International Journal of **Ecological Economics & Statistics**

Volume 35 Number 4 October 2014

 \mathcal{A}

ISSN 0973-1385

International Journal of Ecological Economics & Statistics ISSN 0973-1385 (Print), ISSN 0973-7537 (Online)

 $\mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{F}}(t)$.

Editorial Board

Editors-in-Chief

Kaushal K. Srivastava ,

International Journal of Ecological Economics & Statistics, CESER Publications ' '

Tanuja Srivastava

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology, Roorkee, India

Associate Editors-In-Chief

Barry Solomon, Michigan Technological University, USA

Bernd Siebenhüner, Oldenburg University, Germany

Michael Ahlheim, University of Hohenheim, Germany

Peter Soderbaum, School of Business, Mälardalen University, Sweden

Roberto Roson, University Ca Foscari of Venice, Italy

Sergio Ramiro Peña-Neira, Universidad del Mar, Chile

Timothy Randhir, University of Massachusetts, USA

Wang Songpei, Chinese Academy of Social Sciences, China

ZhongXiang Zhang, East-West Center Research Program, USA

Editors

A.A. Romanowicz, European Environment Agency, Denmark Andreas Löschel, Centre for European Economic Research, Germany

Anna Spenceley, University of the Witwatersrand, South Africa

Arun K. Srinivasan, lndlana University Southeast, USA Audrey Mayer, Michigan

Technological University, USA

Dana Draghlcescu, City University of New York, USA

Ohulasl Blrundha, Madurai Kamaraj University, India

Gaurl·Shankar Guha, Arkansas State University, USA

Hosseln Arsham, University of Baltimore, USA

Hrltonenko Natalia, Prairie View A&M University, USA

John F. Griffith, Southern California Coastal Water Research Project, Canada

Jennifer Brown, University of Canterbury, New Zealand

Klaus Hubacek, University of Leeds, UK

Kozo Mayumi, University of Tokushima, Japan

Michelliny Bentes-Gama, Agroforestry Research Center of Embrapa, Brazil

Paul C. Sutton, University of Denver, USA

Paulo Augusto Lourenço Dias Nunes, WAVES-World Bank, Washington, USA

R. 8. Delllnk. Wagenlngen University, Netherlands

Ralph Hall, Stanford University, California, USA

Robert B. Richardson, Michigan State University, USA

s. Saeld Eslamian, Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran, Iran Shaleen Jain, University of Maine, USA

Stanislav Shmelev, Open University, UK

Surendra R. Devkota, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, New York, USA Tatiana Kluvankowa-Oravska,

Slovak Academy of Sciences, Slovakia Unai Pascual, University of

Cambridge. UK Valerie A. Luzadls, SUNY Environmental Science and Forestry, USA

Victor de Oliveira, University of Arkansas. USA

Wang Jinnan, Chinese Academy for Environmental Planning, China

Wen Wang, Hohai University, China Wendy Proctor, CSIRO land and Water, Australia

Yohannes K.G. Mariam, Washington U & T Commission, USA

Yuan Zengwei, Nanjing University, China

Yunchang Jeffrey Bor, Chinese Cultural University, Taiwan Yuri Yatsenko, Houston Baptist University, USA

International Journal of Ecological Economics & Statistics ISSN 0973-1385 (Print), ISSN 0973-7537 (Online)

Aims and Scope

The main aim of the International Journal of Ecological Economics and Statistics (UEES) is to publish refereed, well-written original research articles, and studies that describe the latest research and developments in ecological economics and ecological statistics. IJEES is an interdisciplinary research journal in the area of environmental economics and environmental statistics. It covers the many potential applications and connections to other areas of science and technology such as the use and development of mathematical/statistical modeling. IJEES is published four issues in a year from 2009 (earlier it was three issues in a year) i.e. winter, spring, summer and fall by CESER Publications.

Reviewed, Abstracted and Indexed: The IJEES is reviewed, abstracted and indexed by the Econlit, Journal of Economic Literature [JEL] (of American Economic Association); Current Index to Statistics (CIS) [The Current Index to Statistics (CIS) is a joint venture of the American Statistical Association and Institute of Mathematical Statistics, USA]; ERA: Excellence in Research for Australia (by Govt. of Australia); lndexCopernicus; International Statistical Institute (ISi, Netherlands) Journal Index; Academic keys; Ulrich's Periodicals Directory; Indian Science Abstracts; Newjour; JournalSeek; Getcited; Zentralblatt MATH; and Ca bell's Directory (of Cabell Publishing, USA).

