Tree Biomass of Planted Forests in the Tropical Dry Climatic Zone: Values in the Tropical Dry Climatic Zones of the Union of Myanmar and the Eastern Part of Sumba Island in the Republic of Indonesia

Yoshiyuki KIYONO[1]*, Min Zaw OO[2], Yasuo OOSUMI[3] and Ismail RACHMAN[4]

[1] Bureau of Climate Change, Forestry and Forest Products Research Institute
  (Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305–8687, Japan)

[2] Forest Research Institute, Forest Department, Ministry of Forestry (Yezin, Yangon, Myanmar)

[3] Japan International Forestry Promotion and Cooperation Center (JIFPRO)
  (Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 112–0004, Japan)

[4] Indonesian Institute of Science, Research Center for Biology (Bogor 16122, Indonesia)

Abstract

We measured the values for biomass and mean annual biomass increment (MAI) of planted forests in two parts of the Tropical Dry climatic zone (mean annual precipitation [MAP] < 1,000 mm) and in one site adjacent to one of the two parts and outside the Tropical Dry climatic zone to provide a comparison value for wet forests in the same region. MAI values of four planted forests averaged 2.60 (± 1.61 SD) Mg ha^{-1} y^{-1} at a MAP of 637 mm in the central dry zone of Myanmar. The MAI of exotic fast-growing tree species (*Eucalyptus camaldulensis* Dehn.) did not differ significantly from those of three native non-fast-growing tree species in the central dry zone of Myanmar. MAI values appeared to be lower on land with a high gravel content in the soil or with a higher degree of erosion. In the eastern part of Sumba Island in Indonesia, MAI of planted *Tectona grandis* L. forest equaled 3.76 Mg ha^{-1} y^{-1} at a MAP of 500 mm and 4.49 Mg ha^{-1} y^{-1} at a MAP of 1,500 mm. A *Leucaena leucocephala* de Wit stand produced a higher MAI (9.62 Mg ha^{-1} y^{-1}) at a MAP of 500 mm. The MAI values for fast-growing tree species that we measured or collected in the Tropical Dry climatic zone were less than 20% of those recorded in the Tropical Moist and Tropical Wet climatic zones and about one-third the value for forests of non-fast-growing tree species. Accordingly, fast-growing tree species may not be capable of achieving their fast growth rates under the Tropical Dry climate. This information will be useful in developing land-use programs based on plantation forestry in dry forest areas.

Discipline: Forestry and forest products
Additional key words: afforestation, dry forest, fast-growing trees, MAI, reforestation

Introduction

In areas that support tropical and subtropical dry forests, environmental degradation has been developing as a result of over-grazing, over-cultivation, inadequate irrigation, and over-logging, among other problems.

Afforestation combined with revegetation is the dominant focus for landscape planning designed to promote the recovery of the goods and services provided by these ecosystems. These efforts improve the livelihoods of people in local communities and yield other environmental benefits such as biodiversity conservation and the prevention of desertification. However, they can also lead to nega-

Fig. 1. Locations of the study sites

Fig. 2. Illustration of the relationship between landforms and typical land use in the central dry zone of Myanmar
The indicated range for managed forests represents areas in which there is some potential for afforestation.

tive social impacts such as a loss of grazing land and of a source of livelihood. When we reallocate land to forestry activities to promote the sustainability of an area's land-use system, understanding the existing livelihoods of the affected people and predicting the expected biomass production are necessary. However, there is little reliable data on biomass growth in dry forest areas[16,18]. Land allocation based on inaccurate forestry data is likely to be ineffective; therefore a careful assessment of the expected productivity will provide useful information on the amount of carbon that will be sequestered by the planted forests and the expected benefits of the development program for the local people and ecosystems in dry forest areas.

In our present study, we selected the central dry zone of the Union of Myanmar and the eastern part of Sumba Island in the Republic of Indonesia (Fig. 1) that have a relatively long history of plantation forestry as examples of tropical dry forest areas to obtain field observations and estimates of the biomass of planted forests. We then obtained data from the research literature on tropical forests to evaluate biomass growth of the planted trees in the dryland forest areas versus values from wetter tropical zones.

