Phylogenetic Study of *Mangifera laurina* and its Related Species Using cpDNA *trnL-F* Spacer Markers

FITMAWATI^{**}, ALEX HARTANA

Department of Biology, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Bogor Agricultural University, Darmaga Campus, Bogor 16680, Indonesia

Received January 30, 2009/Accepted February 25, 2010

Phylogenetic study of cpDNA intergenic spacer *trnL*-F of *Mangifera laurina* and their related species within the genus *Mangifera* in Indonesia was conducted using Rutaceae as the outgroup. This study was to reconstruct phylogenetic relationships and to understand infraspecific relationships within *Mangifera* based on cpDNA *trnL*-F intergenic spacer sequences. The results showed that *Mangifera* sp. Hiku (*mangga hiku*) as the basic cultivar in the clade, and it supported the monophyletic group in *Mangifera*. And phylogenetic construction indicated that *Mangifera* sp. Hiku was the progenitor of *M. laurina* and their related species.

Key words: Mangifera laurina var. Hiku, phylogentic, cpDNA, trnL-F intergenic spacer, progenitor

INTRODUCTION

Mangoes (Mangifera) have a high number of species. They are distributed in tropical and subtropical areas. One of diversity centers of mangoes in the world is Indonesia. The latest revision of mangoes was described 68 species of mangoes (Kostermans & Bompard 1993). However, the delimination of *M. laurina* and its related species i.e. *M*. aplanata, M. indica, and M. rubropetala was still unclear (Kochumen 1996). Mangoes have allotetraploid chromosomes (2n=4x=40) and polyembrionic seed (Litz 2004). And interspecific hybridization was commonly occurred among *M. laurina* and its related species. Therefore, their morphological plasticity was high and their species deliminations were various. Fruit morphology of Mangifera sp. Hiku (mangga hiku) is similar to M. indica but the characters were very sour, roughly fibrous, and the carpel is whitish yellow. The morphology characters of mangga hiku were more primitive than that of other Indonesian mangoes.

Mango classification based on molecular markers was scarcely studied and there was limited information available on molecular phylogeny of mangoes. However, the molecular phylogeny is important for classifying their taxonomic status, maintaining and conserving their genetic diversity.

Some phylogeny studies of plants based on cp DNA markers were reported, such as in *Morus* (Weiguo *et al.* 2005) and *Cucumis* (Chung *et al.* 2006; Chung *et al.* 2007). The cpDNA markers provided data for reconstructing the phylogeny among families of flowering plants (Kajita 1998). The sequences of *trn*L-F region of cpDNA are frequently

used on the phylogenetic studies at generic and specific levels (Alejanro *et al.* 2005; Barfuss *et al.* 2005; Shaw *et al.* 2005). The cpDNA markers are commonly used in the phylogetic studies because they are easily isolated, purified, characterized and cloned. The *trn*L-F region of cpDNA is conservative with low rate evolution (Bayer *et al.* 2000).

The *trn*L-F intergenic spacer of cpDNA is non coding characters, and this region is more variable than the coding regions. Some studies on non coding region of cpDNA showed higher variations and more often mutation than that of coding regions (Baldwin 1995). Accordingly, the *trn*L-F intergenic spacer is a suitable parameter to investigate evolution relationship on the lower taxa (Bayer *et al.* 2000). Therefore this study was analyzed and reconstructed molecular phylogeny of *M. laurina* and its related species based on cpDNA *trn*L-F transgenic spacer sequences.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Leaf samples of six mangoes were collected from six areas in Indonesia namely *M. laurina* Betul (mangga betul) and *M. aplanata* (mangga depeh) from West Kalimantan, *M. indica* (mangga golek), and *M. indica* (mangga kiyal) from East Java, *M. laurina* (mangga dodol ternate) from Maluku, and Mangifera sp. Hiku (mangga hiku) from Sulawesi. And three species of Rutaceae were chosed as the outgroup.