Instructions for Authors

The authors should submit an electronic copy of their unpublished and original paper (with covering letter) to: Dr. Kaushal K. Srivastava, Managing Editor. International Journal of Ecological Economics & Statistics. email: eic_ijees@yahoo.com. It will be assume that authors will keep a copy of their paper. We strongly encourage electronic submission of manuscript by email.

Format of Manuscripts: Please send your paper as a single document including tables and figures. This means, it should be one Microsoft Word file and not a zipped file containing different files for text. tables. figures, etc. The paper should confirm to the following:

- Covering letter: A cover letter must accompany the manuscript as a part of an e-mail message. A covering letter should identify the person (with address, telephone numbers and email address) responsible for correspondence concerning manuscripts.
- Paper should contain the title, author(s) name, affiliation(s), mailing address, email
- Abstract describing the context and scope of the paper
- Keywords
- Mathematics Subject Classification
- Main text
- References
- All pages should be without page number. header text and footer text

The journal first priority of format is LaTeX. However. author(s) are also welcome to submit their paper in Microsoft Word format. The journal format is available for download at: http://ceser.in/ceserp/index.php/ ijees/about/submissions. A covering letter should identify the person (with address. telephone numbers and email address) responsible for correspondence concerning manuscripts.

In accordance with usual practice, papers previously published and are under consideration for publication elsewhere cannot be accepted, and authors must agree not to publish accepted papers elsewhere without the prior permission of Journal. If previously published tables, illustrations or more than 50 words of text are to be included, then the copyright holder's written permission must be obtained. Copies of any such permission letters should be enclosed with the paper. All manuscripts are subject to peer review. If revisions are required for acceptance of a manuscript, one copy of the revised manuscript should be submitted. together with the Revised Version on disk and good quality figures and illustrations.

The views and contents of the articles (papers) printed in the issue(s) herein do not reflect the official views of "International Journal of Applied Mathematics & Statistics" and Editors of the journal. The concern author(s) is answerable for the correctness and authenticity of article.

The corresponding author will receive a PDF file of the article via email. This file is for personal use only and may not be copied and disseminated in any form without prior written permission from journal. Print copy of the journal will be dispatch to subscribers only. A copy of single issue of journal is available on a special discount to the authors only. Furthermore, you are welcome to support the journal by requesting that your library to subscribe the journal, or by taking out an individual subscription.

Preparation of the manuscript: Papers must be clearly written in English. The paper should not normally exceed 6000 words. All sections of the manuscript should be typed on one side of the paper only. In addition to the full title of the paper, authors should supply a running title, of less than 40 characters, and up to five keywords.

Research papers should be accompanied by an abstract, which will appear in front of the main body of the text. It should be written in complete sentences and should summarize the aims, methods, results and conclusions in less than 250 words. The abstract should be comprehensible to readers before they read the paper, and abbreviations citations and mathematical equations/notations should be avoided.

Authors are responsible for the accuracy of references. Within the text, references should be cited by author's name(s) and year of publication (where there are more than two authors please use et al.). Published articles and those in press (state the journal which has accepted them and enclose a copy of the manuscript) may be included. Citations should be typed at the end of the manuscript in alphabetical order, with authors' surnames and initials inverted. References should include, in the following order: authors' names, year, paper title, journal title, volume number, issue number, inclusive page numbers and (for books only) name and address of publishers. References should therefore be listed as follows:

Natterer, F., 1986, The Mathematics of Computerized Tomography, John Wiley & Sons, New York. Herman, G.T .. 1972, Two Direct Methods for Reconstructing Pictures from their Projections: A Comparative Study, Computer Graphics and Image Processing, 1, 123· 144.

Dasgupta. P., 2003, Population, Poverty, and the Natural Environment. in Mäler K.-G. and Vincent, J. (Eds.), Handbook of Environmental and Resource Economics, Amsterdam: North Holland. ·

Levin, S.A., Grenfell, B., Hastings, A., and Perelson. A.S. 1997, Mathematical and Computational Challenges in Population Biology and Ecosystem Science, Science, 275, 334-343.

