	39.75	21.00	0.64	15.01	0.05	11
G13	24.26	<b>8.24</b>	29.28	1.02	48.49	0.00	41.40	13.33	0.33	9.89	0.08	27
G14	62.02	12.76	<b>7.81</b>	0.74	117.46	0.07	<b>7.05</b>	<b>7.00</b>	0.33	<b>6.94</b>	0.07	28
G15	48.30	13.71	<b>9.36</b>	0.63	83.41	0.13	<b>9.53</b>	11.33	<b>0.25</b>	<b>8.29</b>	<b>0.03</b>	16
G16a	153.37	21.89	114.70	1.07	306.32	0.00	178.07	15.33	0.32	12.37	0.08	24
G16b	150.15	21.53	125.10	2.03	195.39	1.07	194.72	18.00	0.31	13.49	0.08	25
G17	53.46	14.82	<b>3.82</b>	0.73	99.63	0.07	<b>0.66</b>	<b>5.00</b>	<b>0.17</b>	<b>5.00</b>	<b>0.02</b>	12
G18	<b>7.89</b>	<b>6.06</b>	20.41	<b>0.26</b>	<b>-37.75</b>	<b>0.55</b>	27.22	<b>10.00</b>	0.59	<b>7.76</b>	<b>0.03</b>	8
G19a	<b>14.59</b>	8.36	<b>11.79</b>	0.89	27.91	0.01	<b>13.42</b>	<b>3.00</b>	0.43	<b>4.30</b>	<b>0.02</b>	7
G19b	<b>9.28</b>	<b>8.10</b>	31.32	<b>0.16</b>	<b>-49.88</b>	<b>0.70</b>	44.67	<b>6.00</b>	2.67	<b>7.43</b>	<b>0.02</b>	1
G20	235.31	23.63	175.08	2.79	157.23	3.20	274.67	14.00	0.72	12.90	0.11	31
G21a	494.75	37.82	564.81	3.78	230.55	7.74	898.25	11.33	0.40	14.01	0.07	18
G21b	33.71	11.76	13.19	1.50	42.99	0.25	<b>15.65</b>	14.00	<b>0.20</b>	9.91	<b>0.03</b>	13
G22	24.64	<b>7.13</b>	185.89	2.87	<b>-294.53</b>	<b>3.51</b>	291.98	<b>10.00</b>	3.11	13.40	0.11	32
G23a	<b>17.65</b>	8.41	<b>6.47</b>	0.63	22.15	0.13	<b>4.91</b>	<b>5.67</b>	<b>0.29</b>	<b>4.14</b>	<b>0.01</b>	14
G23b	48.34	13.89	112.95	1.10	95.69	0.01	175.27	25.33	1.73	18.82	0.05	15
G24a	70.96	19.14	397.93	-0.43	<b>-57.84</b>	<b>2.04</b>	631.24	11.00	2.58	14.61	0.06	-5
G24b	<b>7.74</b>	<b>7.44</b>	140.72	<b>0.29</b>	<b>-34.34</b>	<b>0.51</b>	219.71	12.33	9.00	12.20	0.04	0
G25	<b>10.09</b>	<b>7.11</b>	71.04	<b>0.06</b>	<b>-66.40</b>	<b>0.88</b>	108.21	<b>10.33</b>	0.32	10.42	0.04	5

$S_i^2$  = genotypic variance,  $CV_i$  = coefficient of variability for Francis and Kannenberg's method; ( $W_i^2$  = ecovalence for Wricke's method;  $b_i$  = regression coefficient for Finlay & Wilkinson's method;  $\delta^2$  = regression parameter,  $\beta_i$  = regression coefficient for Eberhart and Russel's method;  $\sigma_i^2$  = stability variance for Shukla's method; NPi1, NPi2, NPi3 and NPi4 = average corrected ranking of each genotype in the different locations for Thennarasu's method; Ysi = rank-sum for Kang's method