DNA Isolation. DNA was extracted using CTAB method (Doyle & Doyle 1987) with modifications by soaking in water bath at 65 °C over night. *trn*L-F intergenic spacer was amplified using E primer (GGTTCAAGTCCCTC TATCCC) and F primer (ATTTGAACTGGTGACACGAG) (Small *et al.* 2005). Amplification of *trn*L-F intergenic DNA spacer region used PCR machine (GeneAmp PCR system 2400 Perkin Elmer) with 35 cycles. The pre PCR was set up

[‡]Current address: Department of Biology, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Science, Riau University, Jalan H.R. Soebrantas 12.5 Km, Simpang Baru, Panam-Pekanbaru 28293, Riau, Indonesia

^{*}Corresponding author. Phone/Fax: +62-761-63273, E-mail: fitmawati2008@yahoo.com

at 95 °C for 4 minutes. Denaturation was set up at 94 °C for 30 seconds, annealing was at 52 °C 30 seconds, and extension was at 72 °C for 1 minute. And it was followed by post PCR at 72 °C for 7 minutes.

DNA Sequencing and Phylogenetic Analysis. PCR products were sequenced using ABI 377 automated DNA sequencer (Applied Biosystems) at First BASE Laboratories, Malaysia. The sequences were edited using BioEdit 7.0.0.1 program, and then compared using BLASTN with DNA sequences of *Guarea glabra, Citrus sinensis, Citrus medica* from GenBank database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST/). Analysis of maximum parsimony and likelihood was done using PAUP version 4.0b8 with 1000 times bootstrap(Swofford 2000).

RESULTS

The aligned length of *trn*L-F DNA spacer of six *Mangifera* species and three outgroup species was 433

nucleotides. The sequence was consist of a total of 357 bp constant characters, 7 bp non informative parsimony character, and 69 bp parsimony informative character. The average ratio of mango *trn*L-F DNA spacer nucleotides of Adenine (A), Thymine (T), Cytosine (C) and Guanine (G) was 0.3014, 0.3316, 0.206, and 0.1608 respectively; and the G+C content was 0.3668 indicating that the region was AT rich (Tabel 1).

The sequence region of cpDNA *trn*L-F *M. laurina* and its related species was descended from the sequence of Anacardiaceae, it had 91 and 94% similarity with *Rhus caryophila* and *Pistacio weinmaniifolia* respectively. Phylogenetic tree construction based on *trn*L-F region had consistency Index Value (CI) of 0.9625 and resistance index (RI) of 0.99 (Figure 1), while homoplasy index (HI) was 0.0375. This value showed that homoplasy was occurred only 3.75%. Grouping confirmation of *M. laurina*, and their related species of 11 members of Rutaceae based on the size of *trn*L-F region of mangoes showed similarity

Tabel 1. Alignment of trnL-F DNA spacer sequences of six mangoes and their Outgroup CLUSTAL W(1.60) multiple sequence alignment