Study sites and methods

1. The central dry zone, Myanmar

The central dry zone of Myanmar includes the Sagaing, Mandalay and Magway regions and accounts for about 10% of the nation's land area. The land receives a mean annual precipitation (MAP) of < 1,000 mm and the ratio of annual precipitation to potential evapotranspiration ranges between 0.05 and 0.65[22]. About one-third of the country's total population lives in the region (about 285 persons km^{-2}). Nyaung U town, in the central part of the dry zone, receives a MAP of 637 mm (mean of 1994–2005)[16] and the land is categorized as belonging to the

Tropical Dry climatic zone (MAP < 1,000 mm)[4] and the "tropical dry region" (MAP < 900 mm)[23]. The dry period lasts for 6 months (from November to April) and temperatures exceeding 40°C are not unusual during March, April and May. Dryland farming is practiced in more than half of the area. Although the Ayeyarwady River passes through the region, its water is not yet available for use in agriculture or forestry.

The major soil types for the planted forests[16] are Vertisols in the northern part of the area and Xanthic Ferralsols, Lithosols and Vertisols in the southeastern part. Volcanic Andosols are also found. The dominant soil texture is a sandy loam.

The main land use is agriculture (with peanuts and sesame as primary crops), with palmyra palm trees (*Borassus flabellifer*) grown on level land and cattle and goat husbandry carried out on slopes (Fig. 2). Fuel collection is common throughout the study area. The main source of energy for the residents of the town and its surrounding areas is agricultural residues. Because of the lack of other energy sources, the local people also depend strongly on natural forest resources to meet their daily energy requirements. The primary vegetation type can be classified as dry forest, and the dominant species of the original forest include *Acacia catechu*, *Acacia leucophloea*, *Tectona hamiltoniana*, *Azadirachta indica*, and *Zizyphus jujuba*[8]. However, natural stands are currently rare and sparse and dominated by shrubby vegetation, even in protected public forest areas. The vegetations are only a few meters tall, with low crown coverage, and contain abundant vegetation that is toxic to livestock, including *A. catechu*, *Moringa* sp. and *Rhus* sp. (Fig. 3).

The Forest Department and the Dry Zone Greening Department of Myanmar, which are currently managing 17% of the study area's land, have been trying to remedy the depletion of the local vegetation by conserving remnant vegetation and establishing fuelwood forests. Groups

such as Yomiuri, the Japan International Forestry Promotion and Cooperation Center (JIFPRO), the Japanese government, and the Korea International Cooperation Agency (KOICA) have supported afforestation and revegetation projects. The main planted trees have been *A. catechu*, *Albizia lebbek*, *Cassia siamea*, *Leucaena leucocephala*, *Tamarindus indica*, *Dalbergia paniculata*, *Chukrasia tabularis*, *A. indica*, *Eucalyptus camaldulensis*, *T. hamiltoniana*, *Acacia senegal*, *Acacia auriculiformis*, *Acacia arabica*, and *Ficus* spp., among others. The oldest planted forest that has been studied was established in 1973 (*E. camaldulensis*)[16]. Most existing plantations are on level and relatively fertile land. Initial tending was carried out carefully, and advanced regeneration was preserved during the site preparation. Trenches were dug for planted seedlings to collect soil moisture. The planted seedlings were usually relatively large and had been grown in containers. Watering was performed for some time. Special watering techniques and facilities were sometimes used (e.g., drip-irrigation systems, digging of wells, installation of pipelines, machinery supply). Grazing and the collection of fuelwood were generally prohibited for about 5 y after planting.

The fieldwork was carried out in August 2004. We selected four planted forests (8-y-old *T. hamiltoniana*, non-fast-growing species, here after NFS, 8-y-old *C. siamea*, NFS, 8-y-old *E. camaldulensis*, fast-growing species, here after FS, Fig. 4, and 6-y-old *A. catechu*, NFS) established by the Forest Department near Nyaung U (Table 1). In each forest, we established two or four circular sample plots (314 m^2) and the ground differed among the plots except plots for *T. hamiltoniana*: some were covered with soils and in others, gravel appeared (Fig. 5). The overstory was open (i.e., no canopy closure) in all forests. We recorded the dbh and species of all planted and naturally established trees with dbh ≥ 1 cm in the plots, then estimated tree biomass using the dbh values and the estimated basic density in the plots as inputs for the following generic equation for estimating each individual tree biomass including belowground organs (kg d.w. tree^{-1})[7]:

Tree biomass = $5.29ba^{1.24}D^{1.28}$ ($R^2 = 0.978$),

where *ba* is the basal area of a stem at 1.3-m height (m^2) and *D* is the basic density (kg m^{-3}) of stem wood.