Table 8. Parametric and nonparametric analysis for fruit weight character

Genotype	Parametric							Nonparametric				
	$S_i^2$	$CV_i$	$W_i^2$	$b_i$	$\delta^2$	$\beta_i$	$\sigma_i^2$	NPi1	NPi2	NPi3	NPi4	Ysi
G1	33633.30	81.12	2721.18	1.67	63655.49	0.45	3996.84	26.00	0.29	18.71	0.17	24
G2	25180.46	80.60	<b>1059.03</b>	1.42	48960.57	0.17	<b>1337.39</b>	11.00	<b>0.11</b>	7.81	0.04	18
G3	32060.75	80.79	<b>2246.45</b>	1.61	61121.03	0.37	<b>3237.26</b>	<b>5.33</b>	<b>0.09</b>	<b>4.08</b>	0.04	<b>23</b>
G4	23760.59	82.61	2945.46	1.35	46557.74	0.12	4355.68	21.00	0.23	15.70	0.07	15
G5	33706.27	77.31	<b>1188.35</b>	1.36	66394.65	0.13	<b>1544.31</b>	13.33	0.28	10.72	0.13	<b>26</b>
G6	83667.74	73.35	3297.12	1.24	166862.20	0.06	4918.34	<b>6.33</b>	<b>0.17</b>	<b>4.72</b>	0.09	<b>35</b>
G7	<b>53.40</b>	72.47	5366.33	<b>0.03</b>	<b>-7540.36</b>	<b>0.95</b>	8229.08	21.67	1.39	15.85	0.04	-2
G8	84081.26	74.03	<b>2402.05</b>	1.58	165499.60	0.33	<b>3486.22</b>	<b>6.67</b>	0.35	9.03	0.21	<b>34</b>
G9	31347.44	77.96	<b>1082.70</b>	1.37	61584.41	0.14	<b>1375.27</b>	<b>8.00</b>	<b>0.16</b>	<b>5.88</b>	0.05	<b>25</b>
G10	21023.14	77.55	<b>540.35</b>	1.07	42010.89	0.00	<b>507.50</b>	11.00	<b>0.18</b>	7.81	0.03	<b>16</b>
G11	39142.30	73.44	<b>1849.60</b>	0.83	78050.46	0.03	<b>2602.31</b>	10.33	0.27	7.61	0.07	<b>30</b>
G12a	4020.63	75.76	6162.46	<b>0.04</b>	684.02	0.91	9502.88	<b>10.00</b>	0.33	<b>7.22</b>	<b>0.02</b>	7
G12b	4020.63	75.76	6162.46	<b>0.04</b>	684.02	0.91	9502.88	10.00	0.28	<b>7.09</b>	<b>0.02</b>	7
G12c	4020.63	75.76	6162.46	<b>0.04</b>	684.02	0.91	9502.88	10.67	0.36	7.82	<b>0.02</b>	7
G13	20622.23	71.70	8282.69	-0.13	30968.60	1.28	12895.25	20.00	0.37	14.80	0.07	<b>19</b>
G14	30226.63	83.97	3326.86	1.74	56016.00	0.55	4965.92	4.33	0.11	3.18	0.01	20
G15	23014.89	79.96	<b>810.49</b>	1.30	45292.61	0.09	<b>939.72</b>	<b>9.00</b>	0.23	<b>6.74</b>	0.03	<b>17</b>
G16a	87891.63	98.11	47725.41	3.71	116528.51	7.36	76003.59	18.00	<b>0.22</b>	12.88	0.07	<b>23</b>
G16b	87891.63	98.11	47725.41	3.71	116528.51	7.36	76003.59	26.00	0.31	18.61	0.10	<b>23</b>
G17	32490.76	84.02	4253.94	1.78	60090.63	0.61	6449.26	18.00	0.27	12.87	0.05	<b>21</b>
G18	<b>2147.32</b>	71.59	4563.42	0.10	<b>-2169.67</b>	<b>0.80</b>	6944.42	20.67	0.89	15.11	0.04	3
G19a	<b>2147.32</b>	71.59	4563.42	0.10	<b>-2169.67</b>	<b>0.80</b>	6944.42	11.67	0.81	8.72	<b>0.03</b>	3
G19b	<b>5.81</b>	<b>12.68</b>	6172.40	<b>-0.04</b>	<b>-8774.29</b>	<b>1.09</b>	9518.78	20.00	3.33	14.78	<b>0.03</b>	0
G20	6688.95	<b>37.50</b>	<b>2118.31</b>	2.14	3002.67	1.29	<b>3032.24</b>	21.00	0.54	15.18	0.06	<b>22</b>
G21a	11960.87	<b>44.06</b>	7661.27	1.48	22042.35	0.23	11900.98	13.00	<b>0.17</b>	9.22	0.04	<b>27</b>
G21b	11960.87	<b>44.06</b>	7661.27	1.92	17107.81	0.85	11900.98	13.00	<b>0.19</b>	9.71	0.04	<b>27</b>
G22	<b>727.21</b>	<b>31.62</b>	9092.51	<b>-0.04</b>	<b>-7286.98</b>	<b>1.09</b>	14190.96	<b>7.67</b>	1.22	<b>5.71</b>	<b>0.02</b>	10
G23a	<b>86.92</b>	<b>12.11</b>	5979.78	<b>-0.01</b>	<b>-8112.84</b>	<b>1.03</b>	9210.59	22.33	0.96	16.58	0.04	5
G23b	<b>86.92</b>	<b>12.11</b>	5979.78	<b>-0.01</b>	<b>-8109.08</b>	<b>1.03</b>	9210.59	13.33	1.60	11.10	0.04	5
G24a	<b>19.20</b>	<b>20.99</b>	5058.87	<b>0.06</b>	<b>-7152.92</b>	<b>0.89</b>	7737.13	<b>7.00</b>	0.92	<b>5.38</b>	<b>0.02</b>	1
G24b	<b>19.20</b>	<b>20.99</b>	5058.87	<b>0.06</b>	<b>-7152.92</b>	<b>0.89</b>	7737.13	21.33	7.33	15.83	<b>0.03</b>	1
G25	1105.15	<b>18.48</b>	<b>2152.88</b>	0.50	<b>180.73</b>	<b>0.25</b>	<b>3087.55</b>	<b>8.00</b>	<b>0.19</b>	<b>6.55</b>	<b>0.03</b>	14

