PURIFICATION OF TWIN-SCREW EXTRUDER-PRESSED SUNFLOWER OIL USING POLYETHERSULFONE ULTRAFILTRATION MEMBRANES

L Amalia Kartika

Department of Agroindustrial Technology FATETA-IPB c-mail address ikatk@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

This study focused on the purification \pounds crude sunflower oil wing ultrafiltration membranes. The experiment was conducted with twin-screw extruder-pressed sunflower oil and three polyethersulfone (PES) membranes cassette with a molecular weight cut-off (MWCO) \pounds 10, 30 and 50 kDa and a permeationarea of 0.09 m². Several tests were carried out to define the best performance (permeate flux, phospholipids rejection, free fatty acid and color reductions) by studying the influence \pounds operating conditions transmembrane pressure, temperature and tangential flow rate.

Permeate flux was low for all membranes rested but remained stable for a long period No long-term fouling appeared during the membrane processing. The permeate flux increased with MWCO \notin membrane, temperature, transmembrane pressure and tangential flow rate. The highest permeate flux \notin 26 l/m².h was obtained under operating conditions \notin 3.5 bar, 50°C and 0.1 m/s with membrane of 50 kDa. Furthermore, ultrafiltration membranes were found to have a positive effect on phospholipids and undesirable components removals, particularly with membrane of 10 and 30 kDa.

Keyword: Ultrafiltration; Polyethersulfone; Sunflower oil; Phospholipids; Purification

INTRODUCTION

Industrial oil extraction from oleaginous seeds is commonly realized through mechanical pressing with a hydraulic or single expeller press, followed by solvent extraction. Today, extensive studies on continuous oil extraction of oilseeds using a twin-screw extruder to generate oil have been successfully carried out (Guyomard, 1994; Bouvier and Guyomard, 1997; Lacaze-Dufaure et al., 1999a, 1999b; Amalia Kartika et al., 2003a, 2003b, 2004a, 2005, 2006; Amalia Kartika, 2005). Twin-screw extruder is gradually more used for production of a wide variety of food products due to its great capability to conduct diverse functions and processes.

Despite the quality of pressed all is usually better than the quality of oil obtained from solvent extraction, a refining process is required to remove undesirable components that impact on flavour, odour and appearance. The main contaminants and impurities are phospholipids, pigments, free fatty acids (FFA) and oxidation products. Conventional edible oil refining is commonly realized through many steps including degumming, neutralisation, bleaching and deodorisation. These processes consume large amount of energy, water and chemical with much loss of neutral oil, nutrients and natural antioxidants, and the creation of large amount of undesired by products (Snape and Nakajima, 1996).

Owing to its environmental benefits and other advantages including the simplicity of the processes, the gentle nature of the separation, the usual low energy requirement, no addition of chemicals, easy change of scale and low capital and operating cost (Wankat, 1990), the membrane technology has showed a promising alternative for oil refining (Iwama, 1987; Lin et al., 1997; Subramanian and Nakajima, 1997; Subramanian et al., 1998a, 1998b, 2001a; Ong et al., 1999; Ochoa et al., 2001; Pagliero et al., 2001,2004; In-Chul Kim et al., 2002; Alicieo et al., 2002; Koris and Vatai, 2002; Miyagi et al., 2003; Amalia Kartika et al., 2003c, 2003d, 2004b; Hafidi et al., 2003, 2004, 2005a, 2005b, 2005c; Bhosle et al., 2005; Artz et al., 2005). The application of this technology can furthermore retain nutrients and other desirable components in oil.

Among the steps of oil refining, the application of oil degumming using membrane technology has attracted attention from a few researchers (Subramanian and Nakajima, 1997; Subramanian et al., 1998a; Ong et al., 1999; Ochoa et al., 2001; In-Chul Kim et al., 2002; Koris and Vatai, 2002: Pagliero et al., 2001, 2004). It concerns the elimination of the phospholipids, also called gums, contained in oil. Classic oil degumming *is* usually realized by gums/ phospholipids precipitation using a small quantity of water cr steam (2-4%) and separation by centrifugation. Most of the studies focused on gum removal from an oil/organic solvent mixture (Iwama, 1987; Snape and Nakajima, 1996; Kwiatkowski and Cheryan, 2005), but only few studies were related to oil degumming without organic solvent. The major limitation in this case comes from low permeate flux as a consequence of high oil viscosity.