Mbetul	-TTTAACTCCCTAACGATTTATCCTATGTTAGCGGTTCCAA
Depeh	-TTTAACTCCCTAACGATTTATCCTATGTTAGCGGTTCCAA
Golek	-TTTAACTCCCTAACGATTTATCCTATGTTAGCGGTTCCAA
Hiku	TTTACTCCCTAACGATTTATCCTATGTTAGCGGTTCC-A
Dternate	-TTTAACTCCCTAACGATTTATCCTATGTTAGCGGTTCCAA
Kival	-TTTAACTCCCTAACGATTTATCCTATGTTAGCGGTTCCAA
C macrop	ATTTGACTCTCTAACCATTTCTCCTACCCTCTCTTTTTCTCTTTTGTTAATGGTTCAAA
G macrop	ATTTGACTCTCTAACCATTTCTCCTACCCTCTCTTTTTCTCTTTTGTTAATGGTTCAAA
C diverg	ATTTGACTCTCTAACCATTTCTCCTACCCTCTCTTTTTCTCTTTTGTTAATGGTTCAAA
Ctoment	ATTTGACTCTCTAACCATTTCTCCTACCCTCTCTTTTTCTCTTTTGTTAATGGTTCAAA
Caurant	TTTTTTGTTAGTGGTTCAAA
Climon	ACTCCCTAACCATTTCTCCTACCTTCTCCTTTTTTGTTAGTGGTTCAAA
Guidon	ATTTGACTCTCTAACCATTTCTCCTACCCTCTCTTTTTCTCTTTTGTTAATGGTTCAAA
G qlabra	ATTTGACTCTCTAACCATTTATCCTACCCTCTCTTTTTCTCTTTTGTTAATGGTTCAAA
Csinens	TTTTTTGTTAGTGGTTCAAA
C macrop	ATTTGACTCTCTAACCATTTCTCCTACCCTCTCTTTTTCTCTTTTGTTAATGGTTCAAA
M panicu	ACTCCCTAACCATTTCTCCTAACCT-TCTCCTTTTTTTGTTAGTGGTTCAAA
C medica	TTTTTTGTTAGTGGTTCAAA
-	
Mbetul	TTTCGTTATGTTTCTCATTCATCCTACTCTTTTCCATTTACAAACGTATCCGAGCAGAA-
Depeh	TTTCGTTATGTTTCTCATTCATCCTACTCTTTTCCATTTACAAACGTATCCGAGCAGAA-
Golek	TTTCGTTATGTTTCTCATTCATCCTACTCTTTTCCATTTACAAACGTATCCGAGCAGAA-
Hiku	TTTCGTTATGTTTCTCATTCATCCTACTCTTTTCCATTTACAAACGTATCCGAGCAGAA-
Dternate	TTTCGTTATGTTTCTCATTCATCCTACTCTTTTCCATTTACAAACGTATCCGAGCAGAA-
Kiyal	TTTCGTTATGTTTCTCATTCATCCTACTCTTTTCCATTTACAAACGTATCCGAGCAGAA-
C macrop	ATTCGTTAGGTTTCTCATTCATCCTACTCTTTTCCATTTACAAACGTATCTGAGCAGAAT
G macrop	ATTCGTTAGGTTTCTCATTCATCCTACTCTTTTCCATTTACAAACGTATCTGAGCAGAAT
C diverg	ATTCGTTAGGTTTCTCATTCATCCTACTCTTTTCCATTTACAAACGTATCTGAGCAGAAT
Ctoment	ATTCGTTAGGTTTCTCATTCATCCTACTCTTTTCCATTTACAAACGTATCTGAGCAGAAT
Caurant	AGTCGGTAGGTTTCTCATCTATCCTACTCTTTTCCATTTCCAAAAGGATATGGGCAGAAT
Climon	AGTCGGTAGGTTTCTCATCTATCCTACTCTTTTCCATTTCCAAAAGGATATGGGCAGAAT
Guidon	ATTCGTTAGGTTTCTCATTCATCCTACTCTTTTCCATTTACAAACGTATCTGAGCAGAAT
G qlabra	ATTCGTTAGGTTTCTCATTCATCCTACTCTTTTCCATTTACAAACGTATCTGAGCAGAAT
C sinens	AGTCGGTAGGTTTCTCATCTATCCTACTCTTTTCCATTTCCAAAAGGATATGGGCAGAAT
Cmacrop	ATTCGTTAGGTTTCTCATTCATCCTACTCTTTTCCATTTACAAACGTATCTGAGCAGAAT
Monicu	AGTCGGTAGATTTCTCATCTATCCTACTCTTTTCCATTTCCAAAGGGATATGGGCAGAAT
C medica	AGTCGGTAGGTTTCTCATCTATCCTACTCTTTTCCATTTCCAAAAGGATATGGGCAGAAT
_	
Mbetul	TTTTTTCTCTTATCATATACAAGTCGTGTGGTATATAGGATACACGTAGAAATGAACACT
Depeh	TTTTTTCTCTTATCATATACAAGTCGTGTGGTATATAGGATACACGTAGAAATGAACACT
Golek	TTTTTTCTCTTATCATATACAAGTCGTGTGGTATATAGGATACACGTAGAAATGAACACT
Hiku	TTTTTTCTCTTATCATATACAAGTCGTGTGGTATATAGGATACACGTAGAAATGAACACT
Dternate	TTTTTTCTCTTATCATATACAAGTCGTGTGGTATATAGGATACACGTAGAAATGAACACT
Kiyal	TTTTTTCTCTTATCATATACAAGTCGTGTGGTATATAGGATACACGTAGAAATGAACACT