$S_i^2$  = genotypic variance,  $CV_i$  = coefficient of variability for Francis and Kannenberg's method; ( $W_i^2$  = ecovalence for Wricke's method;  $b_i$  = regression coefficient for Finlay & Wilkinson's method;  $\delta^2$  = regression parameter,  $\beta_i$  = regression coefficient for Eberhart and Russel's method;  $\sigma_i^2$  = stability variance for Shukla's method; NPi1, NPi2, NPi3 and NPi4 = average corrected ranking of each genotype in the different locations for Thennarasu's method; Ysi = rank-sum for Kang's method

Table 9. Parametric and nonparametric analysis for plant height character

Genotype	Parametric							Nonparametric				
	$S_i^2$	$CV_i$	$W_i^2$	$b_i$	$\delta^2$	$\beta_i$	$\sigma_i^2$	NPi1	NPi2	NPi3	NPi4	Ysi
G1	389.31	29.29	<b>37.57</b>	0.98	778.23	0.00	<b>53.19</b>	<b>7.00</b>	<b>0.12</b>	<b>5.39</b>	<b>0.02</b>	-1
G2	420.14	26.39	<b>13.63</b>	1.04	839.34	0.00	<b>14.88</b>	<b>11.00</b>	<b>0.11</b>	<b>8.22</b>	<b>0.03</b>	10
G3	<b>208.71</b>	<b>19.76</b>	128.60	<b>0.67</b>	<b>338.35</b>	<b>0.11</b>	198.84	25.00	0.29	17.68	0.06	1
G4	<b>281.76</b>	23.88	<b>48.12</b>	<b>0.83</b>	540.96	<b>0.03</b>	<b>70.06</b>	18.00	<b>0.19</b>	12.73	0.03	2
G5	<b>288.58</b>	<b>22.27</b>	112.31	<b>0.79</b>	544.81	<b>0.04</b>	172.77	25.00	0.32	17.81	0.07	5
G6	<b>124.77</b>	<b>14.89</b>	338.65	<b>0.42</b>	<b>-0.05</b>	<b>0.34</b>	534.92	31.00	0.74	21.93	0.08	-2
G7	<b>329.20</b>	22.91	86.54	<b>0.87</b>	645.03	<b>0.02</b>	131.54	<b>9.00</b>	0.94	<b>7.82</b>	0.04	<b>15</b>
G8	593.22	29.03	98.95	1.21	1153.45	0.04	151.39	23.00	0.45	16.41	0.07	<b>20</b>
G9	636.82	27.86	117.55	1.25	1225.55	0.06	181.16	15.00	0.43	12.75	0.15	<b>27</b>
G10	494.08	26.96	<b>23.42</b>	1.13	976.13	0.02	<b>30.55</b>	11.00	<b>0.18</b>	<b>8.08</b>	<b>0.03</b>	<b>18</b>
G11	348.19	26.12	114.05	<b>0.87</b>	683.79	0.02	175.55	22.00	0.46	16.14	0.04	-1
G12a	686.31	29.89	122.95	1.32	1297.79	0.10	189.80	19.33	0.93	14.55	0.11	<b>23</b>