The permeate flux can be improved by optimizing operating parameters (transmembrane pressure, temperature, feed velocity) and by applications of tangential flow and suitable membrane module. In process application, tangential or crossflow filtration is most often used due to its ability to create turbulence at the membrane surface and minimize concentration polarization and fouling. In addition, this system can be well adapted when it is applied at different membrane module designs.

The most common designs include flat sheet, spiral wound, tubular, capillary and hollow fiber. Flat sheet, tubular and capillary designs are preferred for tangential filtration of suspensions containing macromolecules and these have intermediate to very low tendency to clog and exhibit high permeation fluxes (Belfort et al., 1994).

The objective of this work was to evaluate ultrafiltration different membranes under different operating conditions for twin-screw extruder-pressed sunflower oils purification. The performance of ultrafiltration membrane was characterized with the permeate flux, the phosphotipids rejection but also the color and the free fatty acid removal. The influence of operating conditions (transmembrane pressure, temperature, tangential flow rate) on these parameters was investigated with three polyethersulfone(PES) membranes cassette with a molecular weight cut-off (MWCO) of 10, 30 and 50 kDa and a permeation area of 0.09 m^2 .

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sunflower oils and chemicals

Crude sunflower oils were produced by pressing oleic sunflower seeds in a CLEXTRAL BC 45 co-rotating twin screw extruder. The characteristic of the crude oils extracted was presented in Table 1. All solvent and chemicals were analytical grades that were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich, Fluka, Prolabo and ICS.

Membrane and membrane module

Experiments were conducted using three membranes from PALL-FILTRON with a

molecular weight cut-off (MWCO) of 10.30 and 50 kDa. The membranes were in a cassette configuration which is composed of multiple layers of polyethersulfone (PES) membranes with polyester separators (screens) placed between them. The membranes have a total permeation area of 0.09 m². The initial pure water permeability of 10, 30 and 50 kDa membranes was respectively 174, 534 and 540 $l/m^2.h.bar.$

Table	1.	Characteristic	of	crude	twin-screw
extrud	Cable 1. Characteristic of crude twin-screw extruder-pressed sunflower oil oil				

Parameters	Value		
Acid value (mg KOH/ g oil)	1.55 - 2.60		
Phosphorus content (mg/kg)	16 - 76		
Phospholipids content (mg/kg)	486 - 2288		
Color (CIE):	ĺ		
L* (lightness)	79 - 88		
a* (green-red color)	-0.8 - (- 0.5)		
b* (blue-yellow color)	58 - 77		

Experimental

Tangential ultrafiltration was conducted with a diaphragm pump (QUATRO S/N: 1270, PALL-FILTRON) for the PALL membranes under continuous recycling permeate (Figure 1). The transmembrane pressure (TMP) was calculated from following relationship:

 $[(P_{feed} + P_{resentate})/2] - P_{permeture}$



Figure 1. Schematic flow diagram of membrane filtration

The feed tank was charged with 5 liters of the crude sunflower oil and regulated at the desired temperature. Influence of MWCO membrane and operating parameters including temperature, transmembrane pressure and 59 tangential flow rate on ultrafiltration performance were evaluated by comparing permeate flux, phospholipids rejection, color and free fatty acid reduction. In this study, the membrane retention was calculated from following relationship:

R ('A) = $(I - C_p/C_p) \times 100$ where C_p and C_f are phospholipids concentration in permeate and feed, respectively.

The membranes were conditioned in glycerol for 90 minutes and then soaked overnight in refined sunflower oil to reduce the moisture content of membrane before its introduction into the module. To ensure a stable permeate flow, the tangential ultrafiltration was operated for 60 minutes before processing the actual samples. Upon achieving steady operation, permeate samples were immediately collected over certain period. Permeate flow was measured using graduated cylinder. To maintain the performance of the membrane, the membrane was cleaned using sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) detergent after the test and then rinsed with water.

The tangential flow rate of membrane cassette was calculated considering the feed channel to be completely open. This is therefore a rough approximation since we should have to consider the screen *in* the channel. However, the hydrodynamic description is not yet elucidated and can not be introduced at this time.

