PROCEEDINGS

2015 3rd International Conference on Adaptive and Intelligent Agroindustry (ICAIA)

ICAIA 2015

August 3rd - 4th, 2015
IPB International Convention Center
Bogor, Indonesia

ISBN : 978-1-4673-7404-0
IEEE Catalog Number : CFP15C67-CDR

IEEE
INDONESIA SECTION

Proceedings of

2015 3rd International Conference on

Adaptive and Intelligent Agroindustry (ICAIA)

IPB International Convention Center, Bogor, Indonesia

August 3rd – 4th, 2015

Published by :

Department of Agroindustrial Technology

Bogor Agricultural University

Bogor, Indonesia

Welcome Message from The General Chairs of ICAIA 2015

On behalf of the organizing committee, it is our pleasure to welcome you to International Conference on Adaptive and Intelligent Agroindustry, Bogor, Indonesia. This is the 3rd conference on the topic that is held by the Department of Agroindustrial Technology, Bogor Agricultural University, Indonesia.

The conference is expected to provide excellent opportunity to meet experts, to exchange information, and to strengthen the collaboration among researchers, engineers, and scholars from academia, government, and industry. In addition, the conference committee invited five renowned keynote speakers, i.e. Prof Irawadi from Bogor Agricultural University; Prof Kenneth De Jong from George Mason University, USA; Dr Yandra Arkeman from Bogor Agricultural University; and Dr Guillermo Baigorria from University of Nebraska-Lincoln, USA.

The conference committee also invited Prof Noel Lindsay from University of Adelaide, Australia; Kiyotada Hayashi from National Agricultural Research Center-Tsukuba, Japan; Prof Margareth Gfrerer from Islamic State University of Jakarta, Indonesia; Dr Barry Elsey from University of Adelaide, Australia; Dr Gajendran Kandasamy from Melbourne University, Autralia; and Imperial College London-British, Prof Allan O'Connor from University of Adelaide, Australia; Dr Wisnu Ananta Kusuma from Bogor Agricultural University ,Indonesia; and Dr Frank Neumann from University of Adelaide, Australia, as invited speakers.

This conference was organized by Department of Agroindustrial Technology, Bogor Agricultural University and Asosiasi Agroindustri Indonesia, and technically sponsored by IEEE Indonesia Section. Furthermore, it was supported by Departement of Computer Science, Bogor Agricultural University; Surfactant amd Bionegergy Research Center; PT Bogor Life Science and Technology; Indonesian Ministry of Industry; PT Pachira Distrinusa; and PT Kelola Mina Laut.

I would like to take this opportunity to express my deep appreciation to the conference's committee members for their hard work and contribution throughout this conference. I would like to thank authors, reviewers, speakers, and session chairs for their support to participate in the Conference. Lastly, I would like to welcome you to join ICAIA 2015 and wish you all an enjoyable stay in Bogor.

Sincerely,
Dr Yandra Arkeman
General Chairs, ICAIA 2015

WELCOMING ADDRESS

Prof. Dr. Ir. Nastiti Siswi Indrasti
Head of Agroindustrial Technology Department
Faculty of Agricultural Engineering and Technology
Bogor Agricultural University

on

3rd International Conference on Adaptive and Intelligence Agroindustry (3rd ICAIA)

Bogor, August, 3 – 4, 2015

Assalamu'alaikum Warohmatullahi Wabarokatuh
In the name of Allah, the beneficent and the merciful,

Distinguish Guest, Ladies and Gentlemen
Let me first thank you all for accepting the invitation to participate in this 3rd International Conference on Adaptive and Intelligence Agroindustry (ICAIA). In particular I would like to thank Rector of IPB (Institut Pertanian Bogor/Bogor Agricultural University) Prof. Herry Suhardiyanto for supporting this event as part of the series academic event in celebrating the 52nd Anniversary of Bogor Agricultural University.

We are certainly proud to have been able to assemble this event in IPB, Bogor. The range of participants and audience at this conference is precisely something I would like to stress. Participants who followed the event more than 150 people, coming from various countries including the USA, Australia, Japan, Vietnam, Philippine, Germany and Indonesia. The main goal of the conference is to provide an effective forum for distinguished speakers, academicians, professional and practitioners coming from universities, research institutions, government agencies and industries to share or exchange their ideas, experience and recent progress in Adaptive and Intelligent Agroindustry.

The 2015 3rd International Conference on Adaptive and Intelligent Agro-industry (ICAIA) is the third forum for the presentation of new advances and research results on various topics in all aspects of innovative agro-industry that highlights the development and improvement for today and tomorrow's global need for food, energy, water and medicine. The aim of the conference is to stimulate interaction and cohesiveness among researchers in the vast areas of innovative agro-industry. Innovative Agro-industry has the ability to adapt intelligently to future global challenges, i.e. food, energy, water, and medical. Global challenges needs a new breed of Agroindustry which could produce innovative products to fulfill the needs through advanced processing technology, production systems and business strategy supported by cutting-edge information and communication technology.

The topic for this event is "Empowering Innovative Agroindustry for Natural Resources, Bioenergy and Food Sovereignty". The topics clustered into four main parts:
Track 1 : Innovative Agroindustrial and Business System Engineering

Track 2 : Frontier Approaches in Process and Bioprocess Engineering
Track 3 : Frontier Approaches in Industrial Environmental Engineering
Track 4 : Intelligent Information and Communication Technology for Adaptive Agroindustry of the Future

This event also hosts four (4) workshops: (1) Strategies for Agroindustry Development (2) LCA for Agroindustry (3) Innovation and Technopreneurship for Agroindustry and (4) Agroindustry Informatics.

Distinguish Guest, Ladies and Gentlement,
Agroindustry transforms agricultural commodities into high value-added products. Agroindustry is industry that process agricultural products to increase their value added significantly by using technology and by considering environmental aspect and sustainability. However, with changing global demand and technology advancement, innovative agroindustry is needed in order to be competitive as well as sustainable. The challenge of future agroindustry is not merely efficiency and productivity anymore, but also the challenge to appropriately apply frontier technology as well as meeting future global demands.

