DAAD
Deutscher Akademischer Austauschdienst
German Academic Exchange Service

PROCEEDINGS OF THE INTERNATIONAL WORKSHOP

Tropical Bio-resources for Sustainable Development

"The Role of Innovation to Enhance German Alumni in Scientific and Professional Capacities"

Editors:
Syarifah Iis Aisyah
Nandi Kosmaryandi
Anuraga Jayanegara
Ronald F. Kuehne

IPB International Convention Center
August 13rd-15th, 2014
Bogor, Indonesia

PROCEEDINGS OF THE INTERNATIONAL WORKSHOP TROPICAL BIO-RESOURCES FOR SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

"The Role of Innovation to Enhance German Alumni in Scientific and Professional Capacities"

13-15 August 2014
Bogor, Indonesia

Editors

Syarifah Iis Aisyah (Bogor Agricultural University, Indonesia)
Nandi Kosmaryandi (Bogor Agricultural University, Indonesia)
Anuraga Jayanegara (Bogor Agricultural University, Indonesia)
Ronald F. Kuehne (Georg-August-Universitaet Goettingen, Germany)

DAAD
Deutscher Akademischer Austauschdienst
German Academic Exchange Service

PREFACE

It is really honoured and very pleased to have this 6thSEAG International workshop, which is organized by SEAG (South East Asia-Germany) Alumni Network-Indonesia,in collaboration with CDA (Career Development and Alumni Affairs), Bogor Agricultural University. SEAG is the German Alumni-networking group, which was established in year 2000, among countries in South-East Asia.

Since 1999, the Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development (BMZ) and the German Academic Exchange Service (DAAD) havebeen systematically supporting alumni networks of graduates from German Universities. The University of Goettingen, Kassel and Marburg established an alumni consortium to support and maintain efficiently local and regional alumni networks in Egypt-Arab-Region (GEAR), in Latin America (ReCALL), in Iran (GIAN) and in South East Asia (SEAG).

The objectives of the alumni networks are to establish an alumni database to enable the exchange of scientific experiences among the alumni and their host universities in Germany, and finally to create and maintain local and regional network. In order to achieve these goals, the consortium uses many tools, e.g. organizing symposium, mini workshop, international workshop, summerschool, etc.

For regional Indonesia, some Mini Workshops had been done several times which were taken placed in many universities in difference provinces. The first SEAG mini workshop had been done in Brawijaya University, Malang, on April 2003 for those alumni who work in Agriculture economy. The second one was executed in Soedirman University, Central Java on May 2004, for Agriculturist, and the third SEAG mini workshop was conducted in Taman Safari Bogor, May 2005 for Animal scientist. The fourth was in Sam Ratulangi University – North Sulawesi, for the society of forester, with the theme of Developing Public Awareness through Sustainable Forest Management. The fifth was conducted in USU (North Sumatera University) for area of Agricultural Technology, in November 2006. The Sixth was in IPB Bogor for horticulturist, on May 2007.

As academicians or researchers who gained education, training or part of it in Germany, we should play a role as key person in our scientific society. Our partners from Germanyalso believe that their support can only be

effectively provided if it is based on cooperation with key local players. Therefore development cooperation is very essentially dependent on identifying and integrating such key persons. Indeed, as German alumni, we have to show an effort to support for economic, technological and social transformation processes in our countries.

Some of us hold important positions in government, in the administration, in business and industry and in academia. We may act as multipliers and disseminators in and within our societies. We should also introduce the requisite specialist knowledge, provide motivation for innovation and guarantee cooperative capabilities in dealings with local and foreign partners.That is why we explore the theme of Tropical Bio-resources for Sustainable Development: The Role of Innovation to Enhance German Alumni in Scientific and Professional Capacities, for this workshop.

High appreciation is conveyed to the organizing committee from SEAG-Indonesia and CDA IPB for the effort to conduct this workshop. The very sincere thank is delivered to the German Academic Exchange Service (DAAD) for continues support financially and many other aspect give us invaluable opportunities to learn from each other, to improve individual and institution competences, and to experience a lot of things across universities.

Syarifah Iis Aisyah

SEAG INDONESIA
CDA IPB

TABLE OF CONTENTS

WORKING GROUP 2: APPLIED RESEARCH AND SCALING-UP OF BIO-RESOURCE INNOVATION

WORKING GROUP 3: SCIENCE-POLICY INTERFACING ON BIO-RESOURCE CONSERVATION AND UTILIZATION

Influence of tannin concentration in ration on fermentation parameters of Rumen Simulation Technique (RUSITEC): a meta-analysis

Anuraga Jayanegara[1,*], M. Ridla[1], Erika B. Laconi[1], Nahrowi[1]

[1] Department of Nutrition and Feed Technology, Bogor Agricultural University, Bogor, 16680, Indonesia
* Corresponding author: anuragaja@apps.ipb.ac.id