Analysis

The phosphorus content was measured using the AOCS method Ca 12-55 and the total phospholipids obtained by multiplying phosphorus content by 30 The free fatty acids content was analyzed using the French normalized method (NF T60 204). The color was determined by CIE method with а spectrocolorimeter (Minolta Seri CM-500i), The color values measured are presented as L*, a* and b* corresponding to lightness, the green-red and the blueyellow components, respectively. Those results are the average of five consecutive measurements.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Variation with time

The ultrafiltration was conducted with membrane of 10 kDa under operating conditions of 1.8 - 3.0 bar, 23° C and 0.026 m/s. As shown in Figure 2, the permeate flux did not decrease with filtration time. No long term fouling was observed. However, the permeate flux was low compare to water permeability of membrane (174 l/m².h.bar). The steady state permeate flux

is obtained less than 60 minutes, as observed by Nabi et al. (2000), Pagliero et al. (2001) and Hafidi et al. (2005a, 2005b).



Figure 2. Permeate flux as a function of time for membrane of 10 kDa

Factors affecting permeate flux

The results (Figure 3) indicate that the permeate flux increased with the transmembrane pressure for all membranes tested. There was no compaction of membranes for the transmembrane pressure in the range tested in this study, hence the permeate flux increased rapidly as observed by Lin et al. (1 997) and Nabi et al. (2000). At a very low pressure, the permeate flux is low, so the effect of concentration polarization is small and a gel layer does not form on the membrane surface. As the applied pressure is increased. fhe concentration polarization and the concentration of retained solutes at the membrane surface increase as the flux rises. If the pressure is increased further, concentration polarization becomes enough for the retained solutes at the membrane surface to reach the gel concentration and form the secondary barrier layer. This is the limiting flux for the membrane (Baker, 2000), which was not reached under the operating conditions tested in this study.



Figure 3. Influence of transmembrane pressure on permeate flux as function of MWCO of membranes (50° C and 0.04 m/s)

The permeate flux of the 30 and 50 kDa membranes was higher than the 10 kDa membrane. The difference in pore size distribution, as well as the membrane-solute interaction, caused the variation in permeate flux. As MWCO of 30 and 50 kDa membranes were higher than 10 kDa membrane, in consequence the triglycerides release easily through both these membranes. In addition, the interaction occurring between the oil components, particularly the phospholipids, and the membranes and the structure formed by oil components at membrane surfaces or within the pores changed physicochemical properties of membrane and caused an increase in membrane resistance. Higher MWCO of membranes should reduce the membrane resistance and thus allows better permeate flux.

Figure 4 shows that an increase in temperature enhanced the permeate flux of 30 and 50 kDa membranes while the permeate flux of 10 kDa membrane remained relatively stable with the temperature. In the pressure-controlled region, the influence of temperature on permeate flux is due to its effect on fluid density and viscosity (In-Chul Kim et al., 2002). Diffusivity also increases with temperature, e.g. phospholipids diffusivity increases. Increasing the temperature reduces the feed viscosity which increases the convective flow rate and reduces polarization concentration at membrane surface, thus increasing the permeate flux, as described by Pagliero et al. (2001), Subramanian et al. (2001 a) and Amalia Kartika et al. (2003c, 2003d, According to the Darcy's law, 2004b). decreasing of permeate viscosity contributes also to the increased permeate flux. In this work, the reduction of the feed viscosity is up to 14% for an increase of 5°C. Fw all these reasons, it is best to operate the ultrafiltration of crude sunflower oils at the highest possible temperature consistent with the limits of the feed solution and the membrane, particularly for membrane of 30 and 50 kDa.



Figure 4. Influence of temperature on permeate flux as function of MWCO of membranes (4.5 bar and 0.04 m/s)

A high permeate flux was obtained when the tangential flow rate increased, as observed in Figure 5. At high tangential flow rate, agitation and mixing of the fluid near the membrane surface sweep away the accumulated solutes and reduce the thickness of the boundary laver on the membrane surface (In-Chul Kim et al., 2002). The high tangential flow rate limits therefore the deposition and the formation of a cake layer on the membrane surface and allow better permeate flux, as observed on ultrafiltration of crude sunflower ails conducted with tangential flow rate of 0.04 - 0.07 m/s for membrane of 30 and 50 kDa. and 0.026 - 0.033 m/s for membrane of 10 kDa. However, further increases in tangential flow rate did not enhance permeate flux. This phenomenon could be attributed to the effect of the tangential flaw rate being limited in the close vicinity of the membrane and therefore no longer affecting the concentration of solutes or particles at the oil/membrane interfaces (Pioch et al., 1998). In other words, the concentration polarization layer has already reached a limit value (thickness) at 0.07 m/s for membrane of 30 and 50 kDa and 0,033 m/s for membrane of 10 kDa.