Tables should be self-explanatory and should include a brief descriptive title. Illustrations should be clearly lettered and of the highest quality. Acknowledgements and Footnotes should be included at the end of the text. Any footnote should be identified by superscripted numbers.

Privacy Statement and Author Fees: The names and email addresses entered in this journal site will be used exclusively for the stated purposes of this journal and will not be made available for any other purpose or to any other party. There are no page charges to individuals or institutions for contributions.

Subscription

Following are the annual subscription fee of International Journal of Ecological Economics and Statistics. All Subscriptions are entered on an annual basis, i.e. January to December and are subject to renewal in subsequent years. Subscription Fees are subject to change without notice.

How to Pay Subscriptions? Subscriptions Fee is universal and payable in advance. Subscribers are requested to send payment with their order. Issues will only be sent on receipt of payment, Subscriptions are entered on an annual basis and may≈be paid US\$/Euro€ or in equivalent amount in Indian Rupees (of US\$/Euro€) for USA and India only. For other countries fees in Euro€ apply. Payment can be made by Bank Wire Transfer or NEFT Transfer only. All payments should be made to "CESER PUBLICATIONS" at Roorkee, India. Send your subscription (or subscription inquiry) to the P&S Editor (Email:contact@ceserp.com; subsc@ceser.in; eic.ijees@yahoo.com). Please always mentioned in Email Subject as "CESERP Subscription for Journal."

Claims and Cancellations: Claims for issues not received should be made in writing within three months of the date of publication. Cancellations: no refunds will be made after the first issue of the journal for the year has been dispatched. "CESER PUBLICATIONS" have right to accept or reject any subscription order. All disputes are subject to Roorkee (Roorkee, India) jurisdiction only.

International Journal of Ecological Economics & Statistics **CESER Publications** Post Box No. 115, Roorkee 247667, India Email: kks_ceser@yahoo.com Web: http://www.ceser.in/ceserp/index.php/ijees/index

International Journal of Ecological Economics & Statistics (IJEES) Volume. 35, Issue No. 4; Year 2014; Int. J. Ecol. Econ. Stat.; ISSN 0973-1385 (Print), ISSN 0973-7537 (Online) Copyright© 2014, CESER Publications

Connectivity Problem of Wildlife Conservation in Sumatra: A Graph Theory Application

Farida Hanum' Nur Wahyuni' Toni Bakhtiar"

Department of Mathematics. Boger Agricultural University (IPB). JI. Meranti, Kampus IPB Darmaga, Begor 16680. Indonesia

¹faridahanum00@yahoo.com, ²younieezz@yahoo.co.id, ³tonibakhtiar@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

In this paper, the problem of connectivity of patchy conservation sites was approached by the use of graph theory. Determination of the so-called core sites was subsequently conducted by establishing the cover areas formulated in the framework of integer linear programming, connecting the unconnected cover areas by application of Dijkstra algorithm and heuristically pruning the unused covers to secure the minimum connected cover ar eas. An illustrative example of this method describes the wildlife conservation in Sumatra. The connectivity problem of 20 districts in 3 provinces of Jambi. Riau and West Sumatra inhabited by 111 species of wildlife were considered.

Keywords: Connectivity problem, covering·connecting-pruning, Dijkstra algorithm, wildlife conservation, Sumatra.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C90, 92D40.

1 Introduction

Connectivity of networks is one of the most fundamental and useful notions for analyzing var· ious types of network problems and now is phrased in metapopulation theory. Connectivity in conservation biology can be defined as the degree to which organisms can move through the landscape, commonly measured either by dispersal rate or dispersal probability In other words, connectivity can be viewed as a problem of connecting territories of great biological importance, i.e., corridors creation problem. At intermediate time scales connectivity affects migration and persistence of metapopulations (Ferreras, 2001) and at the largest time scales it influences the ability of species to expand or alter their range in response to climate change (Opdam and Wascher, 2004). Habitat connectivity is especially important when habitat is rare, fragmented, or otherwise widely distributed and can be a critical component of reserve design (Minor and Urban, 2008).