Abstract The objective of this study was to summarize and to quantify the effects of tannin on fermentation parameters of rumen simulation technique (RUSITEC) through a meta-analysis approach. Experiments reporting tannin concentration and rumen fermentation using the RUSITEC system were integrated into a database, and comprised of 6 studies and 25 treatments. Parameters recorded were nutrient digestibility, gas production, methane (CH_4) emission, short-chain fatty acid (SCFA) profiles, pH, ammonia (NH_3) and microbial population (bacteria and protozoa). The analysis of the data assembled in the database was based on mixed model methodology in which different studies were treated as random effects whereas tannin concentration was treated as fixed effects. Results revealed that *in vitro* crude protein digestibility (CPD), neutral detergent fiber digestibility (NDFD) and acid detergent fiber digestibility (ADFD) decreased linearly as the tannin concentration increased with the *P*-value of 0.047, 0.005 and 0.004, respectively. Comparing the magnitude of reduction in CP and fiber digestibility by the influence of tannin, the compound appeared to cause higher negative effect on CP digestibility than that of fiber as indicated by the slopes. However, the overall OMD did not significantly decrease although the slope remained negative. Methane emission tended to decrease at higher tannin concentration when expressed per unit of substrate (P=0.066) and significantly decreased when expressed per unit of total gas produced (P=0.005). It can be concluded that tannin is a potential compound for mitigating ruminal methane emission but its use on the other hand also decrease nutrient digestibility.

Keywords tannin, rumen, fermentation, rusitec, meta-analysis

1. Introduction

Tannin is among plant secondary compounds produced by plants in their intermediary metabolism. It is polyphenolic compound with diverse

structure (such as between hydrolysable and condensed tannin) and molecular weight but has similar property: it binds and precipitates protein [1]. With respect to ruminant nutrition, tannin is considered to have both beneficial and detrimental nutritional effects. Some of the beneficial effects of tannin are better utilization of dietary protein, faster growth rate, higher milk yield and improved animal health through prevention of bloat and nematode infection. Negative effects of tannin have been associated with its toxicity to rumen microbes and the animals especially when present at high concentration in ration (>50 g/kg dry matter) [2].

Research on tannin in relation to ruminant nutrition has been conducted under various experimental conditions, either *in vivo* (directly to the animal), *in sacco* (fistulated animal) or *in vitro* (laboratory equipment that mimic rumen fermentation). The latter method is divided into two groups, i.e. *in vitro* batch and *in vitro* continuous culture. Research synthesis of tannin effect on rumen digestion and fermentation based on *in vivo* and *in vitro* batch studies across various ruminant species has been previously performed through a meta-analytical approach [3]. However, the studies based on *in vitro* continuous culture like in rumen simulation technique or RUSITEC [4] have not been summarized. In the present study, therefore, a meta-analysis was conducted to summarize and to quantify the effects of tannin concentration on fermentation parameters of RUSITEC.

2. Materials and Methods

RUSITEC experiments reporting tannin concentration and rumen fermentation were integrated into a database (comprised of 6 studies and 25 treatments). The studies were Sliwinski et al. [5], Hess et al. [6-7], Tiemann et al. [8], Bekele et al. [9] and Khiaosa-ard et al. [10] (Table 1). Parameters recorded were nutrient digestibility, gas production, methane (CH_4) emission, short-chain fatty acid (SCFA) profiles, pH, ammonia (NH_3) and microbial population (bacteria and protozoa). Tannin forms were either from non-extracted or extracted tannins of plant origins, and constituted of different tannin types, i.e. hydrolysable, condensed or unspecified or mixed tannins. Such different tannin types were not a main point of interest but rather the amount or concentration of the tannin in the ration. Therefore, they were not stated as a categorical variable and not included in the statistical model. Studies reporting treatments with addition of polyethylene glycol (PEG) were excluded from the database since the substance may neutralize the effects of tannins under rumen environment.

The analysis of the data assembled in the database was made by a statistical meta-analysis approach [11]. Studies were treated as random effects whereas tannin concentration was treated as fixed effects using MIXED procedure of SAS version 9.2. The following statistical model was employed:

$$Y_{ij} = B_0 + B_1X_{ij} + s_i + b_iX_{ij} + e_{ij}$$

where, Y_{ij} = dependent variable, B_0 = overall intercept from all studies (fixed effect). B_1 = linear regression coefficient of Y on X (fixed effect), X_{ij} = value of the continuous predictor variable (dietary tannins), s_i = random effect of study i, b_i = random effect of study i on the regression coefficient of Y on X in study i, and e_{ij} = the unexplained residual errors. The study variable was declared in the CLASS statement since it does not contain any quantitative information. Data were weighted by the number of replicates each study and scaled to 1 to take into consideration of unequal variance among studies. Microbial population data were transformed into their logarithmic units to allow linear relationships with the independent variable. Model statistics presented are *P*-value and coefficient of determination (R^2).