Figure 5. Influence of tangential flow rate on permeate flux as function of MWCO of membranes (3.5 bar and 50°C)

Factors affecting phospholipid rejection

The selectivity of ultrafiltration mainly depends on membrane type, molecular size of solute and micro-environmental condition as temperature and concentration of solutes (Lin et al., 1998). The average molecular weight of phospholipids (700 Da) is lower than trigtycerides (900 Da). However, phospholipids are surfactant with both hydrophobic and hydrophilic groups which cause their preference for self assembly with hydrophilic groups on one side and hydrophobic groups on the other side into a wide variety of aggregate (micelles, liquid crystals or crystals). They tend also to form reverse micelles with imbedded ends inside nonpolar media like nexane or neutral oil. The micelles formed can have a molecular weight of

20000 or more in hexane-oil miscella (Iwama, 1987). Hence, phospholipids can be separated from triglycerides wing an ultrafiltration membrane of MWCO value 20000 or less. Studies (Subramanian and Nakajima, 1997; Lin et al., 1997, Hafidi et al., 2005b) reported that the phospholipids micelles were formed when the phospholipids content of the oil was above critical micelle concentration (CMC). The CMC values of phosphatidylcholine (PC) in triolein and phospholipids in crude soybean oil were found to be 440 and 1020 mg/kg, respectively, while the size of the PC micelles was determined to be in the range of 3.56 to 4.70 nm (Subramanian et al., 2001b). On the other hand, when phospholipids exist as monomers (below CMC), the rejection of phospholipids was due to solubility of phospholipids. low Another possibility could be due to swollen in the presence of small quantities of water and having affinity for some of the other impurities such as color compounds, which are then rejected by size (Subramanian exclusion et al., 2001b). Subramanian et al. (1999) reported that the degumming performance in membrane process depends on the total amount of phospholipids. The addition of 4% hydratable phospholipids and 1% water into crude oil containing phosphorus content lower than 400 ppm increased the phospholipids rejection up to 98% as result of enhancing the encapsulating ability of phospholipids.

The effect of operating parameters on phospholipids rejection is presented in Figures 6, 7 and 8. Figure 6 shows that the phospholipids rejection of 30 and 50 kDa membranes decreased, respectively, from 60 to 35% and 30 to 15% when the transmembrane pressure was increased from 2.5 to 4.5 bar while the phospholipids rejection of 10 kDa membrane remained high (> 87%) with the transmembrane pressure. The fouling as a result of the adsorption of the phospholipids at the pore walls, cake builtup at the membrane surface and mechanical pore plugging (Baker, 2000; Hafidi et al., 2003) increased phospholipids rejection of 10 kDa membrane when the transmembrane pressure was increased.

The phospholipids rejection decreased for the membranes of 30 and 50 kDa but remained high (> 85%) for the membrane of 10 kDa when the temperature was increased (Figure 7). Under high temperature, the loss of efficiency in selectivity might come either from the well known tendency of molecules to solubilize instead of aggregating when increasing the temperature or also from a change in the interactions between the oil components and the membrane (Pioch et al., 1998).



Figure 6. Influence of transmembrane pressure on phospholipids rejection as function of MWCO of membranes (50°C and 0.04 m/s)



Figure 7. Influence of temperature on phospholipids rejection as function of MWCO of membrane (4.5 bar and 0.04 m/s)



Figure 8. Influence of tangential flow rate on phospholipids rejection as function of MWCO of membranes(3.5 bar and 50°C)

In addition, selectivity of the membrane could be due to a structure formed by the micelle at membrane surface. This structure would appear under specific hydrodynamic conditions (trans-membrane pressure, tangential flow rate), which occur when the temperature is high.

Figure 8 shows that an increase in tangential flow rate enhanced the phospholipids rejection from 50 to 95% for the membrane of 30 kDa and 10 to 40% for the membrane of 50 kDa. The influence of the tangential flow rate for the memorane of 10 kDa was low, therefore the phospholipids rejection remained high (> 88%) with the tangential flow rate. The high tangential flow rate limits the deposition and the formation of a cake layer on the membrane surface and allows sweeping away the accumulated solutes and reducing the thickness of the boundary layer on the membrane surface.