Researches on the connectivity problem are some. (Gurrutxaga et al., 2011) studied the connectivity of protected area networks based on key elements located in strategic positions within the landscape. Recent methodological developments. deriving from the probability of connec· tivity index, was applied to evaluate the role of both individual protected areas and links in the

> **www .ceserp. con1/cp-jour** www.ceser.in/ijees.html www.ceserpublications.com

International Journal of Ecological Econamlcs & Statistics

intermediate landscape matrix as providers of connectivity between the rest ol the sites in the network. (Minor and Urban, 2008) used graph theory to characterize multiple aspects of landscape connectivity in a songbirds habitat network in the North Carolina Piedmont. (Pascual-Hortal and Saura, 2008) systematically compared a set of ten graph-based connectivity indices, evaluating their reaction to different types of change that can occur in the landscape and their effectiveness and proposed a new index that achieves all the properties of an ideal index. Mathematical formulations and solution techniques for a variant of the connected subgraph problem in wildlife conservation subject to a budget constraint on the total cost was investigated by (Dilkina and Gomes, 2010). Several mixed-integer formulations for enforcing the subgraph connectivity requirement were proposed. A paper by (Nagamochi. 2004) surveys the recent progress on the graph algorithms for solving network connectivity problems. One discussed the optimization strategy of network connection is (Lu. 2013). A review on recent applications of graph model and network theory to habitat patches in landscape mosaics is provided by (Urban et al., 2009). A book that focuses on practical approaches. concepts. and tools to model and conserve wildlife in large landscapes is (Millspaugh and Thompson, 2009).

The current paper describes the application of graph theory in connectivity problem of wildlife conservation. We consider the problem of determining the minimum connected core sites in Sumatra, where 10 species of wildlife inhabited in 20 districts in Jambi. Riau and West Sumatra provinces are considered. We shall follow the approach developed by (Cerdeira et al., 2005), where the minimum connected cover areas were determined by using integer linear programming, Dijkstra algorithm and heuristic pruning method.

2 Graph Model of Connectivity Problem

2.1 Notation

The following notation can be found in most standard textbooks on graph theory and network flows, e.g., (Ahuja et al., 1993; Diestel, 2000). Graph G is defined as an ordered pair of sets (V, E) , where V is set of *nodes* and F is a set of 2-element of V, called *edges.* A graph has order n if its number of nodes is n , written by $\langle G \rangle = n$. Graphs are finite or infinite according to their order. A node v is incident with an edge v if $v \in C$. Two nodes u, v of G are adjacent. or neighbors, if (u, v) is an edge of G. It is denoted by $A(v)$ the set of all adjacent nodes of v_i . Directed graph is an ordered pair of sets (\tilde{V}, \tilde{E}) , where V is set of nodes and \tilde{E} is a set of ordered pairs of two nodes. A graph $G' = (V', E')$ is said to be a subgraph of graph $G = (V, E)$ if $V' \subseteq V$ and $E' \subseteq E$. Multigraph is a graph where multiple edges between the two nodes are allowed, e.g., set of edges E becomes a multiset. Weighted graph is a graph where each edge is bound with a certain value, called weights. Those weights can be costs, profits. lengths of the edges etc. A path in a graph is a sequence of distinct vertices (r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n) , such that (v_{i+1}, v_i) is an edge, for all $i = 2, \ldots, n$. The length of a path is the number of edges in the path. A shortest path is a path of minimum length. A graph is connected if there is a path from each node to any other node in the graph.

International Journal of Ecological Economics & Statistics

Let suppose $V := \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ and $C := \{C_1, C_2, ..., C_k\}$, where $C_i \subseteq V$ for $i \in I$ i= $\{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$. Sets C_i , where $i \in T^{\frac{d}{2} + \frac{1}{2}}$ *I*, are covers of set *V* if they satisfy

$$
\bigcup_{i \in P} C_i = V. \tag{2.1}
$$

If, for instance, $V = \{a,b,c,d,e,f,g\}$ and $C = \{C_1, \ldots, C_5\}$, where $C_1 = \{a,b,g\}$, C_2 $\{a,c,g\}, C_3 = \{b,c,g\}, C_4 = \{d,c,f\}$ and $C_5 = \{a,c,f\}$, then $\{C_1, C_3, C_5\}$ is one of covers of V since $C_1 \cup C_4 \cup C_5 = V$ as required by (2.1). Suppose that a weight $w_i > 0$ is attached to each C_i . The set covering problem is a problem of determining covers with minimum weight. and can be formulated as a linear programming:

$$
\min \sum_{i=1}^{k} w_i x_i \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{ij} x_i \ge 1, \quad j = 1, \dots, n. \tag{2.2}
$$

where $x_i = 1$ if C_i is a cover of V for $i = 1, ..., k$, otherwise $x_i = 0$ and $u_{ij} = 1$ if $j \in C$. otherwise $a_{ij} = 0$. Here *n* denotes the number of elements in V . If we set $w_i = 1$ ($i = 1, \ldots, k$). the minimum covering set for V of previous example is $\{C, C, C\}$ with minimum weight ...