The *D* values we used were 713 kg m^{-3} for *E. camaldulensis*[1], 875 kg m^{-3} for *A. catechu*[2] and 623 kg m^{-3} for *C. siamea*[7]. The *D* for other indigenous species was assumed to be 550 kg m^{-3}, which represents a mean basic wood density for a range of tropical tree species[4].


Fig. 3. **Shrubby vegetation (here, *Acacia catechu*) develops under pressure from grazing and fuel collection in the central dry zone of Myanmar**
Vegetation communities are only a few meters tall, with low crown cover, and contain species toxic to livestock that have become dominant species.

Fig. 4. **Planted 8-y-old *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* forest in the central dry zone of Myanmar**

Fig. 5. **The appearance of the ground surface under a planted *Cassia siamea* forest in the central dry zone of Myanmar**
Left: The ground was covered with typical soil for the study area. Right: Gravel appeared as a result of erosion of surface soils.

Table 1. Study vegetation in the central dry zone, Myanmar and the eastern part of Sumba Island, Indonesia

Region	Name of site	Vegetation	Dominant species	Growth traits of planted tree	Stand age y	MAP mm y^{-1}	Number of 314 m^2 plot for biomass estimation
The central dry zone, Myanmar	Yomiuri	Planted forest	*Tectona hamiltoniana*	Non-fast-growing	8	637[a]	2
	Yomiuri	Planted forest	*Cassia siamea*	Non-fast-growing	8	637[a]	2
	Yomiuri	Planted forest	*Eucalyptus camaldulensis*	Fast-growing	8	637[a]	2
	JIFPRO I	Planted forest	*Acacia catechu*	Non-fast-growing	6	637[a]	4
The eastern part of Sumba Island, Indonesia	Km47 Praipaha	Primary forest	–	–	–	1,000[b]	–
	Katata	Primary forest	–	–	–	500–1,000[b]	–
	Leter S	Primary forest	*Ehretia laevis, Mallotus philippensis*	–	–	500–1,000[b]	–
	Leter S	Savanna	*Timonius timon*	–	–	500–1,000[b]	–
	Wannga	Savanna	*Ficus* sp., *Schleichera oleosa*	–	–	500[b]	–
	Lewa	Planted forest	*Tectona grandis*	Non-fast-growing	38	1,500[b]	2
	Leter S	Planted forest	*Tectona grandis*	Non-fast-growing	38	500[b]	2
	Wannga	Planted forest	*Leucaena leucocephala*	Fast-growing	8	500[b]	2

a): Mean annual precipitation at the meteorological station near the study vegetation.
b): Monk et al. (1997).

Forest biomass is obtained as the sum of each individual tree biomass within a certain ground area for every planted forest.

2. The eastern part of Sumba Island, Indonesia

Sumba Island is in the Small Sunda Archipelago, east of Bali. About 350,000 people live on the island, which covers 10,854 km^2 [12]. The population density in the study area (Kabupaten Sumba Timor) is about 25 persons per km^2 [21]. MAP varies from less than 500 to more than 1,500 mm, according to the region[12]. Most of the lowland of eastern Sumba Island belongs to the Tropical Dry climatic zone. The dry period lasts for 8 to 9 months, from February or March to October or November. Soils are mostly Calciustolls (Mollisols) and Ustropepts (Inceptisols), but in coastal areas, Ustorthents (Entisols)[12] are dominant. The Sumba people have had a tradition of breeding horses for the past several hundred years. Savannas are extensively distributed, mostly on terraces (Fig. 6), and there are scattered trees of species that are less vulnerable to fire (i.e., pyrophytic species; Fig. 7). Savannas that receive MAP > 500 mm y^{-1} have been invaded by *Chromolaena odorata*, an alien shrub species from the Americas. According to the local people, stock farmers have burned the savannas almost every year to make grasses sprout and produce young shoots to feed their cattle, water buffalo and horses. More than half of the people in the study area practice small-scale dryland

Fig. 6. Grasslands (savannas) of the eastern part of Sumba Island, Indonesia

Fig. 7. Grassland with a scattering of fire-resistant trees such as *Ficus* sp. and *Schleichera oleosa* on the eastern part of Sumba Island, Indonesia

farming in valleys and along the coasts. The main agricultural crops include peanuts and maize. There is little arable land, and this land has been used continuously for agriculture and has rarely been fallowed for more than 1 y. Thus, natural forest cannot find a niche within the present range of agricultural land, and only occasional pyrophytic trees have become established.