Free Fatty Acid reduction

Free fatty acids (FFA) distributions after ultrafiltration are summarized in Table 2. Table 2 shows that membrane process usually could not remove free fatty acids. Similar results have also been reported (lwama, 1987; Lin et al., 1997; Subramanian et al., 1998b; Ong et al., 1999).

Transmembrane pressure, temperature and tangential flow rate did not change significantly FFA content. For all trials, the reduction of free fatty acids is lower than 10%. The membrane with smaller molecular weight cut off should be used for crude sunflower oil de-acidification but the flux should be very low.

Table 2. Free fatty acids characteristic of crude and membrane-processed sunflower oils as function of operating conditions and MWCO of membranes

	TMP (bar)	ፕ (°C)	V (m/s)	Acid Value (mg KOH/g oil)		
Sample				10 kDa	30 kD∎	50 kDa
Crude oil	1	1	1	1.55	2.53	247
	1.8	25	0.026	1.55	1	1
	2.9	25	0.026	1.55	1	1
	3.9	25	0.026	1.55	1	1
	47	25	0.026	1.55	1	1
	1.8	35	0.026	1.55	1	1
1	30	35	0.026	155	1	1
	47	35	0.026	1.55	1	Ι
	56	35	0.026	1.55	1	1
	2.3	50	0.033	L.55		I
	3.3	50	0.033	1.55		1
1	4.4	50	0.033	1.55		1
Permeate	5.2	50	0 0 3 3	L 55		1
	2.5	50	0.040	1	2.42	7.47
	3.5	50	0.040	1		2.47
	4.5	50	0.040	1	2.49	2.45
	2.5	50	0.070	1	2.39	2.45
	3.5	50	0.070	1	2.39	2.44
	4.5	50	0.070	1	2.42	2.44
	2.5	50	0,100	1	2.30	1
	3.5	50	0.100	1	2.27	1
	4.5	35	0.040		2.47	2.47
	4.5	40	0,040	1	2.50	242
	45	45	0,040		2.42	2.47

Color

Sunflower oil color is essentially due to carotenoid pigments (yellow color pigment) and a small quantity of chlorophyll (green color pigment) from unripe seed and plant impurities. In this study, the coloring agent in sunflower oil kfore and after membrane ultrafiltration consists of yellow and green color pigments The yellow

J. Tek. Ind. Pert. Vol 16(2), 58-63

and green color pigments are indicated by positive values of b and by negative values of a, respectively. For all trials, the values of yellow color pigment increase in permeate, mainly for membrane of 10 and 50 kDa. The use of CIE L*a*b* method for the measurement of color demonstrated excellent repeatability and a significant correlation with the carotenoid content, as reported by Rossi et al. (2001). In addition, this method is simple and rapid and thus can be used as an alternative to the classical Lovibond matching method.

During membrane processing, the values of color characteristic in sunflower oil increase. The oil transmission, which is indicated by positive values of L, increases to 94% thus increasing oil quality. Thesefore, membrane processing may also be used in decolorizing.

CONCLUSION

This study showed that the ultrafiltration membranes were effective in removing phospholipids and increasing color quality of twin-screw extruder-pressed sunflower oil, therefore membrane processing could be used in degumming and decoloration. The best result was given by the 50 kDa membrane with permeate flux of 26 $1/m^2$.h under operating conditions of 3.5 bar, 50°C and tangential flow rate of 0.1 m/s. However, phospholipids rejection was only 40%. The 30 kDa membrane had 95% phospholipids rejection but permeate flux was only 12 $1/m^2$.h.