Agroindustry needs to deal with the application of advance technologies and cope future global issues. Current global issues which arise and expected to exist in the future are food sovereignty, renewable energy, sustainable water management and pharmacy. The ability of agro-industry to respond the future global issues and the undoubtedly substantial increase in demand in future decades will be highly dependent on the increased application of existing technologies as well as the exploitation of new and innovative technologies.

The emergence of high technology could be applied in the agro-industry are: nanotechnology, biotechnology, bioinformatics, food processing, food packaging-waste, state-of-the-art computation and many others. The aforementioned high-technology along with computation technology could greatly advance agro-industry from a traditional system into a smart-intelligent and innovative technology. Therefore, in the new millennia, adaptive-intelligent and innovative agro-industry will contribute to solutions to global problems and brings agriculture into perfection.

Hope this conference will also discuss this issue in more detail as it is an important matter for all of us. We should no more think just how to produce high value product but it is also necessarily important how to keep our live in good quality by understanding following old saying… “You do not live at once. You only die once and live every day”.

I do not to take up any more of your time with these opening remarks. Let me simply thank you once again for sharing your thoughts with us. Here’s wishing every success for the conference. May Allah bless all of us.

Thank you for your kind attention,
Wassalamu’alaikum Warohmatullahi Wabarokatuh

COMMITTEE

Condescendent
Prof. Dr. Ir. Herry Suhardiyanto, M.Sc (IPB's Rector)

Steering Committee
Chairman
Prof. Dr. Ir. Nastiti Siswi Indrasti

Vice
Dr. Ir. Yandra Arkeman, M.Eng

Board member
Prof. Dr. Ir. Aziz Darwis
Prof. Dr. Ir. Irawadi Djamaran
Prof. Dr. Ir. Eriyatno, MSAE
Prof. Dr. Ir. Anas M. Fauzi
Prof. Dr. Ir. Syamsul Maarif, M.Eng
Prof. Dr. Ir. Machfud, MS
Prof. Dr. Ir. Djumali Mangunwidjaja

Organizing Committee
Chairman
Dr. Ir. Yandra Arkeman, M.Eng
Co-chairs :
Prof. Dr. Ir. Suprihatin
Prof. Dr. Ono Suparno, S.TP, MT

Treasury
Dr. Indah Yuliasih, S.TP, M.Si
Dr. Elisa Anggraeni, S.TP, MSc

Programs
Dr. Hartrisari Hardjomidjojo, DEA
Dr. Endang Warsiki
Ir. Lien Herlina, MSc
Dr. Ika Amalia Kartika

Funding
Dr. Meika Syahbana Rusli
Dr. Dwi Setyaningsih
Prof. Erliza Hambali
Dr. Mulyorini Rahayuningsih

Secretariat
Dr. Titi Candra Sunarti
Dr. Prayoga Suryadharma
Dr. Sugiarto, MS
Dr. Faqih Uddin
Niken Ayu Permatasari, STP, MSi
Angga Yuhistira, STP, MSi
Luthfa Jamilah, STP
Yulianti
Elvin Septiana

Paper & Proceedings
Prof. M. Romli
Prof. Marimin
Prof. Ani Suryani
Prof. Erliza Noor
Dr. Liesbetini Hartoto
Dr. Moch Yani

Accomodation dan Logistics
Dr. Andes Ismayana
Dr. Ade Iskandar
Dr. Muslich
Dr. Sapta Raharja

Design, Web and Publication
Dr. Taufik Djatna
Dr. Aji Hermawan
M. Arif Darmawan, MT
Teguh Adi Setia, AMd

AGENDA

Time	Activities
Monday, August 3rd 2015	
08.00 - 09.00	Registration
09.00 - 10.00	Opening Ceremony • Welcoming Address: Prof. Nastiti Siswi Indrasti (Head of DAT, Fateta, IPB) • Welcoming Speech Head of Bogor Regency • Conference Opening: Prof. Herry Suhardiyanto (Rector of IPB) • Opening Speech and Conference Opening : Minister of Industry Indonesia * • Launching Expose International program DAT
10.00 – 10.05	*Photo Session*
10.05 - 10.15	*Coffee break*
10.15 - 10.45 10. 45 - 11.30 11.30 – 12.00 12.00 – 12.30	Keynote Speech : 1. Prof Irawadi (Bogor Agricultural University, Indonesia) 2. Prof. Kenneth De Jong (George Mason University, USA) 3. Dr. Yandra Arkeman (Bogor Agricultural University, Indonesia) 4. Dr. Guillermo Baigorria (University of Nebraska, Lincoln, USA)
12.30 – 13.30	Lunch break
13.30 – 13.50 13.50 – 14.10 14.10 – 14.30 14.30 – 14.50 14.50 – 15.10 15.10 – 15.45	Plenary Session 1 : Prof. Noel Lindsay (University of Adelaide, Australia) Dr. Kiyotada Hayashi (National Agricultural Research Center, Tsukuba, Japan) Prof. Margareth Gfrerer (Islamic State University of Jakarta, Indonesia) Dr. Barry Elsey (University of Adelaide, Australia) Ir. M. Novi Saputra (Marketing Director KML Food Group) *Discussion*
15.30 – 15.45	*Coffee break*
15.45 – 18.00	Parallel session A, B and C
18.00 – 21.00	Welcome Dinner

Time	Activities
Tuesday, August 4rd 2015	
08.30 – 09.00	Registration
09.00 – 09.20 09.20 – 09.40 09.40 – 10.00 10.00 – 10.20 10.20 – 10.45	Plenary Session 2 : Dr. Gajendran Kandasamy (PhD in Physic, Melbourne University ; PhD in Innovation Imperial Collage, London) Prof. Allan O'Connor (University of Adelaide, Australia) Dr. Eng. Wisnu Ananta Kusuma, ST, MT (Bogor Agricultural University, Indonesia) Dr. Frank Neumann (University of Adelaide, Australia) *Discussion*
10.45 – 13.00	Parallel Session A, B and C
13.00 – 14.00	Lunch break
14.00 – 15.30	Parallel Workshop • Strategies for Agroindustry Development • LCA for Agroindustry • Innovation and Technopreneurship for Agroindustry • Agroindustrial Informatics
15.30 – 15.45	Coffee Break
15.45 – 16.15	Closing remark