Connectivity problem of wildlife conservation, which involves a number of conservation sites and wildlife species, can be loosely defined as a problem of selecting a fewest number of connected sites that cover all species.

2.2 Graph Model

Consider a wildlife conservation area which consists of n sites. In graph theory notation, these sites are denoted by nodes and any two connected nodes are denoted by two adjacent nodes. where road connecting them is an edge. Suppose that there are m species inhabited in the area. Based on the work of (Cerdeira et al., 2005). connectivity problem in the area is solved. in three steps: covering. connecting and pruning.

2.2.1 Covering

For $i = 1, \ldots, n$, define the following binary decision variables:

$$
x_i = \begin{cases} 1 & ; \text{ if sites } i \text{ is selected} \\ 0 & ; \text{ if otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$
 (2.3)

The covering problem is then aimed to minimize the number of selected sites.

$$
\min \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i x_i - s.t. \quad \sum_{i \in K_j} x_i \ge 1, \quad j = 1, \dots, m \tag{2.4}
$$

where constraints in (2.4) ensure that each species populates at least in one selected site. Here K_j ($j = 1, ..., m$) denotes the set of sites where species j inhabited. If the optimal covering set is connected then the process is stopped. otherwise we proceed to the second step for connecting.

2.2.2 Connecting

Connecting of unconnected covers is undertaken by transforming the representing unconnected graph into connected one. In this stage. the shortest path of the respecting uncon· nected graph should be sought by Dijkstra algorithm (Dijkstra, 1959). For a given source node v_s in the graph, the algorithm finds the path with lowest cost, i.e., the shortest path, between that nodes and every other node v_i . The algorithm divides the nodes into permanently labeled and temporarily labeled nodes. The distance label to any permanent node represents the shortest distance from the source to that node. For any temporary node. the distance label is an upper bound on the shortest path distance to that node. The basic idea of the algorithm is to fan out from node υ , and permanently label nodes in the order of their distances from node v_{st} . Dijkstra's algorithm will assign some initial (total) distance label d and will try to improve them step by step (Ahuja et al., 1993):

- 1. distance label of source node: $d(v_s) = 0$.
- 2. for all $v_i \in V \setminus \{v_s\}$ do $d(v_i) = \infty$.
- 3. initial set of visited node: $S = \emptyset$.
- 4. initial set of unvisited node: $Q = V$.
- 5. while $Q \neq \emptyset$ do r^* $\min_{x \in \Omega} d(r_i)$.
- 6. $S = S \cup \{r^*\}$ and $Q = Q \cup \{r^*\}$.

7. for all $v_i \in A(v^*)$ do if $d(v_i) > d(v^*) + \omega(v^*, v_i)$ then $d(v_i) = d(v^*) + \omega(v^*, v_i)$.

8. return.

Steps 1 and 2 assign to permanent label 0 for source node v_s and each other node v_s to temporary label ∞ . Steps 3 and 4 initially create an empty set of visited nodes *S* and that of unvisited nodes Q consisting of all the nodes. While Q is not empty, Step 5 selects an element v^* of Q with minimum distance. Step 6 updates S and Q with respect to v^* . By Step 7 we calculate the distance label for each node v_i , which is adjacent to v^* by considering its weight $\omega(r^*, r_i)$. If a new shortest path is found, we replace $d(r^*)$ with the new one. If the destination node has been marked visited or if the smallest tentative distance among the nodes in Q is \sim , then stop. The algorithm has finished. Select the unvisited node that is marked with the smallest tentative distance, and set it as the new v^* then go back to Step 6.

2.2.3 Pruning

In this step, Q should be pruned to become a minimal connected cover by removing all unused nodes. The procedure consists of two step (Cerdeira et al., 2005):

- 1. This step comprises of three processes:
	- (a) Identify neighborhoods of all nodes in Q .