Tabel 1. Continue

C macrop	TTTTTTCTCTTATCACCAGTCGTGTGTTATATATGATAGACGTACAAATGAACACC
Gmacron	TTTTTTCTCTTATCACCAGTCGTGTGTTATATATGATAGACGTACAAATGAACACC
C_divera	
c_arverg	
L_coment	
C_aurant	TTTTTTCTCTTATCACAAGCCGTATGGTCTATACGATATATGTAGAAATGAACACC
C_limon	TTTTTTCTCTTATCACAAGCCGTATGGTCTATACGATATATGTAGAAATGAACACC
G_guidon	TTTTTTCTCTTATCACCAGTCGTGTGTTATATATGATAGACGTACAAATGAACACC
G glabra	TTTTTTCTCTTATCACCAGTCGTGTGTTATATATGATAGACGTACAAATGAACACC
Csinens	TTTTTTCTCTTATCACAAGCCGTATGGTCTATACGATATATGTAGAAATGAACACC
C_macron	TTTTTTCTCTTATCACCAGTCGTGTGTTATATATGATAGACGTACAAATGAACACC
M naniou	TTTTTTCTCTTATCACAAGCCGTATGGTCTATACGATATATGTAGAAAATGAACACC
C medice	
o_medied	
Mbetul	TTGGAGCAGGGAATCTCCATGTGAATGATTCACAATCCATCTCATTGCTCATACTGAAAC
Depeh	TTGGAGCAGGGAATCTCCATGTGAATGATTCACAATCCATCTCATTGCTCATACTGAAAC
Golek	TTGGAGCAGGGAATCTCCATGTGAATGATTCACAATCCATCTCATTGCTCATACTGAAAC
Uilm	
niku Desemente	
Dternate	
Kiyal	TTGGAGCAGGGAATCTCCATGTGAATGATTCACAATCCATCTCATAGCTCATACTGAAAC
C_macrop	CTTGAGCAAGGAATCCCTATTTGAATGATTCACAATTCATATCATTGCTCATACTGAAAC
G_macrop	CTTGAGCAAGGAATCCCCATTTGAATGATTCACAATCCATATCATTGCTCATACTGAAAC
C_diverg	CTTGAGCAAGGAATCCCTATTTGAATGATTCACAATTCATATCATTGCTCATACTGAAAC
Ctoment	CTTGAGCAAGGAATCCCTATTTGAATGATTCACAATTCATATCATTGCTCATACTGAAAC
Caurant	TTTGAGCAAGGAATCCCCGTTTTAATGATTCCCAATCCATATTATTGCTCATACTGAAAC
C limon	TTTGAGCAAGGAATCCCCGTTTTAATGATTCCCAATCCATATTATTGCTCATACTGAAAC
C_muidon	
G_guruon C_wlabwa	
G_giabra	
C_sinens	TTTGAGCAAGGAATCCCCCGTTTTAATGATTCCCCAATCCATATTATTGCTCATACTGAAAC
C_macrop	CTTGAGCAAGGAATCCCTATTTGAATGATTCACAATTCATATCATTGCTCATACTGAAAC
M_panicu	TTTGAGCAAGGAATCCCCGTTTTAATGATTCCCAATCCATATTATTGCTCATACTGAAAC
C_medica	TTTGAGCAAGGAATCCCCGTTTTAATGATTCCCAATCCATATTATTGCTCATACTGAAAC
Mbetul	TTACAAAGTCTTCTTTTTGAATATTCAAGAAATGCAATTTCCCGTCCAAGACTTTTAATA