REFERENCES

- Alicieo, T.V.R. Mendes, E.S., Pereira, N.C., Motta Lima, O.C., 2002. Membrane ultrafiltration of crude soybean oil. Desalination 148, 99-102.
- Amalia Kartika, I., Pontalier, P.Y., Rigal, L., 2003a. Oil extraction of oleic sunflower seeds by twin screw extruder: influence of screw configuration and operating conditions. Proceeding of the 25'' World Congress and Exhibition of the ISF, 12 - 15 October 2003, Bordeaux.
- Arnalia Kartika, I., Pontalier, P.Y., Rigal, L., 2003b. Methyl ester extraction of sunflower oils in a twin screw extruder. Proceeding of the 25'' World Congress and Exhibition of the ISF, 12 - 15 October 2003, Bordeaux.
- Arnalia Kartika, I., Pontalier, P.Y., Rigal, L., 2003c. Application de l'ultrafiltration au raffinage des huiles de tournesol brutes. Colloque Francophone MemPro2, 14 - 16 May 2003, Montpellier-France.
- Arnalia Kartika, I., Pontalier, P.Y., Rigal, L., 2003d. Refining of crude sufflower oils

using ultrafiltration. Proceeding of the 5th International Membrane Science and Technology Conference, 10 - 14 November 2003, Sydney.

- Amalia Kartika, I., Pontalier, P.Y., Rigal, L., 2004a. Extraction of sunflower oil by twin screw extruder: screw configuration and operating conditions effects. Proceeding of the 16th International Sunflower Conference, 29 August 2 September 2004, Fargo-USA.
- Amalia Kartika, I., Pontalier, P.Y., Rigal, L., 2004b. Refining of sunflower oils by membrane processing. Proceeding of the 3rd Euro Fed Lipid Congress, 5 - 8 September 2004, Edinburgh.
- Arnalia Kartika, I., 2005. Nouveau procédé de fractionnement des graines de tournesol: expression et extraction en extrudeur bi-vis, purification par ultrafiltration de l'huile de tournesol. PhD Thesis, Institut National Polytechnique de Toulouse, France.
- Amalia Kartika, I., Pontalier, P.Y., Rigal, L., 2005. Oil extraction of oleic sunflower seeds by twin screw extruder: influence of screw configuration and operating conditions. Industrial Crops and Product 22, 207-222.
- Arnalia Kartika, I., Pontalier, P.Y., Rigal, L., 2006. Extraction of oleic sunflower oil by twin screw extruder: screw configuration and operating conditions effects. Bioresource Technology 97:2302-2310.
- Artz, W.E., Kinyanjui, T., Cheryan, M., 2005. Deacidification of soybean oil using supercritical fluid and membrane technology. J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 82, 803-808.
- Baker, RW., 2004. Membrane technology and applications. John Wiley and Sons, Ltd., England.
- Belfort, G., Davis, R.H., Zydney, A.L., 1994. The behavior of suspensions and maaomolecular solutions in crossflow microfiltration. Journal of Membrane Science 96, 1-58.
- Bhosle, B.M., Subramanian, R., Ebert, K., 2005. Deacidification of model vegetable oils using polymeric membranes. Eur. J. Lipid Sci. Technol. 107, 746-753.
- Bouvier, J.M., Guyomard, P., 1997. Method and installation for continuous extraction of a liquid contained in a raw material. PCT/FR97/00696.
- Guyomard, P., 1994. Study of the use of a twinscrew extruder in pressing - extrusion of oleagineous seeds. PhD Thesis, Université Technologique de Compiégne, France.
- Hafidi, A., Pioch, D., Ajana, Y., 2003. Adsorptive fouling of inorganic membranes during microfiltration of

vegetable oils. Eur. J. Lipid Sci. Technol. 105, 138-148.