Table 1 : Conservation sites.

- (b) Pick a node v_i , in () and mark all nodes adjacent to v_i .
- (c) Temporarily remove v_i , and inspect remaining nodes in Q . If the set of remaining nodes is a cover and connected graph, then permanently remove v_i , and return to Step 1(b). Otherwise, proceed to Step 2.
- 2. In this step we inspect nodes of Q that remain unchecked by Step 1 and then proceed the pruning procedure.
	- (a) Select an unchecked node in Q and mark all adjacent nodes.
	- (b) Temporarily remove one marked node v_i , and inspect remaining nodes in Q . If the set of remaining nodes is a cover and its graph is connected. then permanently remove v_i , and return to Step 2(a). Otherwise, v_i cannot be removed and stop the process. Q is minimum connected cover.

3 Connectivity Problem of Wildlife Conservation in Sumatra

To illustrate our model we consider a connectivity problem of wildlife conservation in Sumatra. Indonesia. We consider a conservation area spanned by 20 sites or districts in 3 provinces as homes for 10 wildlife species (thus we have $n = 20$ and $m = 10$), where its map and graph representation are provided by Figure 1. The list of districts is given by Table 1 and that lor species including their habitats are provided by Table 2. In the graph conservation sites are denoted by numbered nodes following Table 1. Weight between two adjacent nodes represents the distance between sites in kilometers.

To determine cover sites we solve optimization problem (2.4). Since there are 111 species considered then we have 10 constrains inside the problem. Constraint related to golden cat $(j = 5)$, for instance, can be expressed as follows:

$$
\sum_{i \in K_5} x_i \ge 1 \iff x_1 + x_2 + x_{15} + x_{16} + x_{17} \ge 1.
$$

Elements of K_{J} can be found in the last column of Table 2. With no relative importance among sites, i.e., $m_i = 1$ for all $i = 1, 2, ..., 20$, optimal solutions of the problem are $r_i \ge 1$ for $i \in \{2, 19\}$ and $x_i = 0$ otherwise, which mean that site 2 (Merangin) and site 19 (Tanah Datar) constitute as cover sites for the conservation area. It is easy to verify that all species can be found alive in these two sites. However these cover sites are unconnected and we should connect them

Figure 1: Conservation area and its corresponding graph.

first by seeking the shortest path in between. By applying Dijkstra algorithm we may find all the shortest paths from site 2 to other sites as depicted by Figure 2 (left). The shortest path between Merangin and Tanah Datar is shown by blackened path 2-8-17-19 with distance of 215 km. In the notion of Dijkstra algorithm we have $Q = \{2, 8, 17, 19\}$.

The last stage of the works is then to check whether the graph $2 - 8 - 17 - 19$ provides a connected cover with minimum distance or not. Initial step of pruning is to identify the adjacency set of each node in Q and we have $A(2) = \{8\}, A(8) = \{2, 17\}, A(17) = \{8, 19\}$ and $A(19) = \{17\}.$ If we temporarily remove node 2 from the list then we found that the set of remaining nodes {8, 17, 19} is not a cover since hawk is not alive here. Thus node 2 is unremovable. In the second step of pruning, pick node 19 and temporarily remove it from the list. Since the set of remaining nodes {2, 8, 17} forms a cover then node 19 can be permanently pruned. Over the new set $\{2, 8, 17\}$, by removing node 17 we discovered that $\{2, 8\}$ is a cover and node 17 can also be permanently pruned. The set of remaining nodes $\{2, 8\}$ therefore constitutes the connected cover with minimum distance, indicating that Merangin and Bungo, located 61 km apart, are conservation sites with the highes diversity, i.e., core sites, as all species reside in these areas.

International Journal of 'Ecological Economics & Statistics

Figure 2: The shortest path and the Steiner tree.

