The Use of Coating Materials and Cytokinin to Prolong Shelflife And to Keep Freshness and Color of Calyx of Mangosteen (*Garcinia Mangostana* L.) Fruit

H. Hermawati.
Alumnus of Departmen of Agronomi and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University (IPB)

D. Efendi
Lecturer of Departmen of Agronomi and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University (IPB)

Keyword: *Bee wax, Chitosan, Cytokinin, Benzil amino purine, calyx*

Abstract

The objective of this research was to determine the effect of coating materials and BAP concentration on inhibiting the ripening process of mangosteen. The experiment uses factorial completely randomized design with two factors, and using three replications. Coating materials as the first factor consisted of control, bee wax 6%, and chitosan 2%. The second factor is BAP, with 0 ppm, 5 ppm, 10 ppm, 15 ppm, and 20 ppm concentration. Non destructive observations are weight loss, diameter decrease, peel and calyx color development. While, destructive observations are fruit hardness, Total Soluble Solid (TSS), Total Titrable Acidity (TTA) and opened ability. The result showed that bee wax effective to inhibited weight loss. Interaction bee wax and BAP 20 ppm had inhibitited peel and calyx color changes during storage.

INTRODUCTION

Mangosteen (*Garcinia mangostana*) is known as "Queen of Tropical Fruits". It is originated from Indonesia. Export of Indonesian mangosteen is increasing in the last few years. Production of Indonesian mangosteen has been quite high, however, the percentage of exported fruit is quite low because of quality and continuity problems. The quality problem is caused by sub optimum on-farm management and lack of postharvest handling. Export quality of mangosteen is unique, depend on fruit size and weight, calyx color and freshness and number of calyx (Figure 1).

Fruit is a life tissue that continue its metabolism after harvesting, especially respiration and transpiration Pantastico *et al.* (1989). This process will cause postharvest fruit detioration. Postharvest detioration can not be stop, but can be slower down (Santoso and Purwoko, 1995).

Mangosteen has short shelflife. Few postharvest tecnique has been used, for example low temperature storage, and using coating material (Purwoko and Fitradesi, 2000). Application of BAP, a cytokinine, as plant hormone to inhibite senescence could be prolong the freshness of calyx and keep the calyx green for longer time. Salunke (1989) cited that cytokinin application has inhibited chlorophyl degradation and senesence of leavy vegetables. The objective of this research has been to find the optimum BAP concentration and an effective coating material to delay senescence of fruit calyx and to prolong shelflife of mangosteen.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The research has been done on April to June 2008 at Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Bogor Agricultural University, Bogor, Indonesia.

The main material of this experiment is mature mangosteen fruit harvested at 103 days after anthesis from orchard at Wanayasa district, Purwakarta, West Java, Indonesia. Other materials are bee wax 6%, chitosan 2%, BAP, aquades, and clorox as disinfectant. The materials for observation are analitical scale, calipper, munshel color chart, hand refractometer and hand penetrometer.

The experiment was conducted in complex randomized design of factorial design of two factors, i.e., coating materials and anti senescence BAP. The first factor consist of control (without coating), coating with bee wax emulsion 6%, and coating with chitosan 2%. The second factor consist of control (0 ppm BAP), 5 ppm BAP, 10 ppm BAP, 15 ppm BAP, and 20 ppm BAP. There are 15 interaction of treatments, with 3 replications for each, total 45 experimental units. Every experimental unit consist of 10 mangosteen fruits, with total 450 fruits, for destructive and non-destructive observation. At the day one, there is also 27 fruits used for observation of harvested quality of the mangosteen. After application of the treatments, fruits were stored at 15 ^{o}C. Data from observation were analyzed using Anova and DMRT (*Duncan Multiple Range Test*) at 5%.

Harvested mangosteen fruits were sortaged for uniform size and peel color, with red color with few green color, flawless peel, fresh and green calyx, fruits have to have 4 calyx for each fruit, fruit stem has to be fresh green with no latex, and free of any pests and deseases. Fruits were washed and then dip in 10% clorox for 30 seconds and then air dried.