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Welcoming address from general chairs i
Welcoming address from head of Agroindustrial Technology Departement Bogor Agricultural University ii
Committee iv
Agenda v
Table of Content vii

Abstract of Invited Speakers
Noel Lindsay 1
Kiyotada Hayashi 2
Barry Elsey 3
Frank Neumann 4
Yandra Arkeman 5
Wisnu Ananta Kusuma 6

Innovative Agroindustrial and Business System Engineering
The Feasibility Study of Establishment of Biodiesel And Paving Block Industry From Spent Bleaching Earth 7
Febriani Purba, Ani Suryani and Sukardi
Green Supply Chain Management Innovation Diffusion in Crumb Rubber Factories: Designing Strategies towards Implementation 13
Tri Susanto, Marimin Marimin and Suprihatin
Mobile Business Analytics System for Service Level Analysis of Customer Relationship Decision 19
Taufik Djatna and Yudhistira Chandra Bayu
Exploring an Innovative Approach to Address Non-Tariff Barriers Experienced by Small to Medium Enterprises in Downstream Coffee Production in Indonesia 26
Andar Hermawan, Yandra Arkeman, Titi Candra Sunarti
Innovation on Guardrail Press Tool with Simple Technology for Highway Road Business 33
Bambang Suhardi Waluyo and M.Syamsul Ma'Arif
An Analysis of Innovation Network Performance on the Palm Oil Industry in North Sumatera 34
Danang Krisna Yudha, Aji Hermawan and Machfud
Application of Nanotechnology to Improve Physical Properties of Red Fruit Emulsion in order to Increase Its Industrial Use 41
Murti Ningrum and Syamsul Maarif
Exploring the Internationalization Process Model of an Indonesian Product – Case study : Fruit Chips SME's 47
Dickie Sulistya Apriliyanto, Hartrisari Hardjomidjojo, Titi C Sunarti
Innovation Management in Indonesian Palm Oil Industry 53
Karim Abdullah, Aji Hermawan and Yandra Arkeman

Innovation Design Process for Gayo's Coffee Quality Improvement 59
Rahmat Pramulya, M Syamsul Ma'Arif and Tajuddin Bantacut
Technology Innovation Adoption to Improve the Performance of Dairy Small-Medium Enterprises (SME): Case study in Pangalengan-Bandung Regency, West Java, Indonesia 67
Nuni Novitasari, Titi Candra Sunarti and Nastiti Siwi Indrasti
Process Innovation for Producing Bioethanol from Oil Palm Empty Fruit Bunches by Improving Fermentation Conditions 76
Fitriani Kasim, Novizar Nazir and Syamsul Ma'Arif
Managing Innovation through Knowledge Sharing in An Indonesia Coconut SME 82
Muchammad Kodiyat P, Machfud, Nastiti S Indrasti
Increasing Added Value of Banana by Producing Synbiotic Banana "Sale" Using Innovation & Technology Strategy Approach 88
Eka Ruriani
Innovation Palm Fronds Briquettes Through Noncarbonization Process 93
Petir Papilo, Syamsul Ma'Arif and Yandra Arkeman
Graphic Design Innovation As Brand Identity For "Mahlzeit N 'Das Brot " Bread Packaging 100
Zulkarnain, Deny Dwi Lestari and M. Syamsul Ma'Arif
An AHP Application for Selecting A Business Innovation Strategy of Chocolate SMEs in East Java 104
Yani Kartika Pertiwi, M. Syamsul Maarif and Machfud
Understanding local food consumers and their motivations: A case study in Padang city 110
Poppy Arsil
Spatial Model Design for Competitive Improvement of Small Medium Scales Enterprises (Case Study: Bogor City) 116
Hartrisari Hardjomidjojo, Harry Imantho and Armaiki Yusmur
System Analysis and Design for Selecting Chitin and Chitosan Industry Location by Using Comparative Performance Index (CPI) Method 121
Dena Sismaraini, Nastiti S. Indrasti and Taufik Djatna
Arduino-Based Temperature Monitoring Device for Cold Chain Transportation 129
Delmar Zakaria Firdaus and Endang Warsiki
Development of Downstream Cocoa Industry: Exploring the Role of Government and Small and Medium Industry in Partnership 134
Farda Eka Kusumawardana, Yandra Arkeman, Titi C Sunarti
The Role of Communication in the Technology Transfer (A Case Study at the Center for Agro-based Industry) 140
Anindita Dibyono, Sukardi, Machfud
The Center for Pulp and Paper Appraising its Productivity in Generating Industry-Applicable Research: A Best Practice Illustration 147
Ahmad Rudh Firdausi, Anas M Fauzi, Machfud

Frontier Approaches in Process and Bioprocess Engineering
Identification of Flavor Compounds In Cemcem (*Spondiazpinata* (L.F) Kurz) Leaf Extra 156