4 Concluding Remarks

It has been shown that covering-connecting-pruning works well in determining core sites. i.e., connected cover sites with minimum distance, of wildlife conservation area. The effectiveness of this method is worthwhile when we consider complex cases where more sites and more species considered. Another interesting look relates to spatial consideration. where we may impose the possibility selected core sites located in at least two different provinces. Note that Merangin and Bungo obtained in previous problem are both located in Jambi province. To do this we may first modify weights w_i in (2.4) such that some sites are more important than others. For example, put $w_0 = w_{11} = w_{15} = 0$ and $w_i > 1$ otherwise. It means that Tebo (in Jambi province), Pelalawan (in Riau Province) and Pesisir Selatan (in West Sumatra province) have more importance to be considered as covers. Covering by integer linear programming (2.4) in fact provides these three sites as covers. Since there are more than two nodes lo be connected, we now have the so-called Steiner tree problem. i.e., to find a tree of $G \times (1 + i)$ that spans $H \subseteq V$ with minimum total distance on its edges. In this case $H = \{9, 11, 15\}$. Figure 2 (right) depicts the Steiner tree of the problem, which can be obtained using algorithm developed by (Kou et al., 1981; Nascimento et al., 2012). However, pruning procedure gives 11·17-l(i as the connected covers with minimum distance of"' km along the tree. Now the core sites locate in two provinces: Kuantan Singingi in Riau: Solak and Sawahlunto in Wesl Sumatra.

References

- Ahuja, R.K., T.L. Magnanti and J.B. Orlin. 1993. Network Flows: Theory. Algorithms. and Applications, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall.
- Cerdeira, J.O., K.J. Gaston and L.S. Pinto, 2005. Connectivity in priority area selection for conservation, Environmental Modeling and Assessment. 10: 183-192.

Diestel, R., 2000. Graph Theory, New York: Springer-Verlag.

International Journal of Ecological Economics & Statistics

- Dijkstra, E.W., 1959. A note on two problems,in connexion with graphs. Numerische Mathe· matik, 1: 269-271.
- Dilkina, B. and C.P. Gomes, 2010. Solving connected subgraph problems in wildlife conservation, in Integration of Al and OR techniques in constraint programming for combinatorial optimization problems, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 6140: 102-116.
- Ferreras, P., 2001. Landscape structure and asymmetrical inter-patch connectivity in a metapopulation of the endangered Iberian Iynx. Biological Conservation, 100: 125-136
- Gurrutxaga, M., L. Rubio and S. Saura. 2011. Key connectors in protected forest area networks and the impact of highways: A transnational case study from the Cantabrian Range to the Western Alps (SW Europe), Landscape and Urban Planning. 101: 310-320.
- Kou, L., G. Markowsky and L. Berman, 1981. A fast algorithm for Steiner trees. Acta lnlormat· ica. 15: 141-145.
- Lu, Y., 2013. Optimization strategy of network connection based on wireless communication technology, International Journal of Applied Mathematics and Statistics. 49(19): 507-520.
- Millspaugh, J.J. and F.R. Thompson, 2009. Model for Planning Wildlife Conservation in large landscapes, London: Elsevier.
- Minor, E.S. and D.L. Urban, 2008. A graph-theory framework for evaluating landscape connect tivity and conservation planning, Conservation Biology, 22(2): 297-307.
- Nagamochi, H., 2004. Graph algorithms for network connectivity problems, *Journal of the Op*erations Research Society of Japan, 47(4): 199-223.
- Nascimento, M.Z., V.R. Batista and W.R. Coimbra. 2012. An interactive programme for Steiner trees, arXiv, 1210:7788v1, 29 October 2012.
- Opdam, P and D. Wascher, 2004. Climate change meets habitat fragmentation: linking land· scape and biogeographical scale levels in research and conservation. Biological Conservation, 117: 285-297.
- Pascual·Hortal, L. and S. Saura, 2006. Comparison and development of new graph-based landscape connectivity indices: towards the priorization of habitat palches and corridors for conservation, Landscape Ecology, 21: 959-967.
- Urban, D.L., E.S. Minor, E.A. Treml and R.S. Schick. 2009. Graph models of habitat mosaics. Ecology Letters, 12: 260-273.

÷

- $\frac{1}{2}$
-
-
- \mathcal{L}_{max} and \mathcal{L}_{max} . The \mathcal{L}_{max}
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
- $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1$
-
- $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2}d\mu_{\rm{max}}\,.$
	-
	-
	-
- $\label{eq:2.1} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})) = \math$
	-
	-
- $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{$
	-
	-
	-
	-
	- -
	-
	-
	- $\langle \phi \rangle$

International Journal of Ecological Economics & Statistics (IJEES)

ISSN 0973-1385(Print);1SSN 0973-7537 (Online)

Contents·