Bee wax emulsion of 12% was prepared by heated 120 g of bee wax at 90 – 95 ^{o}C, and then added 20 ml oleat acid and 40 ml triethanolamine litle by litle while continuisly stir uniformly. After that it is added with 820 ml of boiled water while stir. The emulsion can be used if it is cooler (25 ^{o}C). To get bee wax emulsion of 6%, 0.5 L of 12% bee wax emulsion was added with 0.5 L aquades at room temperature. Benzil Amino Purine (BAP) was first mix with NaOH 1 M till totally soluble, and then it made as the concentration treatments. Then, BAP solution was mixed with the coating materials (bee wax 6% and chitosan 2%). For the treatments, fruits were dipped in the solutions for about 5 minutes, and then air dried.

Non-destructive observations were done on fruit weight and weight loss, fruit diameter and diamter loss, and fruit and calyx color using munshell color chart. While destructive observation were done on fruit hardness using hand penetrometer at 3 points (stem-end, middle, and end of fruit), total titratable acid, total soluble solid using hand repractometer, and test of manual opened ability of the fruit with 6 scores (1 = very easy, 2=almost easy, 3= easy, 4 = almost difficult, 5 = difficult, and 6 = very difficult to open).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The fruits for the experiment was uniformly mature with red peel color with few greenish spot, with fresh green calyx, harvested at 103 day after anthesis. The fruits then is groued based on fruit size (the fruit condition can bee seen at Table 1). The condition of fruit during storage was good enough, ony few fruit had been attact by *Botryodiplodia theobromae* that cause fruit rot, and few fruit also has yellow latex or gamboge.

Weight Loss and Decreasing in Diameter

Weight loss, because of respiration and transpiration, is one of the major componen that control fruit quality. Application of 6% bee wax had been significantly reduce the weight loss at 18, 21, 24, 27, 30, and 33 days after application (DAA), while application of BAP had not been significantly reduce weight loss at any observation day (Table 2).

The weight loss actually increase, At the end of observation (33 DAA) the weight loss at 6% bee wax is 15.5%, lower then at the application of chitosan 2% with weight loss as high as 23.8%. So, application of 6% bee wax on magosteen fruit can reduce weight loss as much as 35% compare to that of control. Bee wax can cover fruit peel that reduce respiration and transpiration and finally reduce the moisture of the fruit.

The fruit diameter tend to decrease during storage because of water loss from the fruit. Kader et al., (1992) cited that water loss is not only directly affected weight loss, but also affect on texture, nutrient content, and visual quality as the fruit shrinking. Aplication of bee wax or chitosan do not significantly have effect on diamter loss at any observation. However, application of Cytokinine BAP is significantly affected of diameter loss at 21, 24, and 30 DAA (Table 3), but at the end of experiment (33 DAA) the effect of BAP is not significant in reducing diameter.

Fruit and Calyx Color

Fruit color is changing with storage from fresh red at the beginning to blackish purple at the end of experiment (33 DAP). Interaction application of bee wax 6% with BAP 20 ppm can retain the purpleish red color till 24 DAA, while the control can only retain that color till 3 DAA (Table 4).

Calyx color and freshness is one of the visual quality of exported mangosteen. At harvesting, all calyxs are fresh green and each of the all fruit has four calyxs. After storage, the calyx tend to be dry and become gray. Application of bee wax 6% and BAP 20 ppm can be used to retain the dark green color of calyx untill 21 DAA, while control treatment is only retain that color till 12 DAA (Table 5). Suyanti et al., (1999) said that commercially fruit calyx of mangosteen harvested at 104 DAA can be still fresh geen only until 6 days after storage, while Widiastuti (2006) said that mangosteen harvested 103 days after anthesis can retain its calyx color and freshness until 8 days of storage at room temperature.

Fruit Peel Hardness and the Ability of Fruit to Manually Opened

Application of coating and BAP is not significantly affected fruit peel hardness at all observation. Application of coating material had reduced fruit peel hardness at 14 DAA. While BAP application had not shown any effect on fruit peel hardness. The value of fruit peel hardness is vary from observation to observation since at each destructive observation (Table 6).

Mangosteen fruit is usually opened manually by hand, and during storage the fruit hardness will increase and its will be more difficult to open. Interaction application of coating and BAP has significantly affected the ability of fruit to manually opened. Using score observation, the higher the score will more difficult the fruit to manually opened. During storage, the score is increaseing and the fruit become more difficult to be opened. The increasing of mangosteen fruit peel

hardness and the ability of fruit to be manually opened is caused by moisture loss from the peel and the fruit (Sjaifullah et al., 1998).

Total Soluble Solid and Total Titrable Acid

The sweensess of the fruit is caused by increasing of simple sugar and decreasing of fenolic compounds (Matto et al., 1989). Incresing of the total soluble solid of the fruit will increase the sweensess of the fruit.