Depeh	TTACAAAGTCTTCTTTTTGAATATTCAAGAAATGCAATTTCCCGTCCAAGACTTTTAATA
Golek	TTACAAAGTCTTCTTTTTGAATATTCAAGAAATGCAATTTCCCGTCCAAGACTTTTAATA
Hiku	TTACAAAGTCTTCTTTTGAATATTCAAGAAATGCAATTTCCCGTCCAAGACTTTTAATA
Dternate	TTACAAAGTCTTCTTTTTGAATATTCAAGAAATGCAATTTCCCGTCCAAGACTTTTAATA
Kiwal	TT 3 C 3 3 3 CT C TT C TT TT C 3 3 T 3 T
C waawaa	
C_macrop	
G_macrop	
C_diverg	TTACAAAGTCTTCTTTTTGAAGATTCAAGAAATGAAATTCCCCCCTGCAACACTTTGAATA
C_toment	TTACAAAGTCTTCTTTTTGAAGATTCAAGAAATGAAATTCCCCCCTGCAACACTTTGAATA
C_aurant	TTACAAAGTCTTCTTTTTGATGATTCAAGAAATGAAATTT
Climon	TTACAAAGTCTTCTTTTTGATGATTCAAGAAATGAAATTCCCCCTCCCAAGACTTTTAATC
Guidon	TTACAAAGTCTTCTTTTTGAAGATTCAAGAAATGAAATTCCCCCCTGCAAGACTTTGAATA
G glabra	TTACAAAGTCTTCTTTTGAAGATTCAAGAAATGAAATTCCCCCTGCAAGACTTTGAATA
C Cinenc	TT 3 C 3 3 3 CT C TT C TT TT C 3 T C 3 T C 3 3 3 T C 3 3 3 T T
C magnet	
C_macrop	
n_panicu	
L_medica	
Mhetul	СТСААТТСССТСТТТТТААТТСАСАТССАСССАТСТАСТА
Donob	CTCAATTCCCTCTTTTTTAATTCACCACCCAACCCATCTAAAATCAAAATCAAAATCAAAATCAACAA
vepen	
Golek	CTGAATTGCGTCTTTTTTAATTGACATCGACCCAACCCA
Hiku	CTGAATTGCGTCTTTTTTAATTGACATCGACCCAACCCA
Dternate	CTGAATTGCGTCTTTTTTAATTGACATCGACCCAACCCA
Kiyal	CTGAATTGCGTCTTTTTTAATTGACATCGACCCAACCCA
C macrop	CTTTTTTT-GTCTTTTTTAATTGACATAGACCCAAGTCATCTAGTAAAATGAGGATGGTG
Gmacrop	CTTTTTTT-GTCTTTTTTAATTGACATAGACCCAAGTCATCTAGTAAAATGAGGATGGTG
C_diverg	CTTTTTTT-GTCTTTTTAATTGACATAGACCCAAGTCATCTAGTAAAATGAGGATGGTG
C_toment	CTTTTTTT-GTCTTTTTTAATTGACATAGACCCAAGTCATCTAGTAAAATGAGGATGGTG
Caurant	
Climon	CCTGTTTATTTTTTAATTGACATAGACCCAAGTCATCTAGTAAGATGAGAACGGTG
G_muidon	
C garaon	
G_grabia C_sixees	
c_sinens	
L_macrop	CITITITI-GICITITITAATTGACATAGACCCCAAGTCATCTAGTAAAATGAGGATGGTG
M_panicu	CUTTTTTTAATTGACATAGACCCAAGCCATCTAGTAAGATGAGAACGGTG
C medica	