G12b	686.31	29.89	122.95	1.32	1297.79	0.10	189.80	19.33	0.78	14.53	0.10	<b>23</b>
G12c	686.31	29.89	122.95	1.32	1297.79	0.10	189.80	19.33	0.85	14.52	0.09	<b>23</b>
G13	408.98	23.36	80.62	0.97	817.45	0.00	122.07	11.00	0.31	8.48	0.07	<b>24</b>
G14	<b>270.34</b>	22.72	<b>16.82</b>	<b>0.83</b>	519.87	<b>0.03</b>	<b>19.98</b>	<b>8.00</b>	0.31	<b>6.54</b>	<b>0.02</b>	4
G15	<b>134.16</b>	<b>17.27</b>	110.53	<b>0.59</b>	<b>141.70</b>	<b>0.17</b>	169.93	20.67	0.39	15.26	0.04	-6
G16a	364.04	24.34	74.85	0.92	723.04	0.01	112.84	16.00	<b>0.20</b>	11.95	0.04	13
G16b	364.04	24.34	74.85	0.92	723.04	0.01	112.84	16.00	<b>0.19</b>	11.95	0.04	13
G17	332.00	21.92	4.77	0.92	659.57	0.01	<b>0.71</b>	<b>4.00</b>	<b>0.06</b>	<b>2.87</b>	<b>0.01</b>	<b>19</b>
G18	636.11	27.52	289.37	1.14	1257.21	0.02	456.07	24.33	0.93	18.11	0.09	<b>24</b>
G19a	636.11	27.52	289.37	1.14	1257.21	0.02	456.07	24.33	1.19	18.10	0.09	<b>24</b>
G19b	<b>212.89</b>	<b>16.52</b>	107.43	<b>0.70</b>	<b>357.09</b>	<b>0.09</b>	164.97	11.67	4.17	11.45	0.08	<b>26</b>
G20	380.24	25.88	157.97	1.96	<b>73.51</b>	<b>0.93</b>	245.83	24.00	0.67	17.68	0.05	4
G21a	506.53	28.94	<b>61.74</b>	1.23	973.59	0.05	<b>91.87</b>	<b>6.67</b>	<b>0.21</b>	<b>7.32</b>	<b>0.03</b>	11
G21b	506.53	28.94	<b>61.74</b>	1.12	1002.82	0.01	<b>91.87</b>	<b>6.67</b>	<b>0.23</b>	<b>7.32</b>	<b>0.03</b>	11
G22	957.59	32.78	1099.43	1.03	1914.38	0.00	1752.16	26.33	3.44	19.29	0.09	<b>27</b>
G23a	362.03	<b>21.84</b>	<b>1.45</b>	0.97	723.19	0.00	<b>-4.60</b>	<b>8.00</b>	0.33	<b>5.98</b>	<b>0.03</b>	<b>25</b>
G23b	362.03	<b>21.84</b>	<b>1.45</b>	0.97	723.19	0.00	<b>-4.60</b>	<b>8.00</b>	0.53	<b>5.98</b>	<b>0.03</b>	<b>25</b>
G24a	358.05	<b>21.99</b>	134.25	0.87	704.10	0.02	207.88	16.00	2.17	12.57	0.07	<b>17</b>
G24b	358.05	<b>21.99</b>	134.25	0.87	704.10	0.02	207.88	16.00	8.67	12.56	0.07	<b>17</b>
G25	<b>321.55</b>	26.20	102.85	<b>0.84</b>	625.21	<b>0.02</b>	157.64	<b>9.67</b>	<b>0.26</b>	8.63	<b>0.03</b>	0