Interaction of coating and BAP application does not significantly affec on total soluble solid of the fruit atpplication BAP alone has only significant effect on total soluble solid at 28 DAA. The total soluble solid of mangosteen in this experiment is vary between observation to observation because of destructive observation used different fruit for each observation (Table 7).

Organic acid in the fruit is one of the energy source for its metabolism, so the higher the acid content is the longer shelflife of that fruit (Wills et al., 1981). In general, organic acid content of the fruit is decrease during maturity because organic acid is used for respiration or it is changed into sugar, and the acid content usually increase again at mature sTTAe (Santoso and Purwoko, 1995).

Interaction of coating and BAP treatment does not signiicantly affect acid content of the fruit at all observation, except at 7 DAA (Table 8). Applicaion of coating or BAP alone is also does not significantly affect total titrable acid. It is possible that the treatments have not affected fruit metabolism yet, so the acid has not been used for substrat of respiration.

Correlation between Variables

Weight loss is usually used as indication of decreasing in fruit quality. Weight loss is caused by respiration and transpiration of the fruit, then it will affect other quality decreasing including visual quality. So, we use weight loss as anchor to correlate with other variables. Weight loss is positively correlated with decreasing of fruit diameter at ot 5, 21, 27 and 33 DAA. At 27 DAA, coefficient of correlation between weight loss and diameter loss is 50%. So, if weight loss increase will cause increasing in diamter loss.

CONCLUSIONS

Application of bee wax 6% has positive effect on several variables including in reducing of weight loss, retain the fruit color longer, retain the green color and freshness of fruit calyx during storage. Combination of bee wax 6% with BAP 20 ppm can be used to retain the green color and freshness of calyx till 21 days of storage, although at 15 DAA the calyx start to wringkle. While decreasing in diameter, fruit peel hardness, the ability of fruit to be opened manually, total soluble solid and total titrable acid are not affected by application of coating materials and BAP.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thanks to Rusnas Buah Unggul Pusat Kajian Buah Tropika and Kementerian Riset dan Teknologi for financial assistance, and for everybody for technical advice.

Literature Cited

Kader, A. A. 1992. Postharvest Biology and Technology of Horticultural Crops. University of California, Davies.

Matto, A. K., T. Murata, Er. B. Pantastico, K. Chachin, dan C. T. Phan. 1989. Perubahan-perubahan kimiawi selama pematangan dan penuaan, hal. 160-197. *Dalam*: Er. B. Pantastico (*Ed.*) Fisiologi Pasca Panen Penanganan dan Pemanfaatan Buah-buahan dan Sayur-sayuran Tropika dan Subtopika. Universitas Gajah Mada Press. Yogyakarta.

Pantastico, Er. B., T. K. Chattopadhyay dan H. Subramanyam. 1986. Penyimpanan dan Operasi Penyimpanan secara Komersial. hal. 495-536. *Dalam*: Er. B. Pantastico (*Ed.*) Fisiologi Pasca Panen Penanganan dan Pemanfaatan Buah-buahan dan Sayur-sayuran Tropika dan Subtopika. Universitas Gajah Mada Press. Yogyakarta.

Purwoko, B. S dan P. Fitradesi. 2000. Pengaruh jenis bahan Coating dan suhu simpan terhadap kualitas dan daya simpan buah pepaya. Bul. Agron. 28(2):66-72.

Santoso, B. B dan B. S. Purwoko. 1995. Fisiologi dan Teknologi Pasca Panen Tanaman Hortikiultura. Indonesia Australia Eastern Universities Project.

Sjaifullah, Setyadjit, Donny ABS, dan U. Rusdiyanto. 1998. Penyimpanan buah manggis segar dalam atmosfer termodifikasi pada berbagai suhu dingin. J. Hort. VIII(3): 1191-1200.

Suyanti, Roosmani ABST dan D. Sastra.1999. Karakteristik Mutu Buah Manggis Segar. J. Hort 8(4):1284-1292.

Widiastuti, R. 2006. Studi Memperpanjang Daya Simpan Buah Manggis (*Garcinia mangostana* L.) dengan Pebee waxan. Skripsi. Program Studi Hortikultura. Fakultas Pertanian, Institut Pertanian Bogor. Bogor.

Wills, Graham, M.C. Glason and Hall. 1981. Post Harvest An Introduction of Fruits and Vegetables. Granada, London.