G guidon glabra

sinens

G

С C macrop M_panicu C medica

Mbetul	CGTCGGTAATGGTCGGGATAGCTCAGCTGGTAGAGCAGAGGACTGAAAATCCTCGTGTCA	
Depeh	CGTCGGTAATGGTCGGGATAGCTCAGCTGGTAGAGCAGAGGACTGAAAATCCTCGTGTCA	
Golek	CGTCGGTAATGGTCGGGATAGCTCAGCTGGTAGAGCAGAGGACTGAAAATCCTCGTGTCA	
Hiku	CGTCGGTAATGGTCGGGATAGCTCAGCTGGTAGAGCAGAGGACTGAAAATCCTCGTGTCA	
Dternate	CGTCGGTAATGGTCGGGATAGCTCAGCTGGTAGAGCAGAGGACTGAAAATCCTCGTGTCA	
Kiyal	CGTCGGTAATGGTCGGGATAGCTCAGCTGGTARAGCARARGACTGAAAATCCTCGTGTCA	
C_macrop	TGTTGGGAATGGTCGGGATAGCTCAGCTGGTAGAGCAG	
G_macrop	TGTTGGGAATGGTCGGGATAGCTCAGCTGGTAGAGCAG	
C_diverg	TGTTGGGAATGGTCGGGATAGCTCAGCTGGTAGAGCAG	
C_toment	TGTTGGGAATGGTCGGGATAGCTCAGCTGGTAGAGCAG	
C aurant		
C_limon	TGTCGGAAATGGTCGGGATAGCTCAGCT	
G_guidon	TGTTGGGAATGGTCGGGATAGCTCAGCTGGTAGAGCAG	
G_glabra	TGTTGGGAATGGTCGGGATAGCTCAGCTGGTAGAGCAG	
C_sinens		
C_macrop	TGTTGGGAATGGTCGGGATAGCTCAGCTGGTAGAGCAG	
M_panicu	TGTCGGAAATGGTCGGGATAGCTCAGCT	
C_medica		
Mbetul	CCAGTTCAAAAA	
Depeh	GCAGTTCAAATAA [Mbetul	
Golek	CCAGTTCAAATAA	
Hiku	CCAGTTCAAATAA	
Dternate	CCARTTCAAAAAA l Dternate	
Kiyal	WCAGTTMAAAAAA	
C_macrop		
G_macrop	Golek	
C_diverg		
C_{toment}		
C_aurant		
C limon		1000

Kiyal

0.001

0



Figure 1. Cladogram of six accession of mangoes and outgroup based on trnL-F markers. Mbetul: Mangifera laurina cv. Betul; M. indica cv. Golek, Depeh: M. aplanata, Dternate: M. laurina cv. dodol ternate, M. indica cv. Kiyal; and M.laurina cv. Hiku.

with Rutaceae. Mangga dodol ternate (M. laurina) from Ternate and mangga kiyal from East Java were located at the position of between mangga hiku and mangga betul. The second clade was occupied by Chisocheton and Guerea, while the third group by Citrus, with bootstrap values 100%.

The phylogenetic tree performed three branches of *M*. laurina and their related species (Figure 2). Mangifera group was monophyletic or descended from the same

markers with Neighbor Joining method. Mbetul: Mangifera laurina cv. Betul, M. indica cv. Golek, Depeh: M. aplanata, Dternate: M. laurina cv. dodol ternate, M. indica cv. Kiyal, and M.laurina cv. Hiku.

Figure 2. Cladogram of six accession of mangoes based on trnL-F

0.003

0.002

common ancestor. Mangga hiku (Mangifera sp.) from South East Sulawesi was located at the lowest of the clade and separated from the other species.

While mangga dodol ternate (M. laurina) from Ternate and mangga kiyal from East Java were located at the position of between mangga hiku and mangga betul. The second clade was occupied by Chisocheton and Guerea, while the third group by Citrus, with 100% bootstrap values.

DISCUSSION

Gaps within cpDNA occurred due to insertion and deletion process. Deletion of nucleotide on position number of 2 and 59, and insertion of nucleotide on nucleotide number 5 and 431 (a \rightarrow t) occurred in mangga hiku as an accession. While in mangga depeh (M. aplanata) accession, insertion occurred on nucleotide of $421 (c \rightarrow g)$ and $431 (a \rightarrow t)$ and in mangga golek (M. indica) accession on nucleotide of 431 (a \rightarrow t). Mutation rate of

Miku

0.005

Depeh

0.004

cpDNA sequence among and within species of *M. laurina* is very low (<1%). Mutation in nucleotide levels can be used to reconstruct a phylogenetic tree. Insertion and deletion phenomena in *mangga hiku* (*M. laurina*) support formation of phylogenic branching within the ingroup species.

It was found that cpDNA nucleotide of *mangga hiku* was changed from Adenine to Thymine. While the other five species of *Mangifera* sp. were separated each other because of their base was changed i.e. in *mangga betul* (*M. laurina*), nucleotide 421 of cpDNA, Thymine, was altered by Adenine, in *mangga golek* (*M. indica*) nucleotide 189 of cpDNA, Adenine, was altered by Guanine, and in *mangga depeh* (*M. aplanata*) nucleotide 412 of cpDNA, Adenine, was altered by Thymine.