The forests of Sumba Island have been a major source of sandalwood (*Santalum album*)[12]. Small primary forests remain in valleys and as fragmented groups of

Table 2. Tree species recorded in primary forests at Lewa and Kataka on the eastern part of Sumba Island, Indonesia

Species	Family	Lewa	Kataka	Species	Family	Lewa	Kataka
Achyranthes aspera	Amaranthaceae	x	x	*Litsea diversifolia*	Lauraceae	x	
Aglaia lawii	Meliaceae	x		*Litsea glutinosa*	Lauraceae	x	x
Alangium rotundifolium	Alangiaceae	x		*Lunasia mara*	Rutaceae	x	x
Alangium salvinifolium	Alangiaceae	x		*Macaranga tanarius*	Euphorbiaceae	x	x
Albizia rotundata	Fabaceae	x	x	*Maesa pentandra*	Myrsinaceae	x	x
Alchornea rugosa	Euphorbiaceae	x		*Mallotus moluccanus*	Euphorbiaceae	x	
Allophylus cobbe	Sapindaceae	x		*Mallotus philippinensis*	Euphorbiaceae	x	x
Alocasia macrorrhizos	Araceae	x		*Mangifera laurina*	Anacardiaceae	x	
Alstonia scholaris	Apocynaceae	x		*Micromelum minitum*	Rutaceae	x	
Antidesma sp.	Euphorbiaceae	x	x	*Murraya paniculata*	Rutaceae		x
Ardisia korthalsiana	Myrsinaceae	x		*Myristica fatua*	Myristicaceae	x	
Bauchinia purpurea	Fabaceae		x	*Naravelia laurifolia*	Ranunculaceae	x	
Bridelia glauca	Euphorbiaceae	x	x	*Nomaphila stricta*	Acanthaceae	x	x
Brucea javanica	Simaroubaceae	x	x	*Parinari corymbosa*	Rosaceae	x	
Buchanania arborescens	Anacardiaceae	x		*Picrsma javanica*	Simaroubaceae	x	
Canarium pillosum	Burseraceae	x		*Pipturus argenteus*	Urticaceae	x	
Cansjera leptostachya	Opiliaceae	x	x	*Pisonia umbellifera*	Nyctaginaceae	x	
Celtis wightii	Ulmaceae	x	x	*Pittosporom muloccanum*	Pittosporaceae	x	
Cestrum sp.	Solanaceae	x		*Planchonella oxyedra*	Sapotaceae	x	
Chromolaena odorata	Asteraceae	x	x	*Pometia pinnata*	Sapindaceae	x	
Cissus javana	Vitaceae	x		*Pteridium aquilinum*	Pteridaceae	x	
Cissus repens	Vitaceae	x		*Pterocarpus indicus*	Fabaceae		x
Claoxylon polot	Euphorbiaceae	x		*Pterospermum diversifolium*	Sterculiaceae	x	x
Cleidion spiciflorum	Euphorbiaceae	x		*Pycnarrhena cauliflora*	Menispermaceae	x	x
Cleistanthus myrianthus	Euphorbiaceae	x	x	*Sapindus saponaria*	Sapindaceae	x	x
Dendrocnide stimulans	Urticaceae	x		*Saurauia* sp.	Actinidiaceae	x	
Dichapetalum timorense	Dichapetalaceae	x		*Schefflera elliptica*	Araliaceae	x	
Dracaena angustifolia	Liliaceae	x		*Schleichera oleosa*	Sapindaceae		x
Dysoxylum gaudichaudianum	Meliaceae	x		*Schoutenia ovata*	Tiliaceae		x
Dysoxylum nutans	Meliaceae	x		*Solanum erianthum*	Solanaceae	x	
Dysoxylum parasiticum	Meliaceae	x		*Solanum torvum*	Solanaceae	x	
Dysoxylum sp.	Meliaceae	x		*Stepania* cf. *hernandifolia*	Menispermaceae	x	
Ficus depressa	Moraceae	x	x	*Sterculia foetida*	Sterculiaceae	x	
Ficus sp.1	Moraceae	x		*Syzygium lineatum*	Myrtaceae	x	
Ficus sp.2	Moraceae	x		*Tabernaemontana macrocarpa*	Apocynaceae	x	
Garuga floribunda	Burseraceae		x	*Tamarindus indica*	Fabaceae		x
Glochidion philippicum	Euphorbiaceae	x		*Tinomiscium phytocrenoides*	Menispermaceae	x	
Grewia acuminata	Tiliaceae	x	x	*Toona sureni*	Meliaceae	x	
Helicteres isora	Sterculiaceae		x	*Uvaria littoralis*	Annonaceae	x	
Homalanthus populneus	Euphorbiaceae	x		*Vangueria spinosa*	Rubiaceae	x	
Hypserpa polyandra	Menispermaceae	x	x	*Vernonia patula*	Asteraceae	x	
Kleinhopia hospita	Sterculiaceae	x		*Wrightia* sp.	Apocynace	x	x
Knema cinerea	Myristicaceae	x		*Zizyphus celtidifolia*	Rhamnaceae		x
Leea angulata	Leeaceae	x		*Zizyphus* sp.	Rhamnaceae	x	x