Luh Putu Wrasiati, Ni Made Wartini and Ni Putu Eny Sulistyadewi
Synthesis and Characterization of Nanosilica from Boiler Ash with Co-Precipitation Method 160
Wahyu Kamal Setiawan, NastitiSiswiIndrasti and Suprihatin
The Comparison Of Media on the Microalgae *Nannochloropsis* sp. Culture 165
Anak Agung Made Dewi Anggreni, I Wayan Arnata and I B Wayan Gunam
Identification of Media and Indicator Liquid as A Recorder Smart Label 169
Endang Warsiki and Riris Octaviasari
The Effect of Consentration of Mes Surfactant From Palm Oil and Consentrasion of Inorganic Salt to Interfacial Tension Value 174
Rista Fitria, Ani Suryani, Mira Rivai and Ari Imam
Effect of Nano Zinc Oxide On Bionanocomposite 180
Siti Agustina, Nastiti Siswi Indrasti, Suprihatin and Nurul Taufiqu Rohman
The Effects of Molar Ratio Between 80% Glycerol And Palm Oil Oleic Acid on the Synthesis Process of Ester Glycerol 186
Mira Rivai, Erliza Hambali, Giovanni Nurpratiwi Putri, Ani Suryani, Pudji Permadi, Bonar T.H Marbun and Ari Imam Sutanto
Selecting Part of Natural Fiber EFB which has Best Mechanical Strength through Tensile Test Analysis for Composite Reinforced Material 192
Farkhan, Yohanes Aris Purwanto, Erliza Hambali and Wawan Hermawan
Effect Of Ethyl Methane Sulfonate (EMS) On Growth Rate, Cell Size, Fatty Acid Content And Antioxidant Activities Of *Dunaliella* sp. 199
Mujizat Kawaroe and Amelia Gustini
Identification of phenol red as Staphylococcus aureus indicator label Dunaliella sp. 206
Melati Pratama, Endang Warsiki and Liesbetini Hartoto
Enhancing Ethanol Tolerant of *Escherichia coli* Recombinant by Glutamate Addition under Aerobic Conditions 211
Indra Kurniawan Saputra, Prayoga Suryadarma and Ari Permana Putra
In Vitro Potentifal of Antibacterial Marine Microalgae Extract *Chaetocerosgracilis* Toward *Staphylococcus epidermidis* Bacteria 216
Ardhi Novrialdi Ginting, Liesbetini Haditjaroko and Iriani Setyaningsih
The Potential Applications of Modified Nagara Bean Flour through Fermentation for Innovation of High Protein Analog Rice 221
Susi, Lya Agustina and Chondro Wibowo
Studies on the Characteristics of Pasayu (Pasta of Waste-Cassava) Fortification as a New Product Development 226
Marleen Sunyoto, Roni Kastaman, Tati Nurmala and Dedi Muhtadi
Optical And Particle Size Properties Of *Sargassum* Sp Chlorophyll As Dye-Sensitized Solar Cell (DSSC) 234
Makkulawu Andi Ridwan and Erliza Noor
Alkaline Pre-Treatment of *Gelidium latifolium* and *Caulerpa racemosa* for Bioethanol Production 239

Dwi Setyaningsih, Neli Muna, Elisabeth Yan Vivi Aryanti and Anastasya Hidayat

New Trends in Industrial Environmental Engineering & Management

Formulating a Long Term Strategy for Sustainable Palm Oil Biodiesel Development In Indonesia: Learning From the Stakeholder Perspective 247
Beny Adi Purwanto, Erliza Hambali and Yandra Arkeman

Quality Improvement of Polluted River Water Used as Raw Water in Clean Water Supply by Using Biofiltration 253
Suprihatin, Muhammad Romli and Mohamad Yani

An Empirical Investigation of the Barriers to Green Practices in Yogyakarta Leather Tanning SMEs 260
Dwi Ningsih, Ono Suparno, Suprihatin and Noel Lindsay

Preliminary Study For CO_2 Monitoring System 267
Farhan Syakir, Rindra Wiska, Irvi Firqotul Aini, Wisnu Jatmiko and Ari Wibisono

Designing a Collaboration Form to Overcome Innovation Resistance in Waste Management Practices in Lampung Tapioca Industry 273
Nur Aini Adinda, Suprihatin, Nastiti Siswi Indrasti

Pollution Reducing Opportunities for a Natural Rubber Processing Industry: A Case Study 280
Syarifa Arum Kusumastuti, Suprihatin and Nastiti Siswi Indrasti

Creating the Standard for Specific Energy Consumption at Palm Oil Industry 286
Alfa Firdaus and M Syamsul Ma'Arif

Effects of Palm-Dea Non-Ionic Surfactant as an Additive in Buprofezin Insecticide on the Efficacy of it in Controlling Brown Planthopper Rice Pest 290
Fifin Nisya, Rahmini, Mira Rivai, Nobel Cristian Siregar, Ari Imam Sutanto and Ainun Nurkania

Intelligent Information & Communication Technology for Adaptive Agroindustry of the Future

Design of Web-Based Information System With Green House Gas Analysis for Palm Oil Biodiesel Agroindustry 294
Yandra Arkeman, Hafizd Adityo Utomo and Dhani S. Wibawa

Sequential Patterns for Hotspots Occurence Based Weather Data using Clospan algorithm 301
Tria Agustina and Imas S. Sitanggang

How to Deal with Diversity in Cultivation Practices using Scenario Generation Techniques: Lessons from the Asian rice LCI Initiative 306
Kiyotada Hayashi, Yandra Arkeman, Elmer Bautista, Marlia Mohd Hanafiah, Jong Sik Lee, Masanori Saito, Dhani Satria, Koichi Shobatake, Suprihatin, Tien Tran Minh and Van Vu

Development of Life Cycle Inventories for Palm Oil in North Sumatra: Modelling Site-Specific Activities and Conditions 309
Vita D Lelyana, Erwinsyah and Kiyotada Hayashi

Sequential Pattern Mining on Hotspot Data using PrefixSpan Algorithm 313
Nida Zakiya Nurulhaq and Imas S. Sitanggang

An Intelligent Optimization Model Analysis and Design of Bio-filtration in Raw Water Quality Improvement 317
Ramiza Lauda and Taufik Djatna
Development Of People Food Consumtion Patterns Information System Based On Webmobile Application. 323
Fadly Maulana Shiddieq, Roni Kastaman and Irfan Ardiansah
Association Rules Mining on Forest Fires Data using FP-Growth and ECLAT Algorithm 330
Nuke Arincy and Imas S. Sitanggang
Development Of Expert System For Selecting Tomato (*Solanum Lycopersicon*) Varieties 334
Erlin Cahya Rizki Amanda, Kudang Boro Seminar, Muhamad Syukur and Noguchi Ryozo
Developing Life Cycle Inventories for Rice Production Systems in Philippines: How to Establish Site-specific Data within the General Framework 340
Elmer Bautista, Kiyotada Hayashi and Masanori Saito
Construction of Site-specific Life Cycle Inventories for Rice Production Systems in Vietnam 343
Tran Minh Tien, Bui Hai An, Vu ThiKhanh Van and Kiyotada Hayashi
Study on Life Cycle Benefit Assessment as a tool for promoting the solution of Environmental Problems 346
Tetsuo Nishi
Real Time Monitoring Glycerol Esterification Process with Mid IR Sensors using Support Vector Machine Classification 350
Iwan Aang Soenandi, Taufik Djatna, Irzaman Husein and Ani Suryani
Extraction of Multi-Dimensional Research Knowledge Model from Scientific Articles for Technology Monitoring 356
Arif R. Hakim and Taufik Djatna
Performance of Artificial Lighting Using Genetics Algorithms 362
Limbran Sampebatu
The Application of Fuzzy-Neuro Approach for ERP System Selection: Case Study on an Agro-industrial Enterprise 367
Joko Ratono, Kudang Boro Seminar, Yandra Arkeman and Arif Imam Suroso