$S_i^2$  = genotypic variance,  $CV_i$  = coefficient of variability for Francis and Kannenberg's method; ( $W_i^2$  = ecovalence for Wricke's method;  $b_i$  = regression coefficient for Finlay & Wilkinson's method;  $\delta^2$  = regression parameter,  $\beta_i$  = regression coefficient for Eberhart and Russel's method;  $\sigma_i^2$  = stability variance for Shukla's method; NPi1, NPi2, NPi3 and NPi4 = average corrected ranking of each genotype in the different locations for Thennarasu's method; Ysi = rank-sum for Kang's method

Table 10. Parametric and nonparametric analysis for stem diameter character

Genotype	Parametric							Nonparametric				
	$S_i^2$	$CV_i$	$W_i^2$	$b_i$	$\delta^2$	$\beta_i$	$\sigma_i^2$	NPi1	NPi2	NPi3	NPi4	Ysi
G1	17.81	28.47	5.46	0.95	255.18	0.00	8.60	25.33	0.31	18.63	0.07	-3
G2	<b>14.83</b>	25.30	<b>0.26</b>	0.94	261.10	0.00	<b>0.28</b>	<b>9.00</b>	<b>0.09</b>	<b>6.37</b>	<b>0.02</b>	10
G3	<b>15.05</b>	26.14	2.02	0.92	250.17	0.01	3.10	20.33	<b>0.24</b>	15.10	0.05	6
G4	15.63	24.85	1.30	0.95	284.31	0.00	1.94	13.67	<b>0.16</b>	10.08	0.07	<b>21</b>
G5	17.05	26.74	2.01	0.99	272.59	0.00	3.08	17.33	0.28	12.70	0.06	<b>16</b>
G6	21.03	29.07	6.06	1.05	290.85	0.00	9.56	20.00	0.67	14.88	0.09	11
G7	<b>12.70</b>	24.90	<b>0.72</b>	0.87	229.66	0.02	<b>1.02</b>	<b>6.67</b>	0.67	<b>5.56</b>	<b>0.02</b>	-1
G8	29.96	29.84	5.74	1.33	393.16	0.11	9.05	17.00	0.61	15.13	0.37	<b>27</b>
G9	15.97	<b>24.13</b>	<b>0.17</b>	0.98	306.13	0.00	<b>0.13</b>	<b>5.67</b>	<b>0.11</b>	<b>4.18</b>	0.05	<b>32</b>
G10	19.03	27.33	<b>0.36</b>	1.07	292.70	0.01	<b>0.44</b>	<b>11.33</b>	<b>0.23</b>	<b>8.30</b>	<b>0.04</b>	<b>24</b>
G11	<b>14.26</b>	<b>24.49</b>	1.74	0.90	265.91	0.01	2.65	20.00	0.42	14.54	0.05	<b>15</b>
G12a	<b>11.37</b>	<b>22.03</b>	1.28	<b>0.82</b>	255.93	0.03	1.92	<b>11.67</b>	0.70	9.77	0.05	11
G12b	<b>11.37</b>	<b>22.03</b>	1.28	<b>0.82</b>	255.93	0.03	1.92	<b>11.67</b>	0.58	9.76	0.05	11
G12c	<b>11.37</b>	<b>22.03</b>	1.28	<b>0.82</b>	255.93	0.03	1.92	<b>11.67</b>	0.64	<b>9.75</b>	0.05	11
G13	24.04	32.29	2.08	1.20	277.38	0.04	3.20	24.00	0.44	17.30	0.05	9
G14	15.99	27.06	1.61	0.96	250.40	0.00	2.44	15.33	0.40	11.21	<b>0.03</b>	2
G15	<b>14.66</b>	25.86	4.85	0.87	247.84	0.02	7.62	29.00	0.51	21.23	0.06	-4
G16a	<b>8.30</b>	<b>19.82</b>	3.11	<b>0.70</b>	225.20	0.09	4.83	25.00	0.31	18.04	0.05	-3
G16b	11.05	21.71	2.12	<b>0.80</b>	255.38	0.04	3.25	11.67	0.30	11.37	0.06	<b>14</b>
G17	18.95	26.41	1.13	1.06	309.58	0.00	1.67	<b>6.67</b>	<b>0.24</b>	7.43	0.07	30
G18	18.49	26.75	4.74	0.99	295.34	0.00	7.44	25.00	1.00	18.44	0.07	<b>17</b>
G19a	18.49	26.75	4.74	0.99	295.34	0.00	7.44	25.00	1.29	18.44	0.07	<b>17</b>
G19b	21.41	28.48	18.26	0.87	<b>42.34</b>	<b>0.02</b>	29.08	24.33	5.17	17.82	0.08	<b>19</b>
G20	24.74	31.44	4.21	2.09	<b>13.98</b>	<b>1.18</b>	6.60	12.33	0.69	12.28	0.06	12
G21a	20.20	28.19	<b>0.73</b>	1.02	<b>40.39</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>1.04</b>	19.00	0.25	13.45	0.05	<b>22</b>
G21b	20.20	28.19	<b>0.73</b>	1.11	<b>40.07</b>	<b>0.01</b>	<b>1.04</b>	19.00	0.28	13.45	<b>0.04</b>	22
G22	17.19	<b>22.82</b>	4.56	0.95	<b>34.32</b>	<b>0.00</b>	7.16	21.67	3.00	15.93	0.13	<b>26</b>
G23a	15.98	26.73	<b>0.11</b>	0.98	<b>31.95</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.04</b>	<b>2.67</b>	<b>0.17</b>	<b>2.01</b>	<b>0.01</b>	7
G23b	15.98	26.73	<b>0.11</b>	0.98	<b>31.95</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.04</b>	<b>2.67</b>	<b>0.27</b>	<b>2.01</b>	<b>0.01</b>	7
G24a	16.17	<b>24.66</b>	<b>0.03</b>	0.99	<b>32.33</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>-0.10</b>	<b>2.33</b>	<b>0.25</b>	<b>1.71</b>	<b>0.01</b>	<b>28</b>
G24b	16.17	<b>24.66</b>	<b>0.03</b>	0.99	<b>32.33</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>-0.10</b>	<b>2.33</b>	1.00	<b>1.71</b>	<b>0.01</b>	<b>28</b>
G25	17.66	29.25	2.11	1.01	<b>35.31</b>	<b>0.00</b>	3.23	19.00	0.26	14.03	<b>0.03</b>	0