trees on the southern part of the island. Pilot afforestation with *T. grandis* and other species has been attempted since the middle of the 1960s by the Forestry District Office (Forestry Department, Indonesia). The German Agency for Technical Cooperation (GTZ) and various nongovernmental organizations have also supported land rehabilitation through afforestation in the study area and have planted *A. auriculiformis*, *Acacia* sp., *Albizia lophantha*, *Calliandra calothyrsus*, *C. siamea*, *Casuarina equisetifolia*, *Eucalyptus deglupta*, *Gliricidia sepium*, *Gmelina arborea*, *Lannea coromandelica*, *L. leucocephala*, *Paraserianthes falcataria*, *Swietenia mahagoni*, *T. grandis*, and *Timonius timon*, among others.

The field observations and data sampling were carried out in July 2005. We selected three primary forests in the study area, at "km47 Praipaha" in Lewa, at 9°40.670′S, 120°11.336′E (449 m asl) in Leter S and at 9°50.271′S, 120°34.180′E in Kataka, and two savannas, at 9°40.670′S, 120°11.336′E (449 m asl) in Leter S and at 9°40.223′S, 120°28.895′E (21 m asl) in Wannga (Table 1). All sites were in areas with a MAP of about 500 to 1,000 mm[12]. Tree species were recorded in primary forests in Lewa and Kataka (Table 2), in a random sample of 100 trees > 5 cm in dbh in primary forests in Leter S (Table 3) and in the two savannas (Tables 4 & 5). Dominant grass species were also recorded in the savannas. For planted forests, we selected two plantations of 38-y-old *T. grandis*, NFS, established by the Forestry District Office at 9°44.199′S, 119°57.257′E (195 m asl, MAP about 1,500 mm) in Lewa and at 9°40.681′S, 120°12.027′E (303 m asl, MAP about 500 mm) in Leter S and one 8-y-old private forest of *L. leucocephala*, FS, at 9°40.223′S, 120°28.895′E, (21 m asl; MAP about 500 mm) in Wannga to estimate tree biomass (Table 1). The overstory of the three plantations exhibited nearly complete crown closure. Two circular sample plots (314 m²) were established in each forest. The dbh and tree species were recorded for trees with dbh ≥ 1 cm in each

Table 3. Tree species composition in a sample of 100 trees > 5 cm in dbh in a primary forest at Leter S on the eastern part of Sumba Island, Indonesia

Species	Family	Trees
Ehretia laevis	Aquifoliaceae	11
Mallotus philippensis	Euphorbiaceae	11
Grewia acuminata	Tiliaceae	8
Premna sp.	Verbenaceae	8
Planchonella obovata	Sapotaceae	7
Exocarpus latifolius	Santalaceae	6
Elaeocarpus sp.	Elaeocarpaceae	5
Bridelia stipularis	Euphorbiaceae	3
Ixora blumei	Rubiaceae	3
Aegle marmelos	Rutaceae	3
Sapindus saponaria	Sapindaceae	3
unidentified	Sapindaceae	3
Trema sp.	Ulmaceae	3
unidentified	Euphorbiaceae	3
Cordia bantamensis	Borraginaceae	2
Bridelia ovata	Euphorbiaceae	2
Clausena harmandifolia	Rutaceae	2
Macaranga tanarius	Euphorbiaceae	2
Garuga floribunda	Burseraceae	2
Wrightia sp.	Apocynaceae	1
Glochidion philippicum	Euphorbiaceae	1
Champereia manilana	Opiliaceae	1
Canthium confertum	Rubiaceae	1
Drypetes ovalis	Euphorbiaceae	1
Dysoxylum gauchaudianum	Meliaceae	1
Dracaena sp.	Liliaceae	1
Pterospermum diversifolium	Sterculiaceae	1
Albizia lebbeckoides	Fabaceae	1
Allophylus cobbe	Sapindaceae	1
Ailanthus integrifolia	Simaroubaceae	1
Zizyphus sp.	Rhamnaceae	1
Woodfordia fruticosa	Lytraceae	1
	Total	100