Designing a Collaboration Form to Overcome Innovation Resistance in Waste Management Practices in Lampung Tapioca Industry

Nur Aini Oktiningrum Adinda[1], Suprihatin[2], and Nastiti Siswi Indrasti[3]
[1]*Entrepreneurship, Commercialization and Innovation Centre, The University of Adelaide*
[2]*Department of Agro-industrial Technology, Faculty of Agricultural Technology, Bogor Agricultural University*
E-mail: *nuraini.oa@gmail.com, suprihatin167@yahoo.com, nastiti.indrasti@gmail.com*

***Abstract*—Waste management is an important innovation in environmental management. It has significant role for minimizing the effect of industrial activities. Unfortunately, this practice has not been fully adopted in Lampung tapioca industries. The purpose of this paper is to analyze innovation resistance among Lampung tapioca and industry in adopting waste management practices. This research offers collaboration form to overcome these barriers. According to Ram and Sheth's theory, five barriers namely usage, value, risk, tradition, and image barriers were used to investigate the impediment. A case study methodology were conducted with eight respondents which represent stakeholders in Lampung tapioca industry (academics, government, and tapioca firm). The data were collected through semi-structured interviews, field observation, and internal documents. The findings show that the awareness about waste management practices have been risen among stakeholders. It indicates with positive attitude toward usage barrier and value barrier. However, risk, tradition and image are the intense barriers to adopt waste management practices. They have found difficulties in technical and management aspect to implement waste management. This research has practical implications to decision maker and innovators in collaboration strategies to overcome resistance to innovations like waste management practices are discussed.**

Keyword: waste management, innovation resistance, tapioca industry, innovation adoption.

I. INTRODUCTION

LAMPUNG Province is well-known as the largest tapioca producer in Indonesia with 66 tapioca factories and 8.059.287 tonnes of tapioca starch production [1]. This industry has a significant positive impact on the regional economy; however, the tapioca industry discharges large amounts of waste from its processing that contributes significantly to environmental degradation. Mai [2] identifies the forms of waste that is generated from tapioca starch processing. This includes resource consumption, wastewater, solid waste, and air pollution. Tapioca waste treatment needs a large area for waste processing, and it creates a foul smell that can disturb residents [3].

Some strategies have been developed by key stakeholders (academics/research and development institutions, government, and local firms) to solve these environmental issues. For example, the Indonesian Environmental Compliance Public Disclosure Program (PROPER) was developed by the Government [4] which was informed by research activities from academics and research and development institutions [2,5]. However, the implementation of research innovation and environmental regulation compliance is still low. Based on PROPER assessment results in 2014, there were only 14 of the 66 Lampung Province tapioca processing plants that met the necessary compliances [6].

These failures are the result of a lack of information about environmental regulations and the research results that are available [4]. In Lampung Province, there are several parties that have knowledge and information about waste management practices; however, each stakeholder works independently which leads to overlapping roles and inefficiencies in the innovation adoption process and information sharing.

In order to find the best approach to overcome this situation, the decision maker must understand the impediments that may prevent tapioca industry for adopting waste management practices. The aim of this study is to explore the resistance of innovation in waste management practices in Lampung tapioca industry, while creates a collaboration form among stakeholders. First, the innovation resistance theory is explained. Second, the development of interviews and data collection are transcribed. Thereafter, the presentation of the results. Finally, the conclusion is drawn.

II. LITERATURE STUDY

A. *Waste Management Practices in Tapioca Industry*

The tapioca processing industry generates a considerable amount of waste and by-products. The environmental impact arises from the processes of cleaning, peeling, and extracting. According to Mol and Dieu [7], $10m^3$ – $20m^3$ of waste water that contains high levels of biodegradable organic materials is released per ton of tapioca starch processed. Moreover, Mol and Dieu [7] analyzed the characteristics of wastewater from tapioca processing with values of 55-200 kilograms of BOD, 130-500 kilograms of COD, 40-140 kilograms of suspended solids, 0.2-0.6 kilograms of phosphorus, and 3-10 kilograms of nitrogen. To produce tapioca starch, roots are peeled, washed, chipped, pressed, grounded or milled, dried, and then sieved. The tapioca starch produced contain around 15 – 19 % moisture content [8]. Fresh cassava roots are then transported to the cassava mills. Several processing stages are involved in the cassava starch extraction process. The tapioca processing stages are shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1 Process in tapioca mills [7].

The study variables include the reuse and recycling of water, use of biogas from wastewater, and technology modification for efficiency in the production process. A systematic methodology was adopted to analyse the implementation of cleaner production. The research methodology consists of four steps:

(1) Analyzing the current situation and collecting information associated with four key factors (water consumption, electricity consumption, fuel oil consumption, and starch loss)
(2) Evaluating and measuring the four key factors by calculating material mass and water mass balances
(3) Selecting an appropriate approach for minimizing the amount of waste generation based on four key factors calculation, and
(4) Designing and implementing potential clean technology options for the tapioca starch plants.

The result of this study show that clean technology implementation in the eight selected tapioca starch processing plants can successfully reduce water consumption and enhance wastewater energy recovery. However, the clean technology approaches that have been offered were only based on material mass and water mass balance calculations. This is more about the technical issues. There were limitations and weakness in this study. For example, the study did not mention the process of clean technology implementation in tapioca starch plants that involves the implementation process and clean technology adoption.