Tables

Table 1. Fruit condition at the begining of experiment

Parameters	Group 1	Group 2	Group 3	Average
Weight (g)	100.99	89.40	66.63	85.67
Diameter (mm)	56.64	54.85	49.58	53.69
	5R	5R	5R	5R
Fruit Color	5/11.5	5/11.5	5/11.5	5/11.5
Calyx	2.5GY	2.5GY	2.5GY	2.5GY
Freshness	9/6	9/6	9/6	9/6
Fruit Hardness (Kg/sec)	0.87	0.87	0.93	0.89
TSS (^{0}Brix)	18.28	17.88	17.13	17.76
TTA (%)	0.55	0.51	0.46	0.51
Ability of fruit to be opened	3.50	2.50	3.00	3.00

Table 2. Effect of coating material and BAP on Weight Loss

Treatments	Weight Loss at Day after Application (DAA)					
	18	21	24	27	30	33
	.....					
	.....%.....					
Coating						
P0	11.5a	13.1a	15.9a	17.9a	20.7a	23.8a
P1	8.7b	9.8b	11.6b	12.7b	13.7b	15.5b
P2	10.6ab	12.1ab	14.6a	16.5a	19.1a	22.1a
F Test	*	*	*	*	*	*
BAP						
0 ppm	9.2	10.4	12.5	13.8	15.7	17.8
5 ppm	9.4	10.8	13.1	14.5	16.7	19.5
10 ppm	10.5	11.9	14.4	16.3	18.6	20.8
15 ppm	9.9	11.3	13.7	15.8	18.9	22.2
20 ppm	12.3	13.9	16.4	18.0	27.2	21.8
F Test	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
Coating x BAP						
F Test	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns

Note:
Value with different small letter in the same colom is significantly different on DMRT Test at 5%; ns : not significant (P>0.05).; * : significant (P>0.05);
P0: Control; P1: Bee wax 6%; P2: Chitosan 2%.

Table 3. Effect of coating material and BAP on Decreasing In Diameter (%)

Treatments	Decreasing In Diameter (%) (DAA)					
	18	21	24	27	30	33
	.....%.....					
Coating						
P0	4.0	4.7	5.3	5.7	6.3	6.9
P1	3.6	4.0	4.6	4.8	5.4	6.2
P2	4.1	4.5	5.3	5.7	6.5	7.6
F Test	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
BAP						
0 ppm	3.7	4.1b	4.8b	5.0b	5.7b	6.3
5 ppm	3.1	3.8b	4.2b	4.5b	5.1b	6.0
10 ppm	4.0	4.3b	5.1b	5.4b	5.7b	6.5
15 ppm	3.8	4.3b	4.8b	5.3b	6.4ab	7.6
20 ppm	4.7	5.7a	6.4a	6.8a	7.6a	8.2
F Test	ns	*	*	*	*	ns
Coating x BAP						
F Test	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns

Note:
Value with different small letter in the same colom is significantly different on DMRT Test at 5%; ns : not significant (P>0.05).; *: significant (P>0.05);
P0: Control; P1: Bee wax 6%; P2: Chitosan 2%.

Table 4. Effect of Coating Material and BAP on Fruit Color

Treatments		Fruit color					
		Red		Purple		Blackish Purple	
		Beginning	End	Beginning	End	Beginning	End
		5R 5/11,5	5R 4/10	5R 3/10	5R 3/8	6R 3/8	6R 2,3/6
Coating	BAP	.....Day.....					
Control	0	0	3	6	15	18	33
	5	0	<3 *	3	12	15	33
	10	0	3	6	18	21	33
	15	0	3	6	21	24	33
	20	0	9	12	33	~	~
Bee wax 6%	0	0	3	6	15	18	33
	5	0	3	6	9	12	33
	10	0	3	6	9	12	33
	15	0	6	9	21	24	33
	20	0	24	27	33	~	~
Chitosan 2%	0	0	3	6	15	18	33
	5	0	6	9	18	21	33
	10	0	15	18	33	~	~
	15	0	3	6	33	~	~
	20	0	3	6	21	24	33

Note=
R : Purpleish Red to blackish purple
~ : at the end of observation (33 DAA), the fruit is still purple.
<3*:at 0 DAA Fruit Color is purple red, after that (>3 DAA) fruit color change to purple, so the color change occur between 0 DAA and 3 DAA