Result on parametric and nonparametric analysis is determined by stability parameter. Each method has a different parameter to determine the stability level of each genotype. The stability parameter calculated for the analysis include ecovalence ( $W_i^2$ ), regression coefficient ( $b_i$  and  $\beta_i$ ), deviation from regression parameter ( $\delta^2$ ), stability variance ( $\sigma_i^2$ ), coefficient of variability ( $CV_i$ ), genotypic variance ( $S_i^2$ ), non-parametric stability index (NPi1, NPi2, NPi3 and NPi4) and rank-sum (Ysi). Stability parameter calculation results are presented for each observed characters which are fruit length (Table 6), fruit diameter (Table 7), fruit weight (Table 8), plant height (Table 9) and stem diameter (Table 10).

## DISCUSSION

The genotypes used were open pollinated varieties and landraces which lead to various phenotypic expressions. Frary *et al.* (2007) reported that cross pollination is caused by insect and can reach 70%. Chen (2011) wrote that the rate of natural out-crossing in eggplant may vary from 0 to 48% depending on the genotype. This is in line with Bubici dan Cirulli (2008) finding in which the cross pollination percentage depends on the genotype, location and insect activities. Qiao *et al.* (2011) stated that eggplant fruit shape is a quantitative trait and controlled by many genes. This results in the probability of different fruit shape in one genotype, specifically for G12, G16, G19, G21, G23 and G24 in this experiment.

Significant genotype main effect showed that the performance of genotype varied. Datta and Jana (2014) stated that significant environment main effect showed that the different environments condition affect the genotype performance. Highly significant G x E interaction in all observed characters indicated that the phenotypic expressions of all the genotype varied in each of the different environments. Lodhi *et al.* (2015) also said that the significant estimates of G x E interaction indicated that the characters may considerably fluctuate with change in environments.