Sequence tracing of cpDNA trnL-F nucleotides of mango accessions showed a high homology (99%). This value was higher that the homology of 14 species of Anacardiaceae family (75%) in the ITS-1 regions of the nuclear genome (Hidayat & Pancoro 2001). The homology of trnL-F intergenic spacer sequence in M. laurina and their related species was more conservative than that of nuclear DNA and uniparentally inherited. However, nucleotide change could be used to estimate homology patterns of the cpDNA fragment (Raubeson & Jansen 2005). This could also be used to analyze the relationships among progenies and patterns of gene mutation process in chloroplast genomes. Even though, cpDNA was commonly conservative, the diversity of cpDNA was reported occurred in different plant species such as Fagopyrum cymosum, Astragalus sp., Conifers and different species of Dipterocarpaceae (Tsumura et al. 1996; Yamane et al. 2003; Liston 2008). Variations in cpDNA sequence was usually caused by mutation of single nucleotide in long period of time. Mutation rate of cpDNA loci was between 3.2 x 10^{-5} and 7.9 x 10^{-5} (Provan *et al.* 1999). Although nucleotide change in cpDNA was very little than that of in nuclear genome, it was highly valuable to provide some information to explain the process of evolution.

Based on Neighbor Joining Analysis (Saitou & Nei 1987) (Figure 2), mangga hiku (Mangifera sp.) had the longest branch and occurred earlier than the others, so that mangga hiku could be presumed as a common progenitor of *M. laurina* and each related species. The length of line indicated that the change of cpDNA sequence. Therefore, mangga hiku, which is still wild in South East Sulawesi was more primitive than other Indonesian cultivated mangoes.

The clustering pattern of *M. laurina* and their related species based on E-RAPD markers of nuclear DNA (Fitmawati 2006) showed similar pattern with the clustering using *trnL*-F markers, except for *mangga depeh* (*M. aplanata*) which was separated from their related species. On the other hand, the clustering *trnL*-F intergenic spacer differed from that the clustering based on morphological markers, i.e. *mangga Hiku* was located together with *M. laurina*. The diversity pattern of chloroplast markers can be different from that of the morphological markers. Chloroplast was inherited only by the female parent, while

the morphology was descended from both parents and affected by the environment. Therefore clustering Analysis using cpDNA *trn*L-F of six accession i.e. mangga betul (*M. laurina*), 'Depeh' (*M. aplanata*), 'Golek' (*M. indica*), 'Hiku' (*Mangifera* sp.), 'Dodol Ternate' (*M. laurina*), and 'Kiyal' (*M. indica*) did not agree to the clusters of morphological markers reported by Kostermans and Bompard (1993).

The markers of cpDNA *trn*L-F could be used to investigate the phylogenetic relationships of *M. laurina* and their related species. Phylogenetic cluster of cpDNA *trn*L-F markers may be different from those of morphological markers. *M. laurina* and their related species were clustered separately from the outgroup. *Mangga hiku* was presumed as the common progenitor of *M. laurina* and their related species.