B. *Barriers in Innovation Adoption*

Some studies identify that many organisations experience challenges in adopting innovative products or technologies [9-11]. Users consider innovation as a new way for implementing changes. However, resistance to change is a common response from customers before the adoption of innovation begins [10]. For example, see the study by Laukkanen et al. [10] which investigated innovation resistance among mature consumers in mobile banking. This research followed Ram and Sheth [12] "Innovation Resistance Theory". An internet survey was conducted with 1525 respondents, of which 370 respondents represented mature costumers (over 55 years) and 1155 respondents represented young customers. Based on Ram and Sheth's [12] framework, the Innovation Resistance Theory is divided into five categories:

- Usage barrier
  The usage barrier is associated with the utilization of innovation. These barriers arise when an innovation is not well-matched with customer requirements, in term of habits or practices.
- Value barrier
  The value barrier is related to comparing performance with price. It occurs when an innovation does not show great performance-to-price compared with other products.
- Risk barrier
  Uncertainty is always attached with innovation; therefore, risk cannot be avoided by customers. The risk barrier refers to the consequences that customers may be exposed to should they accept an innovation [10].
- Tradition barrier
  The tradition barrier occurs when innovation gives effect in daily routines. Consumers may be reluctant with an innovation because it changes their daily lives. Therefore, not all consumers have an interest with a new innovation.

- Image barrier
  The image barrier is linked with stereotyped thinking, it can be brand or certain identity of the product.
  By using this framework, Laukkanen et al. [10] suggests that the value barrier is the most significant barrier to mobile banking adoption for both elderly and younger users. However, the elderly have higher degrees of risk barriers to the use of mobile banking. This is due to more mature people not trying an innovation because it is complicated to use. Hence, banks need to develop promotional campaigns for demonstrating the advantages of mobile banking compared with conventional financial services.

III. RESEARCH METHOD

A. Data Collection

This study adopted a case study methodology with field observations and interviews for the data collection process. Field observation was conducted by visiting the tapioca processing plants to understand the natural process production of tapioca. Then, individual explorative interviews with a representative from the actor groups were arranged for identifying major themes. Semi-structured interviews were arranged with non-participant observation, supported by internal documents where possible. The semi-structured interview began with broad and open questions while exploring each respondent's story to get more insight into the topic. An interview guide was prepared based on the main research questions and keeping the interviews on track. A total of eight (8) interviews were organized, recorded, and transcribed. Each lasted between 45-60 minutes. Three tapioca processing plants represented business, two research institutions and one university represented academics, and two province councils represented government. In the next chapter, individual actors are identified by following codes: Tpp1-Tpp3 for tapioca processing plants, Aca1-Aca3 for academics, and Gov1-Gov2 for a government. Secondary data from institution internal documents was also collected to support the findings.

B. Data Analysis

The collected data was transcribed and organized based on research questions and themes. Standard techniques for a case study were followed Yin [13]. First, the interviews were transcribed with the Indonesian language, to get more understanding and minimize misperceptions. Second, data was clustered to produce more general codes and to identify themes. A coding method was used to organize interview data into a limited number of issues around the questions. Data from the field observations are also compared with the data from the interview. Third, data are divided into specific themes, in a term to capture different perspectives and interpretations. Therefore, it can answer the research questions. Fourth, the data analysis involved translated the interviews into English.

C. Validity

To support the validity of the findings, multiple sources of data were used based on Yin's [13] suggestions. Interviews, non-participant observations, and secondary data were used as data. These data resources were triangulated, and from an analytical standpoint, only those results are presented which are supported by multiple streams of evidence.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Current Waste Management Practices

From the data analysis, the main topic that occurs is the nature of waste management practices in the tapioca industry. According to the interviews with the key tapioca industry actors, simple waste management practices have been implemented in a tapioca processing plant, especially with reuse and recycling activities for solid waste. According to Sriroth et al. [14], the cassava slurry contains a high starch content (about 68% based on dry weight) and fiber (about 27% based on dry weight). Because of this high starch concentration, an animal feeder industry uses cassava slurry as a raw material. Another development in solid waste treatment is the utilization of cassava peel as biofertilizer. Cassava peel consists of two elements, an outer covering brown layer and an inner covering of parenchymatous. Both are lignocellulolytic components [15]. By using a particular fermentation process, the tapioca industry can produce biofertilizer from cassava peel. These following statements support the reuse and recycling practices in solid waste management:

In the words of Gov1: "It is true that the tapioca industry produces some waste from the process production. But, they can sell their solid waste to the market. Usually, cassava peels are used as raw materials for animal feed or compost, and the acid citrate industry needs cassava slurry as their primary material". Tpp1 adds: "20% of our cassava slurry production has been used as feed for waste water treatment, and we have sold the rest to the market. Another factory need cassava slurry as a material for traditional sauce, and cassava peel as a material for animal feed".

While solid waste treatment provides a positive trend, a different situation emerges in waste water treatment in the tapioca industry. The Majority of actors in the Lampung Province tapioca industry persist with conventional lagoon treatments, rather than using applied biogas reactors for further benefits. According to Gov1, only 10-20 tapioca processing plants from 66 factories are going further with new technology by converting methane from wastewater into biogas (a renewable energy resource). In the words of Aca1: "Most of them (tapioca processing plants) are using conventional lagoons like a big pond

with long time detention, at least 3-5 months for processing treatment". However, some of the tapioca processing plants have implemented or modified their waste water treatments to get more benefit from waste water. Tpp1 says: "Nowadays, lagoon treatment is used in our factory. But, we have modified this treatment with a mixing tank reactor. Tpp2 adds: "Before 2012, we were using lagoon treatment for our waste water. But since 2012, a biogas plant is now effectively used in our factory".