Table 1. Studies included in the meta-analysis of the effect of tannin concentration on fermentation parameters of rumen simulation technique (RUSITEC)

Study no.	Reference	Basal feed	Tannin source	Tannin level (g/kg DM)
1	Sliwinski et al. (2002a)	Grass hay, silage and barley	Chestnut	0 to 2.5
2	Hess et al. (2006)	Koronivia grass	*Cratylia argentea* , *Calliandra calothyrsus*	0 to 135
3	Hess et al. (2008)	Koronivia grass	*Leucaena leucocephala*, *Flemingia macrophylla*, *Calliandra calothyrsus*	0 to 62.2
4	Tiemann et al. (2008a)	Koronivia grass	*Vigna unguiculata*, *Calliandra calothyrsus*	0 and 71
5	Bekele et al. (2009)	Koronivia grass	*Samanea saman*, *Acacia angustissima*, *Sesbania sesban*, *Cajanus cajan*	0 to 45
6	Khiaosa-Ard et al. (2009)	Grass-clover hay	*Onobrychis viciifolia*, *Acacia mearnsii*	0 and 78.9

3. Results and Discussion

In vitro crude protein digestibility (CPD), neutral detergent fiber digestibility (NDFD) and acid detergent fiber digestibility (ADFD) decreased linearly as the tannin concentration increased with the *P*-value of 0.047, 0.005 and 0.004, respectively (Table 2). Comparing the magnitude of reduction in CP and fiber digestibility by the influence of tannin, the compound appeared to cause higher negative effect on CP digestibility than that of fiber as indicated by the slopes. An increase of tannin concentration by 1 g/kg declined CPD by 2.921 mg/g. The decrease was lower for the NDFD and ADFD, i.e. 1.231 and 1.549 mg/g, respectively. However, these figures might change if the slopes were corrected by the intercepts due to substantial different digestibility between CP and fiber at dietary tannins equal to 0 g/kg. All of these relationships had high R^2, i.e. higher than 0.4. The results support a theory that tannin may form complexes with some nutrients such as protein and carbohydrate and, therefore, may reduce their digestibility in the digestive tract of ruminants [1-2, 12]. However, the overall OMD did not significantly decrease although the slope remained negative. This was also the case for the total gas production.

Methane emission tended to decrease at higher tannin concentration when expressed per unit of substrate (P=0.066) and significantly decreased when expressed per unit of total gas produced (P=0.005). The latter had a high R^2, i.e. 0.677. Explanation of the methane decrease due to tannin appears to be because of the decrease in digestibility of nutrients, particularly fiber, which decreases H_2 production as a substrate for methanogenesis as well as direct inhibition on methanogen population; the latter occurs since tannin is toxic to some groups of rumen microbes including the methanogen [3, 13]. Tannins had almost no effects on all SCFA variables, except that the substance linearly decreased C_4 (P=0.013, R^2=0.403). Dietary tannin had also no significant effects on ruminal pH, NH_3, bacteria and protozoa population.

Table 2. Equations for linear regression of the effect of tannin concentration on fermentation parameters of rumen simulation technique (RUSITEC)

Response parameter	n	Parameter estimates						R^2
		Intercept	SE intercept	*P* intercept	Slope	SE slope	*P* slope	
OMD (mg/g)	25	441.9	36.81	<0.001	–0.672	0.3918	ns	0.178
CPD (mg/g)	14	644.2	76.19	0.014	–2.921	1.2914	0.047	0.407
NDFD (mg/g)	25	323.6	26.56	<0.001	–1.231	0.3801	0.005	0.411

Response parameter	n	Parameter estimates						R^2
		Intercept	SE intercept	*P* intercept	Slope	SE slope	*P* slope	
ADFD (mg/g)	14	277.1	20.42	<0.001	–1.549	0.4102	0.004	0.543
Gas (ml/g)	14	81.1	28.69	Ns	–0.170	0.1298	ns	0.220
CH_4 (ml/g)	25	10.9	1.89	0.002	–0.0255	0.01301	0.066	0.231
CH_4 (ml/l gas)	14	170.4	47.73	0.07	–0.582	0.1631	0.005	0.677
Total SCFA (mmol/l)	23	83.5	10.89	0.002	–0.0003	0.04986	ns	0.000
C_2 (% total SCFA)	23	63.5	1.84	<0.001	0.0002	0.00929	ns	0.000
C_3	23	22.2	1.83	<0.001	0.0155	0.01129	ns	0.140
C_4	23	10.9	1.99	0.005	–0.0139	0.00501	0.013	0.403
iso-C_4	19	0.72	0.229	0.052	0.0007	0.00117	ns	0.042
C_5	19	2.75	0.894	0.054	–0.0007	0.00166	ns	0.019
iso-C_5	19	0.89	0.307	0.063	–0.0011	0.00101	ns	0.126
iso-SCFA	19	1.61	0.349	0.019	–0.0005	0.00166	ns	0.009
C_2/C_3	23	2.93	0.234	<0.001	–0.0011	0.00166	ns	0.036
pH	25	7.00	0.050	<0.001	0.0001	0.00035	ns	0.010
NH_3 (mmol/l)	25	6.44	1.862	0.018	–0.0285	0.01822	ns	0.155
log bacteria (10^9/ml)	23	9.11	0.313	<0.001	0.0008	0.00063	ns	0.114
log protozoa (10^4/ml)	23	3.58	0.127	<0.001	0.0004	0.00127	ns	0.008