According to Francis and Kannenberg's (1978) method, genotype with a small coefficient of variability (CV<sub>i</sub>) and small genotypic variance (S<sub>i</sub><sup>2</sup>) value is a stable genotype. In this case, G19 is considered stable for fruit length, fruit weight, fruit diameter and plant height. G24b and G25 is considered stable for fruit length, fruit weight and fruit diameter. G22, G23 and G24a is stable for fruit length and fruit weight. G6 is stable for fruit diameter and plant height.

Ecovalence (W<sub>i</sub><sup>2</sup>) is a stability parameter in Wricke's (1962) method. Ecovalence is the contribution of each genotype to the genotype x environment interaction sum of square. Genotypes with a small ecovalence value have smaller fluctuation across environments and therefore are stable (Fikere *et al.*, 2014). Based on this method, G10 is stable for fruit length, fruit weight, stem diameter and plant height. G21b and G23a is stable for fruit diameter, stem diameter and plant height. Other genotypes that are stable based on fruit length are G4, G14 and G15; for fruit weight are G2 and G15; for stem diameter is G2; for fruit diameter are G4, G14 and G15; for plant height are G2, G4 and G14.

Finlay & Wilkinson's (1963) method used regression coefficient ( $b_i$ ) for stability parameter. An increase to the regression coefficient ( $b_i > 1.0$ ) means that the genotype adaptability decreases to the changing environment, while a decrease ( $b_i < 1.0$ ) means that the genotype adaptability increases. This method was then improved by Eberhart and Russell (1966) (Gurung *et al.*, 2012). Eberhart and Russel's (1966) method used deviation from regression parameter ( $\delta^2$ ) and regression coefficient ( $\beta_i$ ). A  $\beta_i$  value at 1.0 with a  $\delta^2$  value of zero indicates an average stability parameter in a genotype. Small  $\delta^2$  value indicates a stable genotype while a high  $\delta^2$  value indicates otherwise. Regression coefficient value below 1.0 indicate that the genotype is better adapted to a sub-optimum environment while a value higher than 1.0 indicate that the genotype is better adapted in an optimum environment.

Calculation showed there are some genotypes that are stable according to Finlay & Wilkinson's (1963) and Eberhart and Russel's (1966) methods. G7 is stable for fruit length, fruit weight and fruit diameter. G19 is stable for fruit diameter and plant height. G24 is stable or fruit weight and fruit diameter. G25 is stable for fruit length and fruit diameter. Genotypes

that are stable according to Finlay & Wilkinson's method for stem diameter are G12 and G16 meanwhile G19, G20, G21, G22, G23, G24 and G25 are stable for the same character according to Eberhart and Russel's method.

Shukla's (1972) method used stability variance ( $\sigma_i^2$ ) in which a low value of  $\sigma_i^2$  indicate a stable genotype. In this experiment, G10 is a stable for the character fruit length, fruit weight, stem diameter and plant height. G4 is stable for fruit length, fruit diameter and plant height. G15 is stable from fruit length, fruit weight and fruit diameter. G21b and G23a are stable for fruit diameter, stem diameter and plant height.

Nonparametric analysis is also done by using Thennarasu's (1955) and Kang's (1988) methods. A genotype is stable in Thennarasu's method if its rank position is fixed according to the average corrected ranking of each genotype in the different locations (NPi1, NPi2, NPi3 and NPi4). G10 is stable for he character fruit length, stem diameter and plant height. G25 is stable for fruit length, fruit weight and plant height. G1 and G17 are stable for fruit diameter and plant height. While G14 is stable for fruit length and height; G2 is stable for stem diameter and plant height; G15 is stable for fruit length and fruit diameter; and G23a is stable for fruit diameter and stem diameter.

Kang's (1988) method use rank-sum ( $Y_{si}$ ) in which the genotype with a low rank-sum is considered stable. G1, G2, G4, G21a and G25 are stable for fruit length. G6, G8, G11 and G21 are stable for fruit weight. G6, G11, G14, G20 and G22 are stable for fruit diameter. G8, G9, G17 and G24 are stable for stem diameter. G9, G19, G22 and G23 are stable for plant height.