REFERENCES

- Alejandro GD, Razafimandimbison SG, Liede-Schumann S. 2005. Polyphily of *Mussaenda* inferred from ITS and *trnT-F* data and its implications for generic limits in Mussaendeae (Rubiaceae). Am J Bot 92:544-557.
- Baldwin BG, Sanderson MJ, Porter JM, Wojeichowski MF, Cambell CS, Donoghue MJ. 1995. The ITS region of nuclear ribosomal DNA: a valuable source of evidence an angiosperm phylogeny. *Annu Miss Bot Gard* 82:247-277.
- Barfuss MHJ, Samuel R, Till W, Stuessy TF. 2005. Phylogenetic relationships in subfamily Tillandsioideae (Bromeliaceae) based on DNA sequence data from seven plastid regions. *Am J Bot* 92:337-351.
- Bayer RJB, Puttock CF, Kelchner SA. 2000. Phylogeny of South African Gnaphilieae (Asteraceae) based on two-coding sequences. Am J Bot 87:259-272.
- Chung SM, Gordon VS, Staub JE. 2007. Sequencing cucumber (Cucumis sativus L.) chloroplast genomes identifies differences between chilling-tolerant and -susceptible cucumber line. Genome 50:215-225.
- Chung SM, Staub JE, Chen JF. 2006. Molecular phylogeny of *Cucumis* species as revealed by consensus chloroplast SSR marker length and sequence variation. *Genome* 49:219-229.
- Doyle JJ, Doyle JL. 1987. A rapid DNA isolation procedure for small quantities of fresh leaf tissue. *Phytochem Bull* 19:11-15.
- Fitmawati. 2006. Tinjauan Jenis Mangifera laurina dan kerabat dekatnya. *Floribunda* 3:49-56.
- Hidayat T, Pancoro A. 2001. Studi filogenetika molekuler Anacardiaceae berdasarkan pada variasi urutan daerah internal transcribe spacer. Hayati 8:98-101.
- Kajita T, Kamiya K, Nakamura K, Tachida H, Wickneswari R, Tsumura Y, Yoshimaru H, Yamazaki T. 1998. Moleculer phylogeny of Dipterocarpaceae in Southeast Asia based on nucleotide sequences of *mat*K, *trnL* intron, and *trnL*-F IGS Region in cpDNA. *Mol Phylo Evol* 10:202-209.
- Kochumen KM. 1996. Genus Mangifera from Sarawak. In: Tree Flora of Sabah Sarawak. Forest Research Institute Serawak: Sabah Forestry Department. p 32-36.
- Kostermans AJGH, Bompart JM. 1993. The Mangoes Their Botany Nomenclature and Utilization. IBPGR: Acad Pr.
- Liston A, Parker-Defeniks M, Syring JV, Willyard A, Cronn R. 2008. Interspecific phylogenetic analysis enhances intraspecific phylogeographic inference: A case study in *Pinus lambertiana*. *Mol Ecol* 186:12-30.
- Litz RE. 2004. Biotechnology of Fruit and Nut Crops. Biotechnology in Agriculture Series N. 29. Tropical Research and Education Center University of Florida USA. CABI Publishing.
- Provan J, Soranzo N, Wilson NJ, Goldstein DB, Powel W. 1999. A low mutation rate for chloroplast microsatelites. *Genetics* 153:943-947.

14 FITMAWATI AND HARTANA

- Raubeson LA, Jansen RK. 2005. Chloroplast genomes of plant. In: Henry RJ (ed). Plant Diversity and Evolution: Genotypic and Phenotypic Variation in Higher Plants. Massachusets, Cambrigde, USA CABI Publ. p 332.
- Saitou N, Nei M. 1987. The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. *Mol Biol Evol* 4:406-425.
- Shaw J, Kelchner SA, Lickey EB, Beck JT, Farmer SB, Liu W, Miller J, Siripun KC, Winder CT, Schilling EE, Small RL. 2005. The tortoise and the hare ii: Relative utility of 21 noncoding chloroplast DNA sequences for phylogenetic analysis. Am J Bot 92:142-166.
- Small LR, Lickey EB, Shaw J, Hauk WD. 2005. Amplification of non coding chloroplast DNA for phylogenetic studies in Lycophytes and Monilophytes with a comparative example of relative phylogenetic utility from Ophioglossaceae. *Mol Phylo Evol* 36:509-522.

- Swofford DL. 2002. PAUP. Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony (And Other Methods). Version 4. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Massachusetts, USA.
- Tsumara Y, Kawahara T, Wickenswari R, Yoshimura K. 1996. Molecular phylogeny of Dipterocarpaceae of conifer using RFLP analysis of PCR-amplified specific chloroplast genes. *Theor Appl Genet* 91:1222-1236.
- Weiguo Z, Yile P, Shihai ZZJ, Xuexia M, Yongping H. 2005. Phylogeny of the Morus (Urticales: Moraceae) inferred from ITS and *trn*L-F sequences. Af J Biotechnol 4:563-569.
- Yamane K, Yasui Y, Ohmishi O. 2003. Intraspecific cpDNA variation of diploid and tetraploid perennial buckwheat, Fagopyrum cymosum (Poligonaceae). Am J Bot 90:339-346.