Table 4. Tree species composition in a sample of 100 trees > 5 cm in dbh in a savanna at Leter S on the eastern part of Sumba Island, Indonesia

Species	Family	Trees
Timonius timon	Rubiaceae	57
Alstonia spectabilis	Apocynaceae	21
Wendlandia paniculata	Rubiaceae	10
Buchanania arborescens	Anacardiaceae	6
Glochidion littorale	Euporbiaceae	3
Albizia procera	Fabaceae	2
Alyxia sp.	Apocynaceae	1
	Total	100

Table 5. Tree species composition in a sample of 100 trees > 5 cm in dbh in a savanna at Wannga on the eastern part of Sumba Island, Indonesia

Species	Family	Trees
Ficus sp.	Moraceae	47
Schleichera oleosa	Sapindaceae	40
Bridelia ovata	Euphorbiaceae	4
Pittosporum ramiflorum	Pittosporaceae	3
Opuntia elatior	Cactaceae	2
Morinda tinctoria	Rubiaceae	2
Zizyphus jujuba	Rhamnaceae	2
	Total	100

plot, and tree and forest biomass were estimated using the dbh values and estimated basic density as inputs for the generic equation for each individual tree biomass estimation described in the previous section[7]. The basic densities we used were 517 kg m^{-3} for *T. grandis*[7] and 640 kg m^{-3} for *L. leucocephala*[4]. The basic density for other indigenous species was assumed to be 550 kg m^{-3}, the mean basic wood density for a range of tropical tree species[4].

Results and discussion

1. The central dry zone, Myanmar

Biomass estimates of the planted forests ranged from 6.29 to 27.78 Mg ha^{-1}. The corresponding mean annual biomass increment (MAI) value averaged 2.60 (± 1.61 SD) Mg ha^{-1} y^{-1}, and the MAI of *E. camaldulensis* (an exotic fast-growing tree species) did not differ significantly from those of the native non-fast-growing tree species (Fig. 8). Oo et al.[16] estimated biomass MAI values of nine planted *E. camaldulensis* forests (6- to 11-y-old) in the same region at 1.68 (± 1.01) Mg ha^{-1} y^{-1}, versus 1.52 Mg ha^{-1} y^{-1} for a 7-y-old planted *A. catechu* forest. These values were not significantly different from the values in the present study. Afforestation may increase the biomass stock of degraded land in a relatively short time period, because the biomass of shrub and grass communities is about 11 Mg ha^{-1} (including litter)[16].

MAI values appeared to be lower at sites whose soils had a higher gravel content or a higher degree of erosion (Fig. 8). This suggests that erosion may decrease tree growth through increased soil degradation and that MAI values on steep slopes, which usually suffered from severe surface soil erosion, are likely to be much smaller than the rates observed in the present study. An afforestation trial in mountains near the study area began in 1998 with the support of KOICA, and the results of this trial will confirm whether this hypothesis is correct.


Fig. 8. Mean annual increments (MAI) for planted forests in the central dry zone of Myanmar
The stands are either 6- or 8-y-old. Arrows represent plantations growing on soils with a high gravel content or a relatively higher level of erosion.

2. The eastern part of Sumba Island, Indonesia

Dominant grasses in the savanna areas were *Themeda triandra*, *Chrysopogon subtilis*, *Heteropogon contortus*, *Pogonatherum crinitum*, *Sorghum nitidum*, *Heteropogon insignis*, and *Sorghum propinquum*; and *Fimbristylis* sp. was also common. We found 32 species in our sample of a primary forest (Table 3), versus only 7 species for both savannas (Tables 4 & 5). Among the species recorded at all three locations, only one species (*Bridelia ovata*) was found in both the primary forest and a savanna site. This suggests that only a few primary forest species are able to survive under the disturbance regimes that occur at savanna sites.