An overall recapitulation was done to find out which genotype is considered the most stable of all in both parametric and nonparametric analysis. For combined parametric analyses, some of the most stable genotypes in order are G19, G23, G24b and G25 (2014-044, 2014-047, 2014-077a and 2014-071). Meanwhile for combined nonparametric analysis, G10, G14, G17, G25 and G2 (2014-033, 2014-024, 2014-080, 2014-071 and THP) are the most stable genotypes. Combined recapitulated scores for both parametric and nonparametric analysis showed that G25, G10, G23a and G2 (2014-071, 2014-033, 2014-047a and THP) are the most stable genotype out of all the genotype observed. Overall, genotype 2014-071 was the only genotype that was stable in both parametric and nonparametric analysis. This genotype performs well and has generally consistent appearance in all location.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

PUSNAS and Center for Tropical Horticulture Studies (CTHS), Bogor Agricultural University for providing full funding of the experiment and genetic materials.

## REFERENCES

- Becker HC, Leon J. (1988). Stability analysis in plant breeding. *Plant Breeding*. 101(1):1–23.
- Bubici G, Cirulli M. (2008). Screening and selection of eggplant and wild related species for resistance to *Leveillula taurica*. *Euphytica* 164:339–345.
- Chen NC. (2001). Eggplant seed production. Asian Vegetable Research and Development Center, Shanhua, Taiwan.

- Daunay MC, Janick J. (2007). History and iconography of eggplant. *Chronica Horticulturae*. 47(3):16–22.
- Datta S, Jana JC. (2014). Studies on stability of chilli genotypes at different fertility levels under terai zone of West Bengal. *J. of Spices and Aromatic Crops*. 23(1):26–31.
- Eberhart SA, Russell WA. (1966). Stability parameters for comparing varieties. *Crop Sci*. 6:36–40.
- Fikere M, Bing DJ, Tadesse T, Ayana A. (2014). Comparison of biometrical methods to describe yield stability in field pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) under south eastern Ethiopian conditions. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*. 9(33):2574–2583.
- Finlay KW, Wilkinson GN. (1963). The analysis of adaption in plant–breeding programme. *Aust. J. Agric. Res.* (14): 742–754.
- Francis TR, Kannenberg LW. (1978). Yield stability studies in short–season maize, A descriptive method for grouping genotypes. *Can J Plant Sci.* (58):1029– 1034.
- Qiao J, Liu FZ, Chen Y, Lian Y. (2011). Study on inheritance of eggplant fruit shape. *Acta Horticulturae Sinica*. 11(012).
- Frary A, Doganlar S, Daunay MC. (2007). Eggplant. Genome mapping and molecular breeding in plants Vol 5. Kole C, editor. 287–313. Berlin, Germany, Springer.
- Gurung T, Techawongstien S, Suriharn B, Techawongstien S. (2012). Stability analysis of yield and capsaicinoids content in chili (*Capsicum* spp.) grown across six environments. *Euphytica*. 187(1):11–18.
- Hedges LJ, Lister CE. (2007). Nutritional attributes of spinach, silver beet and eggplant. Christchurch, New Zealand, New Zealand Institute for Crop & Food Research Limited.
- Kang MS. (1988). A rank-sum method for selecting highyielding, stable corn genotypes. *Cereal Res Comm.* 16:113–115.
- Lodhi RD, Prasad LC, Bornare SS, Madakemohekar AH, Prasad R. (2015). Stability analysis of yield and its component traits of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* l.) Genotypes in multi-environment trials in the north eastern plains of India. *SABRAO J. of Breeding & Genetics*. 47(2):143–159.
- Plant Variety Protection Center Indonesian Ministry of Agriculture (2007). Guidelines for the conduct of test for distinctness, homogeneity and stability for eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.). 1–10p.
- Sathappan C, Arivukarasu K, Rameshkumar S, Murugan G, Kathiresan R. (2012). Sustainable management of weeds in rainfed eggplant in India. *Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res.* 18:557–564.
- Shukla GK. (1972). Some statistical aspects of partitioning G x E components of variability. *Heredity*. 29:237–245.
- Thennarasu K. (1995). On certain non parametric procedures for studying genotype-environment interactions and yield stability. New Delhi, India, PJ School, IARI.
- Wricke G. (1962). On a method of understanding the biological diversity in field research. *Z. Pflanzenzucht*. 47:92–96.