ADFD, *in vitro* acid detergent fiber digestibility; C_2, acetate; C_3, propionate; C_4, butyrate; CPD, *in vitro* apparent crude protein digestibility; n, number of treatment; NDFD, *in vitro* neutral detergent fiber digestibility; OMD, *in vitro* organic matter digestibility; R^2, coefficient of determination; SCFA, short chain fatty acids; SE, standard error.

4. Conclusion

Tannin is a potential natural compound for mitigating ruminal methane emission but its use on the other hand also decrease nutrient digestibility. Further investigation is therefore required to determine an optimum concentration of tannin in ration in which it mitigates methane emission and simultaneously supports rumen digestion and fermentation.

5. References

[1] H.P.S. Makkar. 2003. Effects and fate of tannins in ruminant animals, adaptation to tannins, and strategies to overcome detrimental effects of feeding tannin-rich feeds. Small Rum. Res. 49: 241-256.

[2] I. Mueller-Harvey. 2006. Unravelling the conundrum of tannins in animal nutrition and health. J. Sci. Food Agric. 86: 2010-2037.

[3] A. Jayanegara, F. Leiber and M. Kreuzer. 2012. Meta-analysis of the relationship between dietary tannin level and methane formation in

ruminants from *in vivo* and *in vitro* experiments. J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr. 96: 365-375.

[4] J.W. Czerkawski and G. Breckenridge. 1977. Design and development of a long-term rumen simulation technique (Rusitec). Brit. J. Nutr. 38: 371-384.

[5] B.J. Sliwinski, C.R. Soliva, A. Machmüller and M. Kreuzer. 2002. Efficacy of plant extracts rich in secondary constituents to modify rumen fermentation. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 101: 101-114.

[6] H.D. Hess, T.T. Tiemann, F. Noto, S. Franzel, C.E. Lascano and M. Kreuzer. 2006. The effects of cultivation site on forage quality of *Calliandra calothyrsus* var. Patulul. Agrofor. Syst. 68: 209–220.

[7] H.D. Hess, M.L. Mera, T.T. Tiemann, C.E. Lascano and M. Kreuzer. 2008. *In vitro* assessment of the suitability of replacing the low-tannin legume *Vigna unguiculata* with the tanniniferous legumes *Leucaena leucocephala* or *Calliandra calothyrsus* in a tropical grass diet. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 147: 105-115.

[8] T.T. Tiemann, C.E. Lascano, M. Kreuzer and H.D. Hess. 2008. The ruminal degradability of fibre explains part of the low nutritional value and reduced methanogenesis in highly tanniniferous tropical legumes. J. Sci. Food Agric. 88: 1794-1803.

[9] A.Z. Bekele, C. Clement, M. Kreuzer and C.R. Soliva. 2009. Efficiency of *Sesbania sesban* and *Acacia angustissima* in limiting methanogenesis and increasing ruminally available nitrogen in a tropical grass-based diet depends on accession. Anim. Prod. Sci. 49: 145-153.

[10] R. Khiaosa-ard, S.F. Bryner, M.R.L. Scheeder, H.R. Wettstein, F. Leiber, M. Kreuzer and C.R. Soliva. 2009. Evidence for the inhibition of the terminal step of ruminal α-linolenic acid biohydrogenation by condensed tannins. J. Dairy Sci. 92: 177-188.

[11] D. Sauvant, P. Schmidely, J.J. Daudin and N.R. St-Pierre. 2008. Meta-analyses of experimental data in animal nutrition. Animal 2: 1203-1214.

[12] N. Silanikove, A. Perevolotsky and F.D. Provenza. 2001. Use of tannin-binding chemicals to assay for tannins and their negative postingestive effects in ruminants. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 91: 69-81.

[13] M.H. Tavendale, L.P. Meagher, D. Pacheco, N. Walker, G.T. Attwood and S. Sivakumaran. 2005. Methane production from *in vitro* rumen incubations with *Lotus pedunculatus* and *Medicago sativa*, and effects of extractable condensed tannin fractions on methanogenesis. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 123-124: 403-419.