The biomass of planted *T. grandis* forest was estimated at 142.9 Mg ha^{-1} with a MAP of about 500 mm and 170.6 Mg ha^{-1} with a MAP of about 1,500 mm. MAI values were 3.76 and 4.49 Mg ha^{-1} y^{-1}, respectively, at these two sites. For the *L. leucocephala* forest, biomass was estimated at 77.0 Mg ha^{-1} and MAI was 9.62 Mg ha^{-1} y^{-1}. The *L. leucocephala* forest thus showed higher MAI than the *T. grandis* forest at the same level of MAP.

3. MAI of planted forests in the Tropical Dry climatic zone

MAI values of the planted trees ranged greatly, between 0.5 and 36.1 Mg ha^{-1} y^{-1} (Fig. 9). MAI values also differed significantly between fast-growing and non-


Fig. 9. Relationship between mean annual precipitation (MAP) and mean annual increment (MAI)
Values for forests of fast-growing trees represent data from the Carbon Fixing Forest Management Project (CFFMP) and the literature[3,5,9,10,13] (▲) and from the present study and the literature[16] (△). Values for forests of non-fast-growing trees represent data from CFFMP, the literature[3,5,6,9,11,13–15,18–20] (○), and the present study and the literature[16] (✕). The three climatic zones were divided according to the guidelines in the IPCC National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme[4].

fast-growing species in the Tropical Moist and Wet climatic zones ($P < 0.0001$), but did not differ significantly between these two groups of species in the Tropical Dry climatic zone ($P = 0.999$). MAI of fast-growing species increased as MAP increased ($P < 0.0001$). For non-fast-growing trees, MAI values were significantly smaller in the Tropical Dry climatic zone ($P = 0.0022$) than in the Tropical Moist and Wet climatic zones. However, MAI values did not show a special tendency in the Tropical Moist and Wet climatic zones. It is reasonable to expect that in areas where growth is limited by water availability, net primary production (NPP) will be positively correlated with MAP. We found studies that investigated this relationship (e.g., Schuur (2003)[17]). Since MAI values depend strongly on NPP, the MAI values in the present study may exhibit a similar tendency, although Schuur (2003)[17] inferred that NPP values decreased when MAP exceeded about 4,000 mm and we cannot confirm this without additional research on MAI. Stands of fast-growing tree species older than 10 y were relatively rare in our study area (Fig. 10) because these species are often harvested before they grow older than 10 y. Their growth rate may decrease after about 10 y and therefore, their economic life is short. Non-fast-growing tree species did not show any obvious trend in MAI as a function of stand age. These species are likely to be long-lived and to maintain a somewhat con-

stant MAI for decades. MAI values for fast-growing tree species in the Tropical Dry climatic zone were less than 20% of the values for the Tropical Moist and Wet climatic zones, versus about one-third of the corresponding values for non-fast-growing species. Accordingly, the fast-growing tree species may not exhibit fast growth in the Tropical Dry climate.

Because MAI values varied widely among the stands (Figs. 9 & 10), we must remember the possibility that a given stand's MAI may differ greatly from the norms for a species. The causes of such deviations include differences in species, genetics, climate, soils, human interventions (e.g., forestry practices), diseases, and sampling error in measurements. Ways to reduce these estimation uncertainties will require further examination in future research.

Conclusions

The research described in this paper provided MAI values for planted forests in the Tropical Dry climatic zone. The productivity of the planted forests was much lower than that in locations with higher MAP. Such information was rare before the present study, and the new data will thus be useful in developing land-use programs based on plantation forestry in dry forest areas. The need to ensure the provision of a similar amount of goods and services to that offered to people before the afforestation and the need to avoid negative social impacts of afforestation will also require further research to provide improved data and to identify suitable technologies for such projects, as well as to ensure their judicious use (i.e., using the methods appropriately) in integrated land-use planning.

Acknowledgments

Fig. 10. Relationship between stand age and MAI (Kiyono et al.[7], revised)

Values for forests of fast-growing trees represent data from the Carbon Fixing Forest Management Project (CFFMP) and the literature[3,5,9,10,13] in the Tropical Moist and Wet climatic zones (▲) and from the present study and the literature[16] in the Tropical Dry climatic zone (△). Values for forests of non-fast-growing trees represent data from CFFMP and the literature[3,5,6,9,11,13–15,19,20] (○) and the present study (+) in the Tropical Moist and Wet climatic zones and from the literature[18] (◇) and the present study and the literature[16] (✕) in the Tropical Dry climatic zone.