B. Innovation Resistance in Waste Management Practices

Rogers [16] assumes that all innovations are accepted and adopted by users. However, innovation means change for users, and this could result in resistance as a response to change before the adoption process begins. The tapioca industry actors may have their reasons for resisting innovation for their products. Based on innovation resistance theory [12], some factors are identified as potential impediments to Lampung Province innovation adoption of waste management:

- Usage barriers
  The usage barrier commonly relates to service utilization and consumer requirements. From the interviewee feedback, it seems that usage barriers are not the main obstacle to adopting good waste management practices. All the stakeholders have an interest in implementing new technology. Tpp1 says: "Now, we use conventional lagoons for our wastewater treatment, but since we have heard of the success stories from another factory, we decided to install a biogas converter". On the other hand, the respondents may have found difficulty in the first implementation of new waste management practices. As mentioned by Tpp3: "when the first time we tried the biogas reactor, there were so many trial and error experiences. We are a little bit afraid of using this technology".
- Value barriers
  The value barrier relates to a comparison of performance-to-price to substitutes. Some respondents feel that the relative advantage of waste management practices is high from their point of view, since they get added value from waste. Ramsey, Ibbotson and Mccole [11] states that firms are profit driven, therefore firms compare benefits and costs of technology use before making a decision in adopting a technology. The new trend shows that waste does not become a burden for cost production but can give benefits, such as additional income from selling cassava peel and cassava slurry, and energy from wastewater. Nevertheless, some interviewees revealed that a financial burden is a barrier for implementing the innovation production. In the words of Aca2: "Basically, they (the tapioca processing plants) understand the advantages of good waste management practices, but we cannot deny that the investment for new technology in waste management is expensive". This research suggests that some respondents felt that high investment in waste management implementation is the main issue against innovation adoption.
- Risk barriers
  The risk barrier refers to the risk that users are exposed to when using innovative technologies. Laukkanen et al. [10] stated that inventors should notice that risk is a user perception rather than a product characteristic. Some tapioca processing plants fear that they might make mistakes when applying new technology for the first time, especially for SMEs. Sometimes SMEs do not have the financial or technical resources to adopt innovation [9]. For an SME tapioca processing plant, human resources are a key problem in the innovation adoption process. These firms have high dependencies with the owners for adopting new innovations. In the words of Tpp3: "as an owner, I have to know everything about this factory. This includes knowledge about how to manage our waste. I come to a workshop or sometimes the Government invites me so I can learn new things, then I can share it with my workers. Most of my workers only graduate from high school; they do not have any idea about how to manage waste". These practices increase the risks even though the owners have supervised the innovation adoption transfer from their thoughts to their workers. However, these risks can be minimized by employing a consultant or having a discussion with experts. In the big tapioca processing plants, innovation adoption runs more smoothly and there is less risk because the larger firms have better infrastructures and human resources.
- Tradition barriers
  The tradition barrier implies the change caused by innovation in daily routines. Johnson [9] explains that the adoption of technology is a gradual process and it is time consuming. Adopting new waste management practices mean changing daily routines. For example, by implementing a biogas reactor, Tpp2 and Tpp3 have to change their production lines and train their workers to get used to the technology. Based on the situation in Tpp2, this requires training for workers not only for introducing the technology but also for changing their attitudes toward technological innovation. Studies have reported that technology adoption will succeed if there is support from top management [11]. As several interviewees affirm, "management policies become important issues".
- Image barriers
  The image barrier refers to stereotyped thinking that can hamper innovation adoption. The image barrier in waste management practices emerges from waste management behaviours. On the one

hand, the tapioca processing plant operators perceive the implementation of waste management to be too complicated to apply because they have to change their organizational habits. Tpp1 reports: "Before we have our kick start in new waste management next year, we have to change our installation system, habits, and perspectives. It is not an easy job, big homework for the company". On the other side, the adoption of waste management practices will lead to good reputations for the company since the awareness of environmental issues has risen recently.

Other than five barriers based on innovation resistance theory, this research has found other obstacles to the adoption of waste management practices. From the interviews, the researcher noticed that there was an emerging problem related to joint research activities among stakeholders. As regards funding issues, the R&D institutions point out disagreement about the ownership of intellectual property. Aca1 says: "There may be disagreements occurring over the ownership of an innovation product that has been produced from research activities. They want to own that product for free and do not want to pay for the intellectual property for our researcher". This situation may appear because of unclear contractual agreements between the two sides.

C. Collaboration Form of Innovation Adoption

One aspect that researchers believe encourages the innovation adoption process in waste management practices is the collaboration of stakeholders. They feel that stakeholder interactions will improve if the role of stakeholders and form of collaboration are clearly defined. In Lampung Province, collaboration involves several parties such as the tapioca processing plants, government, and academics or R&D institutions. Each stakeholder has its role description that is listed in the regulations or legislation, especially for Government, academics,or R&D institutions. However, problems can emerge during the information sharing process.

According to Regulation of Lampung Governor No. 33/ 2010, the Environmental Monitoring Agency has a role in coordinating, facilitating, mentoring, and reviewing environmental management in Lampung Province. These roles include providing technical assistance and consultancy about environmental issues for the industry and society. However, it is found that a coordinating role has not worked in the field. Another organization that has involvement in the innovation adoption process is the Regional R&D institution. The Regional R&D institution has a role in developing technical policies for research and development; and providing advice to the local government based on scientific studies. Nevertheless, the presence of this institution is not perceived well by another stakeholder. Aca2 says: 'There is a poor role from the Regional R&D institution to coordinate and manage the innovation in this province. They do not even have a database for innovation'. For this reason, the tapioca firms had difficulties getting information about innovation.

Academics and R&D institutions have roles as a center of knowledge. In Indonesia, academics have three main roles that are called "Tri Dharma". Tri Dharma defines the three main roles of universities: Education, research and development, and community service. The R&D institution also has a role for conducting research and development activities. These situations raise a problem in the overlap of innovation products. It is often found that R&D institutions and academics organize almost similar research, especially for the most demanding topics such as alternative energy sources from tapioca waste water. Aca2 mentions: 'It happened with us. A few years ago we arranged research about biogas in Pesawaran district, then we got information that the R&D institution from the Ministry of Energy and Mineral Resources did the same research in another tapioca plant'. It indicates lack of information sharing among stakeholders.