Table 5. Effect of Coating Material and BAP on Fruit Calyx Color

Treatment		Calyx Color					
		Green		Greyish Green		Grey	
		Beginning	End	Beginning	End	Beginning	End
		2,5GY 9/10	10Y 8,5/9	10Y 8,5/12	7,5Y 7,5/11	7,5Y 8,5/9	7,5Y 7,5/13
Coating	BAP	.....Day.....					
Control	0	0	12	15	24	27	33
	5	0	12	15	24	27	33
	10	0	15	18	27	30	33
	15	0	15	18	24	27	33
	20	0	18	21	24	27	33
Bee wax 6%	0	0	12	15	18	21	33
	5	0	15	18	24	27	33
	10	0	15	18	21	24	33
	15	0	15	18	24	27	33
	20	0	21	>21 *	24	27	33
Chitosan 2%	0	0	12	15	18	21	33
	5	0	15	18	24	27	33
	10	0	18	21	24	27	33
	15	0	18	21	24	27	33
	20	0	15	18	21	24	33

Keterangan =
GY : Light green to dark green
10Y : Dark green
7,5 Y : Greyish dark green to grey
>21 * : at 21 DAA calyx is still green, at 24 DAA calyx is greyish green

Tabel 6. Effect of Coating Material and BAP on Fruit Hardness (Kg/second)

Treatments	Fruit Hardness (DAA) 7	14	21	28	35
	.....Kg/second.....				
Coating					
P0	0.83	0.82b	0.88	0.96	0.93
P1	0.83	0.86a	0.90	0.94	0.92
P2	0.86	0.86a	0.91	0.94	0.96
F Test	ns	*	ns	ns	ns
BAP					
0 ppm	0.84	0.84	0.91	0.96	0.94
5 ppm	0.84	0.84	0.86	0.95	0.97
10 ppm	0.84	0.88	0.88	0.95	0.94
15 ppm	0.84	0.84	0.88	0.91	0.91
20 ppm	0.84	0.84	0.94	0.96	0.93
F Test	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
Coating x BAP					
F Test	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns

Note:
Value with different small letter in the same colom is significantly different on DMRT Test at 5%; ns : not significant (P>0.05).; *: significant (P>0.05); P0: Control; P1: Bee wax 6%; P2: Chitosan 2%.

Table 7. Effect of coating material and BAP on Total Soluble Solid (^{0}Brix)

Treatments	Total Soluble Solid (^{o}Brix) at DAA 7	14	21	28	35
	..... ^{0}Brix.....				
Coating					
P0	17.84	17.58	16.21	16.28	16.13
P1	17.03	18.21	16.86	16.21	14.90
P2	17.33	17.35	17.3	16.72	16.20
F Test	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
BAP					
0 ppm	17.23	17.83	16.55	16.03ab	17.16
5 ppm	17.42	17.72	17.72	17.04a	15.06
10 ppm	17.57	17.46	17.44	16.53ab	15.21
15 ppm	17.62	17.97	16.70	16.90a	15.66
20 ppm	17.14	17.58	15.51	15.51b	15.59
F Test	ns	ns	ns	*	ns
Coating x BAP					
F Test	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns

Note:

Value with different small letter in the same colom is significantly different on DMRT Test at 5%; ns : not significant (P>0.05).; *: significant (P>0.05); P0: Control; P1: Bee wax 6%; P2: Chitosan 2%.

Table 8. Effect of coating material and BAP on Total Titrable Acid (%)

Treatments	Total Titrable Acid at DAA				
	7	14	21	28	35
	.....		..... %		
Coating					
P0	0.49	0.49	0.49	0.43	0.44
P1	0.50	0.52	0.53	0.41	0.45
P2	0.50	0.48	0.45	0.45	0.42
F Test	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
BAP					
0 ppm	0.47	0.44	0.51	0.43	0.48
5 ppm	0.51	0.50	0.49	0.42	0.46
10 ppm	0.50	0.54	0.48	0.43	0.39
15 ppm	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.42	0.44
20 ppm	0.50	0.49	0.47	0.44	0.42
F Test	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
Coating x BAP					
F Test	*	ns	ns	ns	ns

Note:
Value with different small letter in the same colom is significantly different on DMRT Test at 5%; ns : not significant (P>0.05).; *: significant (P>0.05); P0: Control; P1: Bee wax 6%; P2: Chitosan 2%.

Figure



Figure 1.. The mangosteen fruit with its four calyxes