- Hafidi, A., Pioch, D., Teyssier, M.L., Ajana, H., 2004. Influence of oil conditioning on the permeate flux and cake properties during microfiltration of lampante olive oil. Eur. J. Lipid Sci. Technol. 106, 152-159.
- Hafidi, A., Pioch, D., Ajana, H., 2005a. Membrane-based simultaneous degumming and deacidification of vegetable oils. Innovative Food Science and Emerging Technologies 6,203-212.
- Hafidi, A., Pioch, D., Ajana, H., 2005b. Soft purification of lampante olive oil by microfiltration. Food Chemistry 92. 17-22.
- Hafidi, A., Pioch, D., Ajana, H., 2005c. Effects of a membrane-bared soft purification process on olive oil quality. Food Chemistry 92,607-613.
- In-Chul Kim, Jong-Ho Kim, Kew-Ho Lee, Tae-Moon Tak, 2002. Phospholipids separation (degurnming) from crude vegetable oil by polyimide ultrafiltration membrane. Journal of Membrane Science 205,113-123.
- Iwama, A., 1987. New process for purifying soybean oil by membrane separation and an economical evaluation of the process. Biotechnology for the fats and oils industry pp. 244-250.
- Koris, A., Vatai, G., 2002. Dry degumming of vegetable oils by membrane filtration. Desalination 148, 149-153.
- Kwiatkowski, J.R., Chayan, M., 2005. Recovery of oil com from ethanol extracts of ground corn using membrane technology. J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 82, 221-227.
- Lacaze-Dufaure, C., Leyris, J., Rigal, L., Mouloungui, Z., 1999a, A twin-screw extruder for oil extraction: I. Direct expression of oleic sunflower seeds. J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 76, 1073-1079.
- Oil Chem. Soc. 76, 1073-1079.
 Lacaze-Dufaure, C., Mouloungui, Z., Rigal, L., 1999b. A twin-screw extruder for oil extraction: II. Alcohol extraction of oleic sunflower seeds. J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 76. 1073-1079.
- Lin, L., Rhee, K.C., Koscoglu, S.S., 1997. Bench-scale membrane degurnming of crude vegetable oil: process optimization. Journal of Membrane Science 134, 101-108.
- Miyagi, A., Subramanian, R., Nakajima, M., 2003. Membrane and additional adsorption processes for quality improvement of used frying oils. J. *Am.* Oil Chem. Soc. 80, 927-932.
- Nabi, N., Aimar, P., Meireles, M., 2000. Ultrafiltration of an olive oil emulsion stabilized by an anionic surfactant. Journal of Membrane Science 166, 177-188.

- Ochoa, N., Pagliero, C., Marchese, J., Mattea, M., 2001. Ultrafiltration of vegetable oils degumming by polymeric membrane. Separation Purification Technology 22-23, 417-422.
- Ong, K.K., Fakhrul-Razi, A., Baharin, B.S., Hassan, M.A., 1999. Degumming of crude palm oil by membrane filtration. Art. Cells, Blood Subs. and Immob. Biotech. 27, 381-385.
- Pagliero, C., Ochoa, N., Marchese, J., Mattea, M., 2001. Degumming of crude soybean oil by ultrafiltration using polymeric membrane. J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 78, 793-796.
- Pagliero, C., Ochoa, N., Marchese, J., Mattea, M., 2004. Vegetable oil. 1999. Evaluation of surfactant-aided degumming of vegetable oils by membrane technology. J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 76, 1247-1253.
- Pioch, D., Larguéze, C., Graille, J., Ajana, H., Rouviere, J., 1998. Towards an efficient membrane based vegetable oils refining. Industrial Crops and Products 7, 83-89.
- Rossi, M., Gianazza, M., Alamprese, C., Stanga, F., 2001. The effect of bleaching and physical refining on color and minor components of palm oil. J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 78, 1051-1055.
- Snape, J.B., Nakajima, M., 1996. Processing of agricultural fats and oils using membrane technology. Journal of Food Engineering 30, 1-41.

- Subramanian, R., Nakajima, M., 1997. Membrane degumming of crude soybean and rapeseed oils. J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 74, 971-975.
- Subramanian, R., Nakajima, M., Kimura, T., Maekawa, T., 1998a. Membrane process for premium quality expeller-pressed vegetable oils. Food Research International 31, 587-593.
- Subramanian, R., Nakajima, M., Kawakatsu, T., 1998b. Processing of vegetable oils using polymeric composite membranes. Journal of Food Engineering 38, 41-56.
- Subramanian, R., Nakajima, M., Yasui, A., Nabetani, H., Kimura, T., Maekawa, T., 1999. Evaluation of surfactant-aided degumming of vegetable oils by membrane technology. J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 76, 1247-1253.
- Subramanian, R., Nabetani, H., Nakajima, M., Ichikawa, S., Kimura, T., Maekawa, T., 2001a. Rejection of carotenoids in oil systems by a nonporous polymeric composite membrane. J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 78, 803-807.
- Subramanian, R., Ichikawa, S., Nakajima, M., Maekawa, T., 2001b. Characteriza-tion of phospholipid reverse micelles in relation to membrane processing of vegetable oils. Eur. J. Lipid Sci. Technol. 103, 93-97.
- Wankat, P.C., 1990. Rate Controlled Separation. Elsevier Applied Science, London.