Another issue in cross-actors information sharing is business competition among the tapioca plants themselves. It prevents them from sharing information about new waste treatments. Nowadays, many international organizations give funds or grants for carbon markets. Each tapioca plant makes a proposal to get funding by creating waste management strategies. Therefore, they keep information from competitors.

Based on the description of the role of stakeholders, the collaboration form among stakeholders can be arranged as seen in Figure 2.



Figure 2. The Collaboration Form of Innovation Adoption in Waste Management

V. CONCLUSION AND RECOMENDATION

When majority of research have discussed about the success of innovations and factors driving to adopt, the innovation resistance theory explains the reasons that impede adoption of innovation. Waste management represents one of the recent innovations in the environmental issues. Today, companies realize by adopting waste management, they reduce not only pollution but also gain benefits from waste [17]. However, the adoption rates of waste management in Lampung tapioca industry are significantly low. Environmental Compliance Public Disclosure Program (PROPER) result in 2014 has reported that there were only 14 tapioca processing plants out of 66 tapioca processing plants that met regulation compliances.

The initial findings found that stakeholders have concerns about waste management practices. The results showed that the usage barrier and value barrier are not the reason for tapioca industry for not adopting waste management. They are understand about this issues and have interest to adopt waste management practices into their daily process. Tapioca processing plants in Lampung Province aware about the benefits and value that they will get from the tapioca waste. For example, waste water for biogas, and tapioca slurry as raw material for biofilm. Though, risk, tradition and image barriers are significant barrier to waste management adoption among Lampung tapioca industry. This means that innovation users consider that the innovation is uncertainty process of trial and error, and time consumed. In this respect, stakeholders could develop collaborative action among stakeholders by sharing the role in innovation adoption process, based on the fact that innovation is an inter-disciplinary proccess. Government plays role as mediator and innovation broker by framing regulations, and controlling and monitoring waste management practices. Academics as an innovation producer can provide their experts through coaching or mentoring to help tapioca processing plant in adopting new innovation. While the tapioca processing plant itself become innovation user or funds provider. They have role to manage daily operation of waste management and to share the experience on the field.

The scope of this study was limited which leads to typical findings due to it is difficult to be generalized to other cases. However, the result would be important to provide the foundation for future research in the development of innovation adoption strategies. As a suggestion for further improvement, the study needs to use more respondent. For primary data collection, a quantitative method such as survey or questionnaire can be used to get more representative respondents. More detailed in process production will be needed in the background to get more understanding about the nature of tapioca industry. Another actor should be involved as a respondent to get their perspective.

REFERENCES

[1] Indonesian Bureau of Statistics 2014, *Production of plantation crops by province and crops*, viewed 20 February 2015, <http://www.bps.go.id/tnmn_pgn.php>.

[2] Mai, HNP 2006, *'Integrated treatment of tapioca processing industrial wastewater based on environmental bio-technology'*, Wageningen University.

[3] Thanwised, P, Wirojanagud, W & Reungsang, A 2012, *'Effect of hydraulic retention time on hydrogen production and chemical oxygen demand removal from tapioca wastewater using anaerobic mixed cultures in anaerobic baffled reactor (ABR)'*, International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, vol. 37, no. 20, p. 15503-15510.

[4] Meidiana, C & Gamse, T 2010, *'Development of waste management practices in Indonesia'*, European Journal of Scientific Research, vol. 40, no. 2, p. 199-210.

[5] Mansourighasri, A, Muhamad, N & Sulong, A 2012, *'Processing titanium foams using tapioca starch as a space holder'*, Journal of Materials Processing Technology, vol. 212, no. 1, p. 83-89.

[6] Ministry of Environment and Forestry 2014, *'Indonesian Environmental Compliance Public Diclosure Program: Ministry of Environment and Forestry Decree No. 180/2014'*, C. J. Kaufman, Rocky Mountain Research Lab., Boulder, CO, private communication, May 1995.

[7] Mol, A & Dieu, TTM 2006, *'Analysing and governing environmental flows: the case of Tra Co tapioca village, Vietnam'*, NJAS-Wageningen Journal of Life Sciences, vol. 53, no. 3, pp. 301-317.

[8] Setyawaty, R, Setiadi, T, Katayama-Hirayama, K, Kaneko, H, Hirayama, K, Indonesia, J & No, G 2012, *'Polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA) production from tapioca industrial wastewater treatment: Operating conditions and influence on PHA content'*.

[9] Johnson, M 2010, *'Barriers to innovation adoption: a study of e-markets'*, Industrial Management & Data Systems, vol. 110, no. 2, p. 157-174.

[10] Laukkanen, T, Sinkkonen, S, Kivijärvi, M & Laukkanen, P 2007, *'Innovation resistance among mature consumers'*, Journal of Consumer Marketing, vol. 24, no. 7, p. 419-427.

[11] Ramsey, E, Ibbotson, P & Mccole, P 2008, *'Factors that impact technology innovation adoption among Irish professional service sector SMEs*', International Journal of Innovation Management, vol. 12, no. 04, p. 629-654.

[12] Ram, S & Sheth, JN 1989, *'Consumer resistance to innovations: the marketing problem and its solutions'*, Journal of Consumer Marketing, vol. 6, no. 2, p. 5-14.

[13] Yin, RK 2013, *Case study research: Design and methods*, Sage publications.

[14] Sriroth, K, Chollakup, R, Chotineeranat, S, Piyachomkwan, K & Oates, CG 2000, *'Processing of cassava waste for improved biomass utilization'*, Bioresource Technology, vol. 71, no. 1, p. 63-69.
[15] Ogbo, FC 2010, *'Conversion of cassava wastes for biofertilizer production using phosphate solubilizing fungi'*, Bioresource Technology, vol. 101, no. 11, p. 4120-4124.
[16] Rogers, EM 2010, *Diffusion of innovations*, Simon and Schuster.
[17] Hart, SL & Dowell, G 2011, *'A natural-resource-based view of the firm: fifteen years after'*, Journal of Management, vol.37, p.